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## सर्चात् नारितेत परो धर्म: ।

there is no religion higher than truth,
[Family motto of the Maharajahs of Benares.]

## OUR HIFTII YEAR.

Aasin we have the pleasure of notiug the continued prosperity of the Theosophist, and the fact that its publication will be continned as heretofore. The experimental stago was passed a fow months after the journal was lannched, and it now lappears to be growing in influence even more decidedly than in circulation: Yet the latter has long been extensive enough to reach regular subscribers in nearly every quarter of the globe; and the contributions which some of these have made to its columns have been alike instructive and interesting. With some of them friendslips liaye also been formed by the founders of the Society which are likely to be lasting. Sych is the case usually where the tie is based upon a community of intellectual tastes and moral' aspirations. Begun as a convenient channel through which to reach the scattered members of our Socicty, the journal las become a delight to its founders, and the task of conlucting it a labour of love. Its most important feature is that the adept Mahatmas, until now hidden from the sight of the public, and guarding the fact of their very existence a close secret, have permitted many occult truths to be given out, through Chelas, in its pages. And as these seeds of thought have here and there foumd soil for their germination, though for the most part they have fallen on the hard and stony gronnd of modern " culture" (!) the series of Fragments of Occult I'ruth will be continued and other pregnant ideas thus disseminated. The hidden meauing of the Aryan Shastras being a matter of the highest importance for Hindus and others to learn, such expositions will be from time to time made in the journal. We shall begin this work by expounding, so far as permitted, the esoteric meaning of the text of the Bhagavat Gita. Oue of the first numbers of our Volume V. will contain the first chapter, and the commentary be continued monthly until the whole is finished. Some of our readers, especially Hiidus, will be doubtless astonished to discover the almost perfect identity between tho concealed sense of this immortal epic and the Arhat Tibetan Doctriue, which has been in part expounded in the Fragments, and other writings. Colonel Olcott will, as heretofore, wite in the intervals of leisure allowed him by his arduous official duties; and, at our request, explain the scientific rationale of his seemingly miraculous cures. A uew field of scientific discovery lias been opened up by the learned Prof. Jaeger, of Stuttgard, in his researches into the nature of odors and the liaw of their propagation. This subject involves even the question of a molecular psychology, and its high value was shown by Dr. Leopold Salzer, F. T. S., of Calcutta, in his paper at the first anniversary celebration of the Bengal Theosophical Society, reprinted in our number for July. Should any additional discoveries be made in this field, Dr.

Salzer will, with his usual kindness, report them through the Theosophist. The masterly expositions of ancient Aryan philosophy, by Mr. T. Subba Row, в. A., в. e., F. T. S., which have attracted wide notice in Europe and America as well as at home, will be continued; and we are promised the favours of many other able scholars.
As there is every likelihood of an eager demand for the forthcoming volume, on the above and various other accounts, it will be but a kindness to remind our present subscribers and readers that we publish an edition only large enough to meet the demand, and cannot undertako to supply back numbers after the edition has been exhausted. To be sure of a set for the year, then, one must send in his name and money as early as possible. Since the magazine is not published for profit, and the proprietors have hitherto given its whole earnings and much more towards the support of the Theosophical Society, we will not consider ourselyes open to tho reproach of covetousness, if we beg our subscribers to try to enlarge its circulation. Each can without much trouble send in the name of at least one new subscriber, and so help on a movement which grows by its own inherent vitality, and has never been nursed or stimulated by artificial means. Fellows of the Society are especially bound to do this much, since the Supplement published solely for the benefit of our numerous Branches to amounce and discuss in it our Society's business-is printed entirely at the expense of the Founders. The circulation of the Theosophist is the soil from which every recent branch of the Society has sprung.
The Business Notice of the Manager will be found on our last page.

## IIINDU MUSIC.

Royal encouragement having been given to the study of Hindu Music, by H. R. H. the Prince of Wales and Duke of Edinburgh consenting to become Patrons of the Poona "Gayan Samaj," (of which that staunch Theosophist, Mr. Bulwantrao is the indefatigable Honorary Secretary) a word or two upon the scientific aspect of the question will be timely. The "Report of the Proceedings of the First Annual Meeting of the Bengal Academy of Music," (held at Calcutta on the 26th Feby. 1883, and attended by Col. Olcott in his capacity of Honorary Member) lies before us. It contains in eatenso the very able, scholarly, and eloquent address of Dr. W. W. Hunter, L L. D., C. I. E., delivered npon that occasion ; a document worthy of the widest possible circulation. And, indeed, whatever Dr. Hunter writes is predestined to lave for readers almost every intelligent person who has access to his works and the ability to read the language in which they are written. Speaking of the difference between Aryan and Western Music, he said :-

[^0]English investigators professed an enthusinsm for Sanskrit Music, which 1 confess myself to be incapable of sharing. l'hat period of overestimation passed away, and gave place to one of uidue appreciation. But a period of calm investigation has come, and we now know the exact capabilities of the ancient music of India. Professors have studied not only the past history, but the future of Ganskrit-literature, and I confess that, speaking as a lover of English Music, I do not expect that Europeans will ever be able to derive great pleasure from Indian Music and Art. There are between the Indian and the Euglish systems of music certain fundamental differences which the European ear requires a special training to appreciate. In the first place, tha Sanskrit system is based on rágs and ráginis to which the English system is a cotal stranger. In the second place, the Sanskrit scale is divided into twenty-two sub-tones; while the English scale consists of twelve tones and semi-tones; so that almost every one of the Sanskrit notes seems a false one to the English ear, because we have not been taught to recognise these minor distinctions in tones and semi-tones which Snnskrit Musio deals in; while the Hindu ear has been trained to recognise modifications of sound which the European ear refuses to take in; and while the European ear has been tanght to recognise harmonic combinations for each, Sanskrit Music subatitutes modifications in tones of a totally different character. So there is a vast gulf between the two arte, and I do not think that gulf will ever be bridged. But I beg you to believe that while the Europeans enjoy thetones of each through custom, the Indian ear is quite charmed by sub-tones. 'lhe interest, howerer, is not a purely scientific one. What we want to do in this Academy is to reader the life of the poor men in Indin more beantiful. Well, we have just heard from the Director of Public Instruction in the Central Provinces, how sordid the life of the peasant is in almost every country, and in mone more so than in India. But there is in many parts of India a survival of a national eystem of musio which gives pleasure to thousands of poor caltivators."

Dr. Hunter is justified in saying that "the English ear requires a special training to appreciate" the rágs and ráginis of the Sanskrit system. He might have better said a special evolution; for the development of the Asiatic auditory nerves and passages is the result of a physiological evolution through many centuries, and it would be unscientific to the last degree to expect that the atmospheric vibrations which give pleasure to their possessor could produce a like effect upon an ear whose sonsitiveness had been developed also for centuries under totally different environment. The relative moisture or dryness of a climate causes specific variations in the human system. So do food, exposure, toil, and other oxternal influences. In a far greater degree is this the case with influences of a psychological character; for, if the body affects the mind, the latter reacts still more powerfully upon the plysical system, and not ouly modifies but has the power to radically alter and make over* the body by infusing now tendencies into its molecules. There is no evidence that the Hindu ear for music has been altered from an antecedent state of sensitiveness equivalent to that now known as the European ear ; in other words, (on the Western hypothesis that their music is higher and more noble than the Indian) that there has been a physiological degradation. No evirence is available, we believe, to prove that our above evolutionary theory as regards the harmonic sense is ill-taken. But, since science affirms that both European and Hindu derive from a common race-stock, the only question to determine is whether the one or other musical system is the more scientific-that is, more agreeable to the order of nature. That decided beyond appeal, it will then be in order to boast.

Musical sound, as Hullah says, is the result of perindic isochronous or equal-toned vibrations of the atmos-phere-vibrations, following one another at an appreciable pace. "Sounds whose constituent ribrations are irregular in their succession, and whose pace therefore is inappreciable, are mere noise. All musical sounds $\dagger$ are not equally agreeable to the ear." The same ear, he should have said; for as "' one man's meat is another man's poison;" so, in music, what gives rapture to tho

[^1]European, puts the Hindu to sleep and drives the Chinaman to the verge of suicide! So is it as to the perception and enjoyment of oolors and odors, and so with the thousand and one things which make up the sensations, thoughts, pleasures, pains and very existence of the different races of mankind. So, if it be not an unfair quostion, why cannot Europeans honestly confess that as the tastes-that for music included-of the darker races are as natural fruits of evolution as their own, they are bound in common fairness to abstain from bragging of their own superiority until they can show what is high and what low in the cosmic view of natural law. It would be but modest to admit the possibility-just the bare possibility, if they choose-that, in Aryan musical sciencethe real key-note of harmony was struck, and that the recognition of tones and semi-tones is the test of a truly cultivated musical ear.

The praise bestowed by Dr. Hunter upon our eminent Fellow, the Rajah Comm. Sourendro Mohun Tagore, C. I. E., was richly deserved. The name of this noble Bengal patron and resuscitator of Hindu Music will be honoured by posterity, and certainly none who have heard the ravishing music his skilful fingers draw from the Sitar will forget the hour of enjoyment thusafforded them. What he is doing for music in Bengal, the equally devoted though less opulent and socially aristocratic Mr. Bulwant Trimbak is doing for Bombay. We express but the feeling of every sincere friend of Indian culture in saying that it will be a fortunate day when these two congenial spirits shall unite their talents and energies for the promotion of a natioual reform of the first importance.
H. S. 0 .

## DEVACHAN.

## WESTERN STRICTURE AND EASTERN VERSION.

[The memorandum that follows emanates from a British Theosophist. It was sent to "Lay Chela," the author of Esoteric Buddhism, in response to whose desire that the objections should be explained away, the three Replies subjoined have been sent. They come from three different sources.-ED.]

## MEMORANDUM.

It seems to me that our misunderstanding arises from the use of inconsistent language in these teachings. We constantly hear of the "dreamers in Devachan," of the "subjective isolation" of this state. And then we are forthwith reproached for regarding it as "less real" than our present condition! Take the case of the association of friends there. What we want to know is whether there is any real intercourse of personalities-of 5th principles-there. No. 6 of "Fragments" in March Theosophist and App. C. p. 136, profess to explain this, but leave it still doubtful. Of course for the disembodied consciousness in Devachan the bodily presence which to us hore is the outward and visible sign of intercourse can have no reality. "It was surely unnecessary to insist much upon that fact. "Two sympathetic sonls," we are told, "both disembodied, will each work out its own Devachanic sensations, making the other a sharer in its snbjective bliss. This will be as real to them, naturally, as though both were yet on this earth." So far so good ; the truth and reality of the intercourse seem to be quite unmistakably affirmed, though of course the mode of the intercourse is not such as we can at present recognise from experience. But in the next passage our doubt revives. "Nevertheless cach is dissociated from the other as regards personal or corporeal association."* As regards corporeal, granted, but what as regards personal, since it is just the personal, 5th prineiple, consciousness that survives in Devachan? Here are two disembodied personal conscionsnesses in Devachan. Are they really and truly affected the one by the other so as to consti-

[^2]tute a veritable intercourse, of is it merely that the one personality imagines the presence of the other, as taking that image to be reality, whereas it does not correspond with any fact of which the other personality could take cognizance ? I deny that I am "postulating an incongruity" in objecting that such an "intercourse" is not real, is "a mere dream," for I can conceive a realintercourse-conscious on both sides and truly acting and reacting-which does 200 " apply only to the mutaal relationship of physical existence."
It is asked "What actual companionship could there ever be other than the purely idealistic one as above described, between two subjective entities which are not even as material as that ethereal body-shadow-the Mayavi-rupa $P^{\prime \prime}$ Now actual companionship implies the mutual action and reaction of consciousnesses-which need not be by any bodily mediation whatever. You must really and truly affect me, and I must know that you are in this sense (the most real of all) present with me, and vice versâ. Anything short of that, any subjective consciousness of mine, whereby some representation of you arises in me if not correspondent to, and caused by, some act or thought of yours, is a mere drean, and I am 'cheated by nature' if I am made to believe what is not the fact. What we want to know, and cannot quite make out from these teachings, is whether Devachan is a state corresponding to our waking life here, or to our sleep with dreams? The former we call real and true, the latter fictitious.
The whole doubt arises out of the following statement: "The person whose happiness of the higher sort on earth had been entirely centred in the exercise of the affections" [that is the case with few of us-enough that the affections are an essential element of our higher happiness] "" will miss none in Devachan of those whom he or she loved." But at once it will be asked, if some of those are not themselves fit for Devachan, how then? The answer is, " that does not matter. For the person who loved them they will be there." And then it is truly pointed out that there is nothing absolutely real in what is objective to us here-all is relative. "As real as the realities of this world to us, and even more so, will be the realities of Devachan to those who go there." But it will not be denied that there is real intercourse between personalities here, albeit, by very imperfect and not essentially real means. Your body, and the voice I hear, as well as my body and those organs of sense by which I hear, are mere phenomena, at least as unreal to a spiritual consciousness, as spirits are unperceived and therefore unieal to us. But you and $I$ are not unreal. There is real intercourse between us. Through our present defective means, it is true that you are very imperfectly, very partially, with meI only get a symbol of your presence. Still it is a perfectly honest symbol as far as it goes, and you are really speaking to me when I hear you. I do not merely seem to myself to hear you, who may be absent or non-existent all the while. But if in Devachan I can realistically inagine the presencethe living, communicating presence-of some one who is not there; what security have I that I am truly in communication with any one who is there? Am I truly in such communication in any case? Or is each personality perfectly secluded and isolated, merely feigning and dreaming the conpanions around it, you of me, and I of you, even though we are both really in the same state, and might just as well be really in pach other's company? But again, how, for any one who had attained the conception of Devachan in earth life-you and I for instance-would such dreams be possible? Why we should know perfectly well all the time that we were merely dreaming, and then the dream would lose all its apparent reality-and we should in fact be awake. I should know that the friend I have left on earth is there still, and that what of him seems to be with me is a mere subjective image of my own. I should know that because I have learned the doctrine of Devachan, and because "the continuity of our speculative ideas is one of the characteristics of Devachan," as you explained to me the wther night. (See Reply II.--Ed.)

There seems to be one way out of this, and I should like to know if that is the true idea. It may be that for the Devachanee, that which is only future and potential for us here, is actual and present. Say that you are in Devachan, I upon earth. I of course as a person upon earth sliould have only that objective consciousness. But my higher personality, though not yet translated into terms of my objective consciousness, may all this while have a subjective consciousuess of ita 0 Wn , that into which I shall come, and with
which I shall identify myself in Devachan. And you in Devachan might be en rapport with this higher sabjective consciousness of mine. You would thus know all that is best in me, all that in me which is in most affinity with your own Devachanic consciousness. Yet it would still be only so much of my 5 th principle as is capable of elevation into the Devachanic state.

I have of course a great deal more to ask, but will not try your patience with more now.

30th April, 1883.

## THE REAL AND THE UNREAL.

## Reply I.

"The perfect conscionaness that 'I am Brahma'
Romoves the false appearances projected
By Ignorance.............. Know that indeed as BrahmaNothing exists but Brahna, when aught elso
Appears to be 'tis like the murage false........."
Atma:bodha (Knowledgo of Soal)-by Sankaracharyq.
The " misunderstanding" arises from a natural misconception of the sense in which certain terms are made ase of rather than from any "inconsistent language" used. Tho alternative of moving for ever in a vicious circle faces the Earopean student of Occult philosophy, who begins his study before having made himself familiar with the technical mode of thought and peculiarity of expression of its teachers. His first necessity is, to know the esoteric views of the ultimate nature of Spirit, of Matter, Force and Space; the fundamental and axiomatic theories as to the Reality and Unreality, Form and the Formless (rupa and a-rupa), dream and waking.** Especially should he master-at least approximately-the distinction between the "objective" and the " subjective" in the living man's sensuous perceptions and the same as they appear to the paychic perceptions of a disembodied entity (Devachanoe). It will not strengthen his case to pnt forth the objection that "the mode of the intercourse is not such as we can at present recognize from experience;" in other words, that until one becomes a "Devachanee" one cannot enter into sympathy with his feelings or perceptions. For, the disembodied individuality being identical in nature with the lighertriad of the living man, when liberated as the result of self evolution effected by the full development of conscious and trained will, the adept ean through this triad learn all that concerns the Devachanee; live for the time being his mental life, feel as he feels, and sharing thoroughly in his supersensuous perceptions, bring back with him on earth the memory of the same, unwarped by mayavic deceptions, hence-not to be gain-said. This, of course, assuming the existence of such lusus nature as an " adept," which may, perhaps, be conceded by the objectors for the sake of argument. And the further concession must be asked that no comparison shall be made to the adept's detriment between the perceptive powers of his triad, when so freed from the body, and those of the half liberated monad of the entranced somnambule or mediun which is having its dazed glimpses into the "celestial arcana." Still less, is it allowable to gauge them by the reveries of an embodied mind, however cultured and metaphysical, which has no data to build upon, save the deductions and inductions which spring from its own normal activity.

However much European students may seem to have outgrown the crude beliefs of their earlier years, yet a special study of Asiatic mental tendencies is indispensable to qualify them to grasp the meaning of Asiatic expressions. In a word, they may have out-grown their hereditary ideas only far enough to qualify them as critics of the same; and not sufficiently to determine what is "inconsistent language" or consistent, of Eastern thinkers. Difference in the resources of language is also a most important factor to keep in mind. This is well illustrated in the alleged reply of an Oriental visiting Europe, when asked to contrast Christianity with Buddhism: "It requires an Index or glossary; for it (Christianity), has not tho ideas for our words, nor the words for our ideas." Every attempt to explain the doctrines of

* The Vedanta philosophy teaches as much as Occalt philosophy that our monad during its life on earth as a triad (7th, 6th, and bth principlos), has, besides the condition of pure intelligence, three conditions; namely, waking, dreaming, and aushupti-a state of dreamless sleepfrom the stand-point of terrestrial conceptions; of real, actual soul-lifo -from the occult stand-point. While man is either dreanalessly, profoundly asleep or in a trance state, the triad (Spirit, Soul and btind) enters into perfect anion with the Paramatma, the Supreme Universal Soal.-Ed.

Occultism in the meagre terminology of European science and métaphysics to students ignorant of our terms, is likely to iesult in disastrous misunderstandings despite good intentions on both sides. Unquestionably, such expressions as " life real in a dream" must appear inconsistent to a dualist who affirms the eternity of the individual soul, its independent existence, as clistinct from the Supreme Soul or Paramatima, and maintains the actuality of (the personal) God's nature. What more natural than that the Western thinker, whose inferences are drawn from quite a different line of thought, should feel bewilderment when told that the Devachanic life is "reality"-though a dream, while earthly life is but " a flitting dream"-thongh imagined an actuality. It is certain that Prof." Balfour Stewart-great physicist though he bewould not comprehend the meaning of our Oriental philosophers, since his lypothesis of an unseen universe, with his premises and conclusions, is built upon the emphatic assumption of the actual existence of a personal God, the personal Creator, and personal moral Governor of the Universe. Nor would the Massulman philosopher with his two eternitiesazl, that eternity which has no beginning, and abd, that other eternity having a beginning but no end; nor the Christian who makes every man's eternity begin (!) at the monent when the personal God breathes a personal soul into the per:sonal body-comprehend us. Neither of these three representatives of belief could, without the greatest difficulty, concut in the perfect reasonableness of the doctrine of Derachanic life.

When the word "subjective" is used in connection with the state of isolation of the Devachanee, it does not stand for the ultimate possible concept of subjectivity, but only for that degree of the same thinkable by the Western non-Oriental mind. To the latter everything is subjective without distinction which evades all sensuous perceptions. But the Occultist postulates an ascending scale of subjectivity which grows continually more real as it gets farther and farther from illusionary earthly objectivity: its ultimate, Reality-Parabrahm.

But Devachan being " but a dream," wo should agree upon a definition of the phenomena of dreams. Has memory any thing to do with them? We are told by some physiologists it has. That the dream-fancies being based upon dormant memory,* are determined and dereloped in most cases by the functional activity of some internal organ, " the irritation of which awakens into activity tbat part of the brain with which the organ is in specific sympathy.'

To this, bowing reverontially to morlern science, the Ocrultist replies that there are dreams and dreams. That there is a difference between a dream produced by outward plysiological causes, and the one wlich reacts and becomes in its turn the producer of super-sensuous perceptions and feelings. That he divides dream into the phenomenal and the noumeanl, and distingnishes between the two; and that, moreover, the physiologist is entirely unfit to comprehend the ultimate constitution of a disembodied Ego-hence the nature of its "dreams." This, he does for several reasons, of which one may be particularly noticed : the physiologist rejects a priori $W_{\text {ILL }}$, the chief and indispensable factor of the inner man. He refuses to recognize it apart from particular acts of rolition, and declares that he knows only the latter, viewed by hinu simply as a reaction or desire of determination of energy outward, after....." the complex interworking and combination of ideas in the hemispheral ganglia." Hence the physiologitt would have to reject at once the possibility of consciousness-minus memory; and the Devachance having too organs, no sensory ganglia, no "educated" nor even "idiotic centres," $\dagger$ nor nerve-cells, cannot naturally have that, what the physiologists wonld regard and define as memory. Unfettered from the personal sensations of the manas, the derachanic consciousness would certainly have to become nniversal or absolute consciousness, with no past ass with no future, the two merging into one etcrnal Present-but for the trammels of the personal Ego. But even the latter, once sevcred from its bodily organs, ean have no such memory as defined by Professor Huxley, who fathcrs it upon the "sensigenons molecules" of the brain-those molecules, which, begotteri by sensation, remain behind when it has passed aray, and that constitute, we are told, the physical foinda-

[^3]tion of memory; hence also the foundation of all. dieams. What can these molecules have to do with the ethereal atoms that act in the spiritaal consciousness of the monad, 'during its bliss wholly based and depending upou the degree of its connection with only the essence of the personal Ego!
What may then be the nature of the Devachanic dieam? we are asked-and how does the occultist define the drean of the still embodied man? To Western science a dream is a series of thoughts, of connected acts or rather "states," which are only imagined to be real. The uninitiated metapliysician, on the other hand, describes it in his exoteric way, as the passage of sense from darkness into light-the awakening of spiritual consciousness. But the occultist, who knows that the spiritual sense pertaining to the immutable can never sleep or even be dormant per se, and is always in the "Light" of reality, says that during the state of slecp, Manas (the seat of the physical and personal intelligence) becomes able-its containing vehicle Kama, the Wifi, being allowed the fill freedom of its conscions action owing to volition being rendered passive, and unconscious by the temporary inactivity of the sensory centres-to perceivé that reality in the subjective world which was hidden from it in waking hours. That reality does not become less real, because upon awakening the "sensigenous molecules," and "uneducated centres" throw and toss in the mayavic light of actual life the recollection and even the remembrance of it into confusion. But the participation of the manas in the Devachanic bliss, does not add to, brit on the contrary takes away from, the reality that would faill to the lot of the noinad were it altogether free from its presence. Its bliss is an outcome of Sakiajjaditthi, the delusion or "heresy of individiality," which heresy, together with the attuvadic chain of causes, is necessary for the monad's future birth. It is all this that leads the occultist to regard the association or "intercourse" between two disembodied entities in the Devachan-howover more real than life it may be-as an illision," and from his standpoint still "a dream," and so to speak of it ; while that which his critics would fain call--however regret-fully-dreans-" the interludes which fancy trakes"--is in the knowledge of the former simply glimpses of the Reality.
Let us take an instance: a son loses a much beloved father. In his dreams lie mny see and converse with him, and for the time it lasts fecl as happy and unconscious of bis death as though the father had never left this earth. This upon awakening, he will regard with sorrow as a mere dream that; could not last. Is he right to so regard it? The occultist says that he is wrong. He is simply ignorant of the fact that his spirit being of the same essence and nature as that of his father,-as all spirits are-and the inherent property of mutual attraction and assimilation being in their special case strengthened by the paternal and filial love of their personal Egos-that they have, in fact, never separated from each other, death itself being powerless to sever psychic association there, where pure spiritual love links the two. The "dream" was in this instance the reality; the latter a maya, a faise appearance due to avidya (false notions). Thus it becomes more correct and proper to call the son's ignorance during his waking hours a "dream" and "a delusion," than to so characterize the real interconrse. For what has happened? A Spiritualist would say: "the spirit of the father descended upon earth to hold communion with his son's spirit, daring the quict hours of sleep." The Occultist replies ; "Not so; neither the father's spirit descended, nor has the son's triad ascended (strictly and correctly speaking)." The centre of Devachanic activity cannot be localized: it is again avidya. Mouads during that time even when connected with their five finite Kosas (sheaths or principles) know neither space nor time, but are diffused throughout the former, are omnipresent and ubiquitous, Manas in its higher aspect is dracyaan eternal " substance" as well as the Buddhi, the spiritual soul-when this aspect is developed; and united with the Soul Manas becomes spiritual self-consciousness, which is a Vikara (a production) of its original "producer" Buddhi.* Unless made utterly unfit, by its having become hopelessly mixert with, and linked to, its lower Tanmatras, to become one with Buddhi, it is inseparable from it. Thus the higher human triad, drawn by its affinity to those triads it loved most, with

[^4]Manas in its highest aspect of self consciousness-(which is entirely disconnected with, and has no need as a channel of the internal organ of physical sense called antah-karana)* -helping, it is ever associated with, and enjoys the presence of all those it loves-in death, as much as it did in life. The intercourse is real and genuine.

The critic doubts whether such an intercourse can be called a "veritable one." He wants to know " whether the two disembodied entities are really and traly affected the one by the other;" or, "is it merely that the one imugines the presence of the other," such intercourse corresponding with no fact " of which the other personality (either embodied or disembodied) could take cognizance ;" and while doubting, he denies that he is "postalating an incongruity" in objecting that such an intercourse is not real, is a " mere dream," for he says," he can conceive a real intercourse-conscious on both sides and truly acting and reacting which does not apply only to the matual relationship of physical existence." If he really can, then where is the difficulty complained of? The real meaning attached by the occultist to such words as dream, reality, and unreality, having been explained, what further trouble is there to comprehend this specific tenet? The critic may also be asked, how he can conceive of a real conscions intercourse on both sides, unless he understands the peculiar, and-to him as yet unknown-intellectual reaction and inter-relation between the two. [This sympathetic reaction is no fanciful hypothesis but a scicntific fact known and taught at initiations, though unknown to modern science and but hazily perceived by some metaphysicians-spiritualists.] $\dagger$ Or is it that, alternatively, he anthropomorphises Spinit-in the spiritualistic mistaken sense? Our critic has just told us that " the mode of the intercourse is not such as we (he) can at present recognize from experience." What kind of interconrse is it then that he can conceive of?

## DREAMLIFE.

## (Reply II.)

The Appendix referred to in the Fragments No. VI, in the Theosophist for March, is in no way inconsistent. When properly understood in the light of our doctrines, App. C. (p. 130) gives what it professes to explain and leaves nothing doubtful, while the Fragments itself has perhaps a few expressions that may be misleading : though exclusively so to those who have not paid sufficient attention to that which preceded. For instance: "Love, the creative force, has placed their (the associates') living image before the personal soul which craves for their presence, and that inage will never fly away." It is incorrect to use the term " personal soul" in connection with the monad. "The personal or animal soul" is, as already said, the 5th principle, and cannot be in Devachan, the highest state permitted to it on earth being samadhi. It is only its essence that has followed the monad into Devachan, to serve it there as its groundtoue, or as the background against which its future dreamlife and developments will move; its entity, or the reliquice is the "shell," the dross that remains behind as an elementary to fade away and in time disappear. That which is in Dovachan is no more the persome-the mask, than the smoll of a rose is the flower itself. The rose decays and becones a pinch of dust: its aroma will never die, and may be recalled and resurrected ages thence. Correctly expressed, the senteuce would have to read :"
the living image before the Spiritual Soul, which being now saturated with the essence of the personality, has thus ceased to be Arupa (formless or rather devoid of all substance) for its Devachanic duration, and craves for their presence, ete." The gestation period is over, it has won the day, been reborn as a new out of the old ego, and before it is ushered again into a new personality, it will reap the effects of the causes sown in its precedent birth in one of the Devachanic or Avitchian states, as the case may be, though the latter are found wide

[^5]apart. Aves'yam eva bhoktavyam kritan karma shubhashubanc.* T'lie Devachanic condition in all its aspects is no doubt similar to a dreamy state when considered from the standpoint of our present objective consciousness when we are in our waking condition. Nevertheless, it is as real to the Devachanee himself as onr waking state is to us. Therefore, when it is asked "Whether Devachan is a state corresponding to our waking life here or to ous sleep with dreams,"-the answer given is that it is not similar to either of these conditions; but it is similar to the dreamy condition of a man who has no waking state at all, if such a being can be supposed to exist. A monad in Devachan has but one state of consciousness, and the contrast between a waking state and a dreamy state is never presented to it so long as it is in that condition. Another objection urged is, that if a Devachance were to think of an object or person as if the object or person were present before him when they are not so (when judged from the common ideas of objective perception) then the Devachanee is "cheated by nature." If such is really the case, he is indeed always "cheated by nature;" and the suggestion contained in the foregoing letter as to the possible mode of communication between a Devachanee and one living on earth will not savo him from delusion. Leaving aside for a moment the nature of a Devachance's communication with another monad either in or out of Devachan, let the nature of his ideas be examined so far as they are connected with objects; and then the truth of the above mentioned statement will be easily perceived. Suppose, for instance, Galileo in Devachan, subjectively engaged in his favourite intellectual pursuit. It is natural to suppose that his telescope often comes within the range of lis Devachanic consciousness, and that the Devachanee subjectively directs it towards some planet. It is quite clear that according to the general ideas of objectivity, Galileo has no telescope before him, and it cannot be contended that his train of ideas in any way actually affects the telescope which he left behind him in this world. If the objector's reasoning is correct, Galileo is " being cheated by nature," and the suggestion above referred to will in no way help him in this case.
Thus, the inference thatit is neither correct nor philosophical to speak of a Devachance as being "cheated by nature" becomes once more unavoidable. Such words as cheating, delusion, reality are always relative. It is only by contrast that a particular state of consciousness can be called real or illusionary; and these words cease to have any significance whatever, when the said state of consciousness cannot be compared with any other state. Supposing one is jastified in looking upon Devachanic experience as delusion from his present stand-point as a human being living on this earth, what then? We fail to see how any one means to make use of this inference. Of course from the foregoing remarks the reader is not to suppose that a Devachance's consciousness can never affect or influence the state of consciousness of another monad either in or out of Devachan. Whether suche is the case or not, the reality or the unreality of devachanic experience, so fur as a Devachance is concerned, docs not depend upon any such communicative infltence.

In some cases it is evident that the state of consciousness of one monad whether in Devachan or yet on earth, may blend with, as it were, and influence the ideation of anothermonad also in Devachan. Such will be the case where there is strong, affectionate sympathy between the two egos arising from participation in the same higher feclings or ennotions, or from similar intellectual pursuits or spiritual aspirations. Just as the thoughts of a mesmerizer standing at a distance are commmicated to his subject by the emanation of a current of magnetic conergy attracted readily towards the subject, the train of ideas of a Devachance are commonicated by a current of magnetic or electric force attracted towards another Devachance by reason of the strong sympathy existing between the two monads, especially when the said ideas relate to things which are subjectively associated with the Devachanee in question. It is not to be inferred, however, that in other cases when there is no such action or reaction, a Devachanee becomes conscious of the fact that his subjective experience is amere delusion, for it is not so. It was already shown that the question of reality or unreality does not depend upon any such communication or transmission of intellectual energy.

* The fruit of the troe of action, whother good or bad, must nayoid! ably be caton.

We are asked, "if some of those (the Devachanee loved) are not themselves fit for Devachan, how then ?" We answer: " Even in the case of a man still living on earth, or eren of one suffering in Avitchi, the ideation of a monad in Devachan may still affect his monad if there is strong sympathy between the two as indicated above.* Yet the Devachanee will remain ignorant of the mental suffering of the other."

If this generous provision of nature that never punishes the innocent outside this our world of delusion, be still called "a cheating of nature," and oljected to, on the ground that it is not an "honest symbol" of the other personality's presence, then the most reasonable course would be to leave the occult doctrines and Devachan alone. The noble truths, the grandest goal in soul-life, will remain for ever a closed book to such minds. Devachan instead of appearing what it is-a blissful rost, a heavenly oasis during the laborious journey of the Monad toward a ligher evolution, will indeed present itself as the culmination, the very esscnce of death itsclf. One has to sense intuitionally its logical necessity; to perceive in it, untaught and nnguided, the outcone and perpetuation of that strictest justice absolutely consonant with the harmony of the universal law, if one would not lose time over its deep significance. We do not mean it in any unkind spirit, yet with such an opposition to the very exposition (since no one is pressed for its acceptance) of our doctrine by some western minds, we feel bound to remind onr opponents that they have the freedom of choice. Ainong the later great world philosophics there are two,- the more modern the outgrowth of the older,-whose "after states" are clearly and plainly defined, and the acceptance of either of which,moreover, would be welcomed : one-by millions of spiritualists, the otherby the most respectable portion of humanity, viz., civilized Western society. Nothing equivocal, or like cheating of nature in the latter : her Devachances, the faithful and the true, are plainly and charitably promised the ineffable rapture of seeing during an cternity those whom they may have loved best on earth suffering the tortures of the clamned in the depths of Gehenna. We are, and do feel willing to give out some of our facts. Only occult philosophy and Buddhism laving both failed as yet to produce a T'ertnllian to strike for us the key-note of an orthodox hicll, $\dagger$ we cannot mindertake to furnish fictions to suit every taste and fancy.

There is no snch place of torture for the innocent, no such state in which under the plea of reward and a necessity for "honest symbols," the guileless should be made witness to, or oven aware of, the sufferings of those they loved. Were it atherwise, the active bliss of the Dhyan Chohans themselves would turn into a shoreless ocean of gall at such a sight. And He who willed-" Let all the sins and evils flowing from the corruption of Kaliyng, this degenerate age of our's fall upon me, but let the world be redeemed"-would have so willed in vain, and might have given preference to the awes of the visible to those of the invisible world. To suppose that " "Soul" escaping from this evil-girdled planet where the innocent weep while the wicked rejoice, should have a like fate in store for it even within the peaceful haven of Devachan, would be the most maddening, the dreadful thought of all! But we say, it is not so. The bliss of a Devachanec is complete, and nature secures it even at the risk of being accused of cheating by the pessimists of this world unable to distinguish between J'astu-the one reality and Vishaya-the "mayas" of our senses. It is fetching rather too far the presumption that our objective and subjective shall be the true standards for the realities and unrealities of the rest of the universe ; that our criterion of truth and honesty is to stand as the only universal land-mark of the same. Had we to proceed upon such principles, we would have to accuse nature of cheating incessantly not only her human but also her animal offspring. Who, of our objectors, when treating of facts of matural history and the phenomena of rision and colomr, would over hazard the remark that becanse ants are utterly

[^6]unable to see and distinguish colours as human beings do, (the red, for instance, having no existence for them) therefore, are they also "cheated by nature." Neither personality nor oljectivity as known to us, have any being in the conceptions of a monad ; and could, by any miracle, any living human creature come within the range of the Devachanic vision, it would be as little perceived bj the Devachanee as the elementals that throng the air around us are perceived with our natural eyes.
One more error of the critic. He scems to be labouring under the impression that if one has some conception of Devachanic state of subjective consciousuess while in this life, he will know that such experience is illusionary when he is actually there; and then Dcvachanic beatitudes will have lost all their reality so far as he is concerned. There is no reason to apprehend any such catastrophe. It is not very difficult to perceive the fallacy that underlies this argument. Suppose, for instance, A, now living at Lahore, knows that his friend $B$ is at Calcutta. He dreams that they are both at Bombay engaged in various transactions. Does he know at the time he is dreaming that the whole dream is illusionary? How can the consciousness that his friend is really at Calcntta, which is only realized when he is in his waking condition, help him in ascertaining the delusive nature of his dream when he is actually dreaming? Even after expericncing dreams several times during his life and knowing that dreams are generally illusionary, $\AA$ will not know that he is dreaming when he is actually in that condition.

Similarly, a man may experience the devachanic condition while yet alive, and call it delusion, if he pleases, when he comes back to his ordinary state of objective consciousness and compares it to the said condition. Nevertheless, be will not know that it is a dream either when he experiences it a second time (for the time being) while still living, or when he dies and goes to Devachan.

The above is sufficient to cover the case were even the state under discussion indeed "a dream" in the sense our opponents hold it in. But it is neither a "dream" nor in any way " cheating." It may be so from the stand-point of Jolnsorn's dictionar'y; from that of fact independent of all human definition, and the stand-point of him who knows something of the laws that govern the worlds invisible, the intercourse between the monads is real, mutual, and as actual in the world of subjectivity, as it is in this onr world of deceptive reality. It is the old story of Zöllner's man from the two-dimensional region disputing the reality of the plenomena taking place in the three-dimensional world.

## THE VARIOUS STATES OF DEVACHAN.

## (Reply III.)

The foremost qnestion that presents itself to the mind of the Occultist of Asiatic birtl, upon seeing the multifarious difficulties which beset the European students of Esotericism, as regards Devachan : how to account for their weird fancies witlr regard to the after states! It is natural for one to measure other persons' intellectual operations by his own; not without an effort can he put himself in his neighbour's place and try to see things from his stand-point. As regards Devachan, for example, nothing would apparently be clearer than the esoteric doctrine, incompletely as it may have been expressed by "Lay Chela;" yet it is evidently not comprehended, and the fact must be ascribed, I think, rather to the habitual differences in our respective ways of looking at things than to the mechanical defects in the vehicle of expression. It would be very hard for an Asiatic Occultist to even conjure up such a fancy as that of Swedenborg, who nakes the angels our post-mortem "inquisitors," obliged to estimate a soul's accumulated merits and demerits by physical inspection of its body, beginning at the tips of the fingers and toes and tracing thence to centres! Equally bafting would be the attempt to bring ourselves to the point of seriously tracing a denizen of the American Summer-Land of Spirits through the nurseries, debating clubs, and legis lative assemblies of that optimistic Arcadian Eden. A warp of anthropomorphism seems to run through the entire woof of European metaphysics. The heary hand of a personal deity and his personal ministers seems to compress the brain of almost every Western thinker. If the influence dues not show itself in one form, it does in another. Is it a question about God ? A metaphysical slide is inserted, and the stereopticon flushes before us a picture of a gold-paved, pearly-doored New Jerusalem, with its Durbar Hall, peacock
throne, Maharajah, Dewans, courtiers, trumpeters, scribes, and general train. Is the intercourse between disembodied spirits under discussion? The Western constitutional bias of mind can conceive of no such intercourse without some degree of mutual consciousness of an objective presence of the corporeal kind : a sort of psychic chit-chat. I hope I do not wrong our Western correspondents, but it is impossible, for myself at least, to draw any other conclusions from the whole tenor of the British Theosophist's memorandum. Vapoury and etherealized as his concept may be, it is yet materialistic at the core. As we would say, the germ-point of metaphysical evolution is of Biblical derivation: and through its opalescent rapour sparkle the turrets of the "New Jerusalem."
There is much fanciful exotericism to be sure, in Asiatic systems. Quite as much and more perhaps than in the Western ; and our philosophies have many a harlequin cloak. But we are not concerned now with externals : our critic comes upon metaphysical ground and deals with esotericism. His difficulty is to reconcile "isolation," as he understands it, with."intercourse" as we understand it. Though the monad is not like a seed dropped from a tree, but in its nature is ubiquitous, all-pervading, omnipresent; though in the subjective state time, space and locality are not factors in its experiences; though, in short, all mundane conditions are reversed; and the now thinkable becomes the then unthinkable and vice-verst -yet the London friend goes on to reason as though all this were not so.
Now, Buddhistically speaking, there are states and states and degrees upon degrees in Devachan, in all of which, notwithstanding the (to us) objective isolation of the principal hero, he is surrounded by a host of actors in conjunction with whom he had during his last earth-life oreated and worked out the causes of those effects that are produced first on the field of Devachanic or Avitchean subjectivity, then used to strengthen the Karma to follow on the objective (?) plane of the subsequent rebirth. Earth-life is, so to say, the Prologue of the drama, (or we should, perhaps, call it mystery) that is enacted in the rupa and arupa lokas. Now were we to say that nature, with every dne regard to personality and the laws of objectivity as understood in exotericism, " constitutes a veritable intercourse" betweein the devachanic heroes and actors; and, instead of dissociating the monads not only as regards "personal or corporenl" buteven astral" association"-establishes "actual companionship" between them, as on the earth-plane, we might, perhaps, avoid the strange accusation of " nature cheating" in Devachan. On the other hand, after thus pandering to emotional objections, we could hardly help placing our European Chelas in a far more inextricable dilemma. They would be made to face a problem of personal postnortem ubiquity, throwing that of the Western deity far into the background of illogical absurdity. Suppose for one moment a Devachanic father, twice wedded, and loving both his wives as he does his children, while the step-mother loves neither his progeny nor their mother, the coolest indifference if not actual aversion reigning between the two. "Actual companionship," and "real personal intercourse" (the latter applied even to their astral bodies) implies here bliss for the father and irritation for the two wives and children, all equally worthy of Devachanic bliss. Now imagine again the real mother attracting by her intense love the children within her devachanic state, and thus depriving the father of his legitimate share of bliss. It has been suid before, that the devachanic mind is capable only of the highest spiritual ideation; that neither objects of the grosser senses nor any thing provocative of displeasure could ever be apprehended by it-for otherwise, Devachan would be merging into Avitchi, and the feeling of unalloyed bliss destroyed for ever. How can nature reconcile in the above case the problem without either sacrificing her duty to our terrestrial sense of objectivity and reality, or, without compromising her status before our criterion of truth and honest dealing? On one hand, the children would have to double and treble themselves ad infinitum-as they too may have disembodied, devachanic objects of spiritual attachment clamouring elsewhere for their presence-which process of ubiquity would hardly be consistent with our notions of personal, actual presence, at one and the same time and at several different places; or, there would always be somebody, somewhere " cheated by nature." To place the monads promiscuously together, like one happy family-would be fatal to truth and fact: each man, however insignificant he may
have been on earth, is yet mentally and morally sui generis in his own distinct conceptions of bliss and desires, and has, therefore, a right to, and an absolute necessity for, a specific, personal, " isolated" devachan.
The speculations of the Western mind have hitherto scarcely ever depicted any higher future life than that of the Kama and Rupa lokas, or the lower, intra-terrestrial " spirit-worlds." In Appendix D. many states and spheres are hinted at. According even to exoteric Buddhistic philosophy disincarnate beings are divided into three classes of-(1) Kamawâchera, or those who are still under the dominion of the passions in Kamaloka; (2) Rupawâchera, or those who have progressed to a higher stage, but. still retain vestiges of their old form in Rupa loka; and (3) Arupawdichera, or those who are become formless entities in the Arupa lokns of the highest Devachant. All depends on the degree of the monad's spirituality and aspirations. The astral body of the 4th principlecalled Kama, because inseparable from Kama loka,-is always within the attraction of terrestrial magnetism; and the monad has to work itself frec of the still finer yet equalls potent attractions of its Manas before it cever reaches'in its series of Devachanic states, the upper-Arupa regions. Therefore, there are various degrees of Devachanees. In those of the Arupa lokas the entities are as subjective and truly "nod even as naterial as that cthereal body-shadow-the Mayarirupa." And yet even there, we affirm there is still "actual companionship." But only very few reach there skipping the lower degrees. There are those Devachanees, men of the highest moral calibre and goodness when on earth, who, owing to their sympathy for old intellectual researches and especially for unfinished mentid work, are for centurics in the Rupa-lokas in a strict Devachanic isolation-literally so, since men and loved relatives have all vanislied out of sight before this intense and purely spiritual passion for intellectual pursuit. For an example of the study-bound (pardon the new word for the sake of its expressivencss) condition, take the mental state of the dying Berzelins, whose last thought was one of clespair that his work should be interrupted by death. This is Tanha (Hindu Trishna) or an unsatisfied yearning which must exhaust itself before the entity can move on to the purely a-rupa condition. A provision is made for every case, and in cach case it is created by the dying man's last, uppermost desire. The scholar who had mainly lived under the influence of manas, and for the: pleasure of devcloping his highest physical intelligence, kepi absorbed in the mysteries of the material universe, will still be magnetically held by his mental attractions to scholars and their work, inflnencing and being influenced by them subjectively, -(though in a maner quite different from that known in seance-rooms and by mediums,) until the cnergy exlansts itself and Buldhi becomes the only regnant influence. The same rule: applies to all the activitios, whether of passion or sentiment, which entangle the travelling monad (the Individuality) in the relationships of any given birth. The disincarnate must consecutively mount each ring of the latder of being upward from the earthly subjective to the alsolutely subjective. And when this limited Nirvanie state of Devachan is attained, the entity enjoys it and its vivid though spiritual realities mutil that phase of Karma is satisfied and the physical attraction to the next earth-life asserts itself. In Devachan, therefore, the entity is affected ly and reciprocally affects the psychic state of any other entity whose relationship is so close with it as to survive, as was above remarked, the purgatorial evolution of the lower post-mortem spheres. Their intercourse will be sensed spiritually, and still, so far as any relationship until now postulated by Western thinkers goess. each will be " dissociated from the other." If the questionercan formulate to himself the condition of the monad as pure spirit, the most subjective entity conceivable, without form, color, or weight, even so great as an atom; an entity whose recollections of the last personality (or earth-birth) are derived from the late union of the Manas with the lower five principles-he may then find himself able to answer his own interrogatory. According to Esoteric Doctrine this evolution is not viewed as the extinguishment of individual consciousness but its infinite expansion. The entity is not obliterated; but united with the universal entity, and its consciousness becomes able not merely to recall the seene: of one of its carth-cvolved Personalities, but of each of the entire series around the Kalpa, and then those of every other Personality. In short from being finite it becomes intinite conscionsness. But this comes only at the end of all the
births at the great day of the absolute Resurrection. Yet, as the monad moves on from birth to birth and passes its lower and Devachanic spheres after each fresh earthly existence, the mutual ties created in each birth must weaken and at last grow inert, before it can be reborn. The record of those relatiouships imperishably endures in the Akasa, and they can always be reviewed when, in any birth, the being evolves his latent spiritual powers to the "fourth stage of Dhyann:" but their hold upon the being gradually relaxes. This is accomplished in each inter-natal Devachan; and when the personal links-maguetic or psychic, as one may prefer to call them-binding the Devachanee to other entities of that uext provious life, whether relatives, friends, or family, are worn out, he is free to move on in his cyclic path. Were this obliteration of personal ties not a fact, each being would be travelling around the Kalpa entangled in the meshes of his past relationslips with his myriad fathers, mothers, sisters, brothers, wives, \&c., \&e., of his numberless births: a jumble, indeed! It was the ignorant delusion of the geocentric hypothesis which begot all the exoteric theologies, with their absurd dogmas. So, likewise, it is the ignorant theory of monogenesis, or but one earth life for each being, which makes it so hard for European metaphysicians to read the riddle of our existence and comprehend the difference between the monad's individuality, and its physical appearance in a series of earth-lives as so many different, totally distinct personalities. Europe knows much about atomic weights and chemical symbols, but has little idea of Devachan.

## an astrologer of repute.

## By Pestonji Dorabi Khandalawala.

"There are more things in heaven and earth, Horatio, than are drearnt of in your philosophy."
Who can dispute these immortal lines? The ferer of knowing, the desire to unmask the secrets of Nature, will they cver cease? However sceptical and gross, who has not felt that

## "There's a divinity that shapes our ends, Rougb hew them how we will."

Men and womeu hare all been lured in all ages to unveil futurity. They hare all wanted "to know you know" as young Barnack of the Circamlocation Office woald say. But those who are very secare of their fatnre think they could make of it what they like. "It's a fool or an unskilfal workman," say they, "who let's it fall of itself into a shape he does not like." When they bold the chisel are they not sure of their work? Who knows? The hand might slip, the marble prove brittle, the mallet shiver. Is it a Milo yon bave planned? Lo! it's a Laocoon of tortare moulded! They forget what the great Cellini did not when fashioning his master-piece: the Winged Perseas. One llaw night mar the whole. Could any prediction seem more absurd than when Bernini made the prophesy that foretold ill for the heir of England? And what was it that cost Ferdinand D'Orleans his life, his son a throne, the Bourbons their royalty, and France her years of progress and of peace? The riding whip he flang away had perhaps saved bim. The Barouche proved his death. Was not life so much plastic clay to tho Orleanist and the Stuart? Lord help us! How many tarning points there are in our lives? Life hinges upon hazard. The veriest trifle may build a fate for us which no strength can resist. It is given to the astrologer and the seor to lift the band that is bound fast on our eyes. These gifts of prevision are given to people whom we call the roughs. These are the vascs of election where Nature pats the elixir that sarprises humanity. The roughs have given us prophets, saints and seers: Yeter the Hermit, Joan of Arc. Every time that thought remains in eutirety, a block not cut op in conversation, in intrigues, in literary and scientific labours, in administrative efforts, in inventive conceptions, in strategic plans, it is apt to throw a fire of prodigious intensity, like the unpolished diamond preserving in itself the eclat of its facets. Comes a time when that intelligence lits itself up. It has wings to overleap distanco, divine eyes to see all. Today a carbon, tomorrow under the jot of that unknown fluid which traverses it, it is a sparkling diamond. Who has not heard of the Gitana telling one's bonne-aventure per hand crossed? These Bohemians are mendicants, gross in appearance, pebbles rolled by a torrent of misery in the rats of life. We do not care to notice such. We go through life on stilts. In our
heart of hearts we all like to lsnow our futare. And yet be eanse Fashion has not set its seal upon consulting astrologers, wo check the desire lest people should laugh. We have among nsan astrologer of repate by name landit Mahder Prasad. He comes from Cashmere and carries with him a rare work Bhragoota Sangita, given him, he says, by a Lama Gura. We would like to see some of our intelligent Fellows give lim a fair trial and satisfy themselves as to the trath of the science.

Baroda, 11 th June 1883.

## (Concluded from the June Number).

BY " BELL, BOOK AND CANDLE."*
Leaves from the Noterboos of a Missionary Priest.
At another time, he said that they belonged to "some legions of the hierarchies," but not to the Virtues or Thrones, as they had not rebelled (?), that he himself belonged to the sixth legion, and that there were some among them stronger than uthers, and more difficult to expel. Fach one has a certain jurisdiction, and can see at one glance all that is taking place, visibly and invisibly, in that apace They are continually striving to take possession of persons or animals. They succeed among infidels and beretics, and also in regions destitate of priests, as was the case in this place; Fatherhad previoasly come across several persons at - possessed, one especially who was tormented by twenty-eight devils, also two Protestint women. He said, furthermore, that suicides, marders, etc., are sometimes the result of actual possession brouglt on by sin; also that inany conifined in lunatic anglums are simply possessed by devils, but hiddenly, and that, if they were exoreised, they wonld be forced ont.

With regard to possessed animals. In the same place, and during this possession, I was asked by a person to exorcise some of his horses who were possessed. He took me to his stable, showed me his horses, which were quiet, and gave mo the holy water; I aspersed them with it, and lo! they began to get excited, as thongh worried by a thousand horse-flies. $\dagger$

Sometimes possession is the frult of the victim, sometines the result of magical dealings with the devil, and sometimes trials by permission of God without any fault on the part of the person, as in the case of this girl. This is easily explained in the answer of our Divine Lord to His disciples with reference to the man borm blind. Rabbi, who hath sinned, this man or his parents, that he should be born blind? Jesus answered: Neither hath this man simned, nor his parents: but that the works of God should be made manifest in him. (St. John, ix.) $\ddagger$.

But to resume. When we sprinkled holy water on the girl, it was followed by a gnashing of teeth and sigus of pain and disgnst. Once when we asked for the names of the devils that we night write them down, ho gave them, and, while doing so, said : "This one is the devil of pride, this voe of lust, this one of jealousy," etc., and, when giving the name of the sixtcenth, "This one," said he, " is the deril of gluttony; he would like that thou givest him sonnething to drink." Then a thouglit came to my mind.
"Would he like sweetened water?" I asked.
"Oh, yes!"
Then I asked loudly of the mother, who was in the next room, if she had any sagar. On replying in the affirmative, I said that-wished for some sweetened water. I then went into the next roon, and, spaking in a load tone of voice, said: "Give me a glass of water, some sugar and a teaspoon ; I will prepare it." I then pat into the glass some holy water blessed for exorcisms, and making a noise with the spoon as though mixing the sugar, I went with it to the girl, and presented it to her. "——, drink this, my child," said I, She took it, and began to drink, but immodiately spat it out in my face, using at the same time expressions that tho devil alone conld inspire, and saying, "You deceived me," which was a new proof that it was the devil, to whom anything blessed is as wormwood.

## * See page 224, June Number.

+ Now this statement of "possessed horses" and the effect of holy water upon them implies more than it says. It is positively charming, and reminds one of tho Golden Legends in which the reader meets with a wolf and a dragon converted to Christianity and weeping over their sing.-Ell.
$\ddagger$ Rather than bolieve in such a "God," many good men have ceased to bolieve in one at all. It is against the interpretations of the words of Jesus of Naziroth and not the words themselves (which mean quite a different thing) that ao many ex.Christians have rebelled.-Ed.

In this house I thought to hear the girl's confession and give ber Holy Commanion, according to my instractions, bat, as I was telling her to prepare herself, the devil said: "No! she shall not; 1 will prevent her," and she made a sign, tonching her throat, that she could not speak, but nodded her willingness. I commanded him to let her speak, and sat down to hear her. "I will make the confession for her," said he: "I accuse myself of hating God (initating a penitent), but I am sorry-that. I don't hate him more. I eurse Him; I do Him all the evil in iny power; I regret that $I$ cannot annihilate Him." I silenced him at once, and began an exorcism, but in vain. It took three days to get her free to speak, and not without extraordinary means.

Father--bad an old litnal containing the blessing of a rod with which to strike the devil when obstinate. I blessed one, threatened him with it, but he seemed not to dread it; theu, with the permission of the family, who were present, I struck sharply on the shoulder of the girl, who was kneeling. She screamed.
"Do you feel any pain?" I inquired.
She shook her head in the negative.
Then I contiuued, "Who feels pain?"
" $I$ " said the devil.
"Then obey."
"No!" was the reply.
I struck still harder, and then he gave up.
"She may make her confession," he said, growling.
I asked the girl, who was then free to speak, if she felt any pain. She replied that she did not; she was not even aware of what had taken place.

After that she made her confession without difficulty. The next morning, I said Mass in the house by special permission; she was to receive Holy Communion. During Mass she uttered loud blasphemies, and became so frantic that her father and one of her brothers coald scarcely hold her. At the time of Commanion, it was with the greatest difficulty that she could be taken to the altar; and, while kreeling there, she continued to make grimaces and contortions. At last, I gave her Holy Communion, and she was taken back to her place. After my thanksgiving, I went into the next room with Father--to take breakfast, the girl remaining in the room. We were stauding to say the Benedicite, and, while raising my hand to make the Sign of the Cross, what was my astonishment! "Look down!" I exclaimed.

The floor was literally covered with little, white, living worms (maggots), and some were even climbing the walls.*

We were amazed; the landlady crossed herself, saying it was the cursed one. We thought, indeed, it was some trick of the devil, and called in the girl, who came relactantly; we asked her what that was on the floor. She (looking down sidewsys) said, "These are worms.",
"Where are they coming from?"
"I don't know." But she said it in quite a knowing manner.
" You mast know it. I conimand you to tell the truth." Then we heard the girl mutter, as though speaking with some one, "Don't suy it."
"But I am commanded, I mnst speak."
We saw be did not want to tell the trath, and was deceiving us. Then I was obliged to take the surplice and stole, aud made a regalar exorcism, after which he said, in a serious and truthful manner : "It was when she received her God: it is a sign of our going out."
"But how is it there are no worms in the other room?" (whera Mass had been said.)
" Becaase," answered the voice, with great solemnity, " we are not worthy to be where Jesus Christ is." $\dagger$

Weswept the room, and threw the worms into the fire, bat some were fonnd even till noon.
Only then did she know that she had been possessed. The exorcisms bad lasted six weeks, and Father-, solicitons for the spiritaal welfare of the girl, thought, if she could be admitted to a convent where the perpetual adoration was observed, that perhaps she would be entirely freed; he therefore wrote to his Superior ou the matier, and, receiving a favoruble reply, she was taken to the Convent of - - some

* Spontaneous generation P A clover and a scientific devil that !-Ed.
+ This answer would mako the sceptically inclined infer that Clirist most, in sach a case, be very often absent from his Charch, since it is sometimes near the very altars und during the ceremony of public exorcisma, that the devil has manifested himeelf most fiercely in those he gets poseession of :- Witness tho Nuns of Loudau? - Ed.
miles distant, and, for a month, followed the commanity exercises with regularity, and without any extraordinary signs, till one night, after nine o'clock, all the Sisters having retired she left the dormitory, and went to the room of the Superior, who was in bed, and bit her arm; she then left the room, ran through the corridor and npstairs, screaming at the top of her voice, and exclaiming, "I cannot stay here; my feet are burning." At last she ran outdoors and all the Sisters were engaged for some time in looking for her; she was at length found in the garden, beatiug her head against a tree and saying, "I must kill this wicked beast who makes me suffer so." She was taken to the house, and became more quiet, but was sent away early in the morning. I happened to be going to see her, having finished my mission in-..-, when I met the conveyance that was taking lier away. I continued my way to the convent, and there learned these particulars from Father--. She was taken to her uncle's hoaso, at --, where she gave new proofs of possession. A medical doctor-a Lutheran-having heard of the cise, requested that he mightsee the girl and speak to her; having obtnined permission, be asked her if she knew Lather. "Yes!" camo the answer: "he is with us!" The doctor withdrew immediately, without further questioning.*
Sometimes the devil speaks against himself, and works for the glory of God and the salvation of souls, which is always the design of God in permitting possessions. $\dagger$ His replies are always in the language of the person possessed, so that all may understand.

It may be asked why the girl became possessed a second time, the demon having said that he had no further power over her. I can only say that probably it was not the will of God that she should go to the convent (the place was not cougenial to him).
llat, by praying, she obtained peace. Two years after, she became perfectly quiet; I paid her a visit, and she was almost entirely free. I conjured the devil, who was still there; ho told me that he could do nothing against her, as she 'was continally preventing him by her prayers. She had indeed become very pious, and had a special devotion to the Blessed Virgin. About four years afterwards, I visited the place again, and found the girl a fall-growu woman; she acted very naturally, and told me, as did also her muther, that she luad not experienced any trouble for several years. During the conversation I conjured the devil interiorly, but received no answer, nor did I notice anything strange in har actions, The extraordinary affair was at an end.-Ave Maria.

Editor's Note.-This narrative, given by a good Roman Catholic padri, evidently sincere and truthinu, and published in an authorized orthodos Christian journal, : he Catholic Mirror, strikes for us the kes-note of Christian theology. This is anthoritative, rood, sound, orthodox Christianity; and he who belieses in it will not be damned, but on the coutrary will be honoured and rospected in society. That which Theosophy teachos is all the reverse. Our philosophy is hooted at, and the orthodox believors in a personal devil will turn away with a shudder of horror from the theosophic teachings. We are in the XIXth century, in tho full blaze of civilization and science, we see.-Ed.

## ALLAH.

Among the Hindus therc is a belief current that the Mahomedan religion is an offipring of the Atharva Vedas; and many well read among the Mahomedans have also the corresponding belief that the Hindus are their predecessors. It is not improbable that very few really know what the Vedas say on the subject, and therefore it will not be inopportune to give publicity to the following contained in them. $\ddagger$ It is hardly worth mentioning that in Vedic Sanskrit the word Alla is the femininell of Allah, and that both mean Parameswara (the Great God).
"Om Asmâllăm illā Mr̂tră vârunodĭyyanı̆ dhâttā. Ilâllà Vâruno raja punârdâdul, Hâyămí mytro rllăm îllâlletr rllăllăm vârunomítro tejầkămăh. Hovărmindrohotărmindromâhâsurindrăh. Allojyeshthâm sreshthâm

[^7]pârâmầin poornâm Brâmhănâmâllăm Âllorâsurâ Mâhầmâdârâkâm vârâsyâ Âllo Âllănı ădâllăvukâmckâkâm. Âllămvukâm nı̌klătâkâm. Âlloyâjnenâ hutâlutwâh Âllă suryâ chândrâ sârvânâkshetrăh Âllo Ruslǐ̌năm sadǐyyă Yndrăyâ purvâm măyăpârâmântâ ântârǐkshăll. Âllo prǐthĭvyă Ântârrkslầm viswârupâm divyăuř dhâttā rillâllã vầrimo rǎjă punârdâdul. Illăkâvârâ illlăkâvârrâ ịlâlletr
 Rleem jâuăn pâsoon sidhăn jâlâcluârău Âdrǐshtâm kuru kuru phât. Âsurâsămhăriui hunn Âllo râsurâmâhâ̂mâdârâkầm vâràsyâ âllo âllăn ǐllâlletř culâllâh."一 Âthâvăn suktâm."
The above quotation speaks for itself and clearly shows that the names Alla, Mahamad, Ralhin, \&ce \&c. are all traceable to the Vedas as well as tho veneration paid to them. Our learned pundits may throw much light on the subject if they wish and thus help the canse of Theosophy. It is a signiificant fact that all the folk-lore and current beliefs have their foundation in some grand Truth. Hiuduism and Mahomedanism are the two extremes, while Judaism and Clristianity are simply intermediate links of the sane chain.
N. B.

## Berhanzpore.

## tile essentials of religion.

## (An answer by Babu Raj Nabain Bose.)

I mave read your able, wise and discriminating remarks on my article in the "Tattwabodhini Patrika," the "Essential Religion," in the June Number of the Theosnphist with the greatest attention. The great liberality of tone which marks those strictures does you much credit. I am sorry, however, that I cannot agree with yon in all the opinions broached in your article. You have expressed yourself, in the saine, as hostile to roligions proselytization and conversion. Every man, who has a regard for the sanctity of truth, must feel it his duty to propagate that which he considers to be troe.* This holds good in religion as in all other branches of knowledge. It would show disregard for truth and would be a dereliction of duty if we do not propagate what we consider to be true and confine it to ourselves. Yon are of opimion that religion does not require to bo propagated, as it is a mere matter of emotion and haman weal or woo cloos not drpend upon it. Granting that it is a mere matter of emotion, does not emotion influence human conduct and thereby human wals or woes? Religion shoald therefore be propalgate!, but the propagation should be made by means of argament and gentle persuasion, not asing the least rompulsion. Do mot you, Theosophists, propagate yoar opinions which are of a semi-religions character and try to convert others to your riews? Do you not "impose your own personal views," to use gour own words, upon people who do not believe occultism to be true, and who disbelieve in the existence of spirit and a future world? $\dagger$ The

* And since fow of us have identical beliefs, and every religionist of whatever faith is firmly impressed with the trath and superiorits of his own ereed, with no regard whatever for the truths possibly contained in that of his brother,-the result is, that sectarianism is kept ever alive, with no chanco in it for mutnal toleration-least of all, foolings of Brotherhood. Thore are many atheists in our Society, as derply impressed with tho correctness of their negations as our esteened correspondent is with that of his aftirnations. Woold our atheists be wolcomo, or likely to be listencel to, in the Brahmo Mandirs? Then why claim for ono what is refused to the other? There never was a timo yot whon a Brahmo preacher conll not have had the cliance to disevurso beforo the Theosophical Society, upon Theism, nor ever one when than like courtesy has been given to Col. Olcott, or ang other Theosophist spenker. For years, we lived nenr the Prarthana Saniaj in Bombay, but its platform wasever closed for, and refused to ns, cuen when nsined for--Ed.
+ Wo can assnre our correspondent that we do nothing of the kind. When challenged to give out onv views, we do so, adding every time that they are onr own personal views; and as such-since we do not believo ourselyes infallible-are not to be taken as fimal traths. Instead of preaching our own religion, we inplore every one to first study his own and romain in it, whatever it is. lesides which, theosophy is compatible with every religion, the world ovor. There were thanmatargists in overy creed, and mysticism has as nuch room in idolatrons as in monotheistic syetems. Theosophy is the culmination and
opinion that God is impersonal is, I understand, your personal opinion and not that of the general body of Theosophists. Do you not try to impose this persoual conviction of yours on others although it has little connection with Theosoply, or else why do you return to the subject again and ngain in the columns of the Theosophist ?* Propagaudism and conversion you cannot avoid, but it, must no doubt be made by gentle means. You say that religious propagandism carried in any way leads to bloody wars and fiery persecutions, but do not differences of opinion in matters of politics and science also lead sometimes to fiery persecution? I'herc is need of tolerance in politics and science us in religion. Among persecutions in the province of science may be mentioned that of Homeopaths by Allopaths. What I meant to say in my article on "Essential Religion"; is that we shonald be tolerant of all forms of religious faith, but at the same time propagate our orw individnal views by means of argument and gentle persuasion. This certainly will not lead to bloody wars and fiery persecntions. If, after trying to convert others by such means, we fail, we should not be sorry. The Sanserit proverb is "if a man exerts and does not sncceed, where is the blame ?"
You say in one place in your article: "With the exception of those abovementioned cases of the universally recognized code of morality, the furtherance or neglect of which has a direct bearing apon haman weal or woe, we have no right to be influencing onr neighbours' opinions upon parely transcendental and unprovable questions, the speculations of our emotional nature ?" Is religion a mere matter of emotion? You beliece in the cxistence of an Eternal and All-pervading Principle, and you certainly consider its existence as a scientific truth. But science extends a little further. It iacludes the knowledgo of that Principle as a Spirit, or in other words an Intelligent Being, aud not only that but as a Perfect Spirit. I refer the reader to my views on this subject in my little treatise "The Science of Religion." I can adduce the same sort of proof for the existence of a Perfect Spicit as you can do for that of an Eternal Principle. $\dagger$

You are of opinion that religion does not influence the moral conduct of mankind. A few individual atheistic philosophers, such as Hume and Huxley, may not require belief in a God and fature state to influence their moral conduct, but the mass of mankind does. Consider, for instance, the frightful mischief done to Society by the prevalence of Atheism at the time of the French Revolution, and which will no doubt be done by such prevalence among Nihilists, Socialists, et hoc genus omne, in future, if those revolutionary classes ever gain predominance. $\ddagger$

Yon maindain that tho doctrine of Karma has a greater influence on human condnet than the doetrine of propitiation
tho practical demonstration of the truths miderlging every crcer. It requires but sincerity aud a firm will in the application to the Essentials of any of them-whether they be Theism or Adwnitism or esen Atheism. Theosophy is simply the informing life of ereel and of every religion and grees to prove their raison detre, instead of their negation.--Ed.

* Denial of a personal god is no personal belief of ours, but that of all our Boddhist, Adwaitee, Jnin and Frecthiniing nembers. We defend our position and welcome all others to do the same.--Ed.
$+\lambda$ "Perfoct Spirit" is an abstraction, a non-being. and can have no gunas or attributes which alone make up the entity. Scieuce has no "knowledge," we beg leave to state, of an "intelligent Being," a "Spirit"- not modorn science at ruy rate. And the science of metaplaysics rejects entirely the possibility of the Iufluite having any conscions relation whatsoever with the finite. Morcover "Perfect Spirit" nad "Eternal Principle" are synonyms and identical, and if both onr estcemed correspondent and we are adducing proofs-one for the Existence (iinplying consciousness) nad the other-for the Presence (implying unconsciousuess or absolute consciousness, which is the same thing) it becomes a qnestion between us to be decided by other and unbiased persons as to which of us is right and which wroug.-Ed.
$\ddagger$ lt will be a sufficicnt answer to clrav our friend's attention to the revelation contained in the statistical tables given in the article "Suggesime Comparisons" in the Theosophist for, June 1883, page 217. They show that so far from an "irreligious belief," i.e., free-thinking $\Lambda$ gnosticism or Atheism being provocative of crime, the orininal offences chargeable to this class were immeasurably less than those of the roughgoing Orthodox Christians and Theists. It appears that of crimes to the 100,000 of population, 2,500 were of Catholics, 1,400 of Church of England members, 150 of Dissenters, and 5 of Infidels. And, to bring the thing nearer homs, the recent census of Bombay shows that while among 408,680 Hindus, idolators and pdintheists, there woro 18,950 criminals; there were 2,343 crimes committed among the 34,724 Christians and theists or 6.74 per cent of the whole criminal offences-a much greater percentage than is shown by the class of pantheists and idlo. lators.-El.
of God by repentance, bat is the effect of Karma eternal? You certainly would not say so. You see then both of us agree in the opinion that punishment does not last for ever. What objection then can there be to believing that repentance is expiation for sin ?* Granting for the sake of argurnent that God does not exist and depending only on nature, we see that when pain is shortlired in the universe, some provision nust lave been made by beneficent nature for the expiation of $\sin$ and the placing of man in a position in the future state leading to spiritual improvement and progress. I do not believe in the usual cant of the day of nature, "red with tooth and claw." Even if there were no God, there is clearly discernibio a beneficent purpose ranuing throngh the whole system of nature. $\dagger$

I believe in the strong power of will, mesmerism and yoga powers as testified to by sach authenticated cases as Runject Sing's Yogi and the Sunderban Yogi, and am an advocate of the cultivation of ancient Sanscrit learning. I am not therefore unfriendly to Theosophy, but I have a word of humble advice to offer to the disinterested leaders of the Theosophical movement, for whom I entertain every feeling of respect. The more they keep Theosopliy and Theolugy distinct from each other, and the less they mix op their personal opinions on the subject of religion with their legitimate province, Theosophy, the better. I think it wonld be better for the canse of Theosophy if they do not discourse of their "godless Buddhism," as they love to call it, bofore a nation so pre-eminently religious as the Hindus-a nation of devoted lovers of Bhagavan or God, Adwaitism so often appealed to by yourself in questions of Theology being but Philosophy and not religion. There is a difference between philosophyand religion. Such discussion augurs ill for the ultimate success of Theosophy in this country. I am at a loss to understand why the leaders of the Theosophical movement preach Agnosticism and express deep sympathy with Atheism, and, in the same breath, deprecate the prevalence of atheism, scepticism and materialism in this country. This appears quite nysterions to my humble self. I am perfectly disposed to tolerate Atheism, that is, abstain from persecating Atheists in any shape whatever, since every man has a right to his own opinions, but there is a difference between toleration of Atheism and deep sympathy with it.

## Deoghur, E. I. Ry., <br> 14 th June 1883.

Editor's Note.-Buddhism and Adwaitism-are as much religions as any theistic system. A "religion" does not necessarily imply the doctrine of a personal God or any kind of Godin it. Religion, as every dictionary can show, comes from the Latin word releyere, to "bind" or collect together. Thas whether poople pursue a comamon idea with, or without, $n$ deity in it, if they are bound together by the same and one belief in something, that belief is a religion. Therlogy without the vital wamth of Theosophy is a corpse withont life, a dry stick withont sap. Theusophy bleases the world; Theology is its curse. Our whole endeavour is to test 'Iheology by the theosophical experimentum crucis. The affliction of India is, that it lost theosophy when the persecnted adepte had to tly boyond the monntains. And true religions living can wever be again prevalent until their help is invoked to illumine the Shastras. On Brother has had many gears' experience of the hopelessness of converting Indiato even the benign form of theism wbich his Adi brahmo Samaj teaches. The saintly charactors of Ram Mohun Roy, Debeudro Nath Thagore, and a few others of his colleagnes, have not won the Hindus from their evoteric worship-we think, becanse weither of them has had the Yog; power to prove practically the fact of there being an spinitual side to matare. If we hold so strongly to esoteric Buddhism and Adwaitism, it is exactly because no religion can stand save on the fomatation of philosophy and science, No religion can prove by pratical, scientitic demonstration that there is such a thing as one persomal God ; while the esoteric philosophy, or rather theosophy of Gautama Budtha and Sankamcharga prowe and give means to every man to ascertain the undeniable presence of a living God in man himself,--whether one believes in or calls his divine indweller Avalokiteswarn, Buldha, Brahma, Krishma, Jehovah, Bhagwan, Ahura-mazali, Christ, or by whatever name--there is no such God ontside of himself. The former-the one ideal ontsider-cun neter be demonstrated-the liatter, under whatever appellation, may nlways be found present if a man does root extingnish within himself the capacity to perceive this Divine presence, and hear the " poice" of that only manifested deity, the murmurings of the Eternal Vach, called by the Northern and Chinese Buddhist Avalokiteswara and Kwan. Ehen-yi, and by the Christians-Logos.-El.

[^8] crime suffer its consequence without recompense !-Ed,

## DIVINATION.

In the June Number of the Theosophist brother ${ }^{`}$ J. N. Unwala describes a mode of Divination among the Parsees, and concludes with the following remark: "I have no doubt that many will credit it to that meaningless agency called 'unconscious cerebration,' one of the verbal subterfuges which serve as a cloak to the ignorance of scoffers ridiculing the inexplicable in occult phenomena." A mode of divination similar to the one described is known to me. A Bamboo Sap or winnowing basket is pierced at one of its outer ends with a pair of scissors, and the S $\hat{p} p$ is then suspended on the tips of the forefingers of two persons standing opposite each other, the lower parts of the rings of the scissors being supported by the fingers. After allowing the $S \hat{i} p$ to stand still, one of the persons repeats several times the names of each of the persons suspected of a theft, and the opposite party goes on repeating a particular word. Sometimes it so happens that the Sap turns during the repetition of a certain name, and it is thought that the person must be the thief.

Often to my knowledge the above method was tried, and in numerous instances it gave wrong unswers. A friend tells me that Mr. Unwali's method was also found by him misleading, and other methods of a different sort also proved ineffectual.
It is certainly very uscful to inguire into such methods and kindred subjects with an unbiased mind, but we should be constantly on onr guard against attributing every mysterious looking thing to the agency of Occult forces.
No occult force or forces have anything whatsoever to do with these two modes of Divination or with the phenomena of ordinary table-turning. I was first of all confused with the much-talked of phenomena, and for a number of days I tried table-turning ly myself as well as in company with others, till at last I was perfectly satisfied that the turning was simply due to unconscious muscular action. Neither myself nor any of my friends are mediums or psychics, and it must. be remembered that I am ouly speaking of those cases where a so called medium is not present. If there be a genuine mediutm, far more startling phenomena would occur, but of the vast majority of the investigators of these phenomena, very few being psychics, we have to find out whether in the case of persons of a positive naturc the phenomenon is due to any mysterious agency. With due deference to the opinion of many others, I asscrt that in such cases the phenomenon is simply and purely duc to unconscious pushing. Warn the sitters and tell them to resist any the least adaptation of their hands towards a push and yon will see that the table will not move. Whenever there is motion, the hands of one or two of the sitters who have been energetically willing to bring about the motion, become almost uneonscionsly strained in some part to exert a sort of pressing movement. If the table be covered with a loose table cloth or with some sinooth substance, that substance and not the tahle, will commence to move.
I tried and succeeded in moving not only small tables but a number of articles of different shapes and sizes, and the movement invariably took place whenever the hands almost imperceptibly took a particnlar position so as to give a slight push. A small light basket would till and move in my hants with wondrous speed, but I never sueceeded in raising the open lid of the basket, working on light hinges, even a hair's breadth, although I tried to put my hands close to the lid in various positions. It was only when my hand gave a sort of a support that it moved.
I have no doubt many will contradict my theory and assert the contrury that the table turns by some oceult agency, but, let them restrict themselves to cases where there is not a meltium present, and if they be pratient they will soon find ont that the table tarns by muscular or nervous action only. The will meonscionsly puts the nerves and muscles into a certain position, and the motion commences, and we quietly allow ourselves to move in the direction indicated, mitil we run after the table, forgetting that while we run we add to the motion.
The two methods of divination are also due to the same canse. We suspect somebody or expect a certain result, and according to onr wish the motion takes place, although we notice it not.
I do not mean to assert that there are not nuknown forces in mature which produce wondrous phenomena, but I say that a seeptical attitude is necessary for such of our Theosophists as wish to inquire into mysterious subjects, else we are likely to dirift back into superstition.

Poona, July.
N. D. K............F. T. S.

## Victims of Hinduism

religious fanatics who have killed "themselfes" for tiee sake of theil own souls.
(From the Guzerat Mitra.)
"In former times the Kamalpunja cercomony was allowed by the Hindu Rajahs. A person suffering from some disease or calamity went to bis favorite god, and offered his head as a propitiation. In many cases heads were given up in hopes of obtaining ready admittance to heaven. The borrible practice was pat a stop, to by the British Government. The Ahmeclabad Samachar of the 13 th instant, however, pablishes an account of the ceremony baving been recently performed near Amreilly. It seems that, on the lst instant, a Bania, named Ruttonsey, performed this rite near the Ganpati god with all the members of his family, consisting of 11 people, viz, himself, his wife, four sous, three danghters-in-law, and two maidens. It appears that the eldest son cut off the heade of the ten devotees, and then performed the rite himself by jumping into a ueighbouring well. On the report reaching the offlcials, they proceeded to the well, and recovered the body of the boy. Auother body was lying near the Ganpati god, with a note to the effect that the horrible deeds were perpetrated to propitiate Ganpati."
Commenting upou the event, the Missionary orgaus attribute the horrid and bloody deed-to idolatry.

## Victims of Christianity.

religious fanatics who kill "OTHEK people" for the sake of their own souls.
(Fron the New Yorlc World.)
Even four years after the commission of the crime, it seems public interest in the case of the Pocasset murderer bas not abated. Few, however, of the many thousands of people who have been thrilled by the terrible tale of the offering up of little Edith Freemau ure aware that similar homan sacrifices have boen by no means unfrequent in the United States. One of the earliest recorded crimes of this description was committed at Gardiner, Maine, about the beginning of the centary by James McCausland, a Revolutionary soldiev living at littston, on the opposito side of the Keunebec. He was a day-laborer, and nable to read or write. There was an Episcopalian church at Gardiner which he sometimes attend. ed, but his religions conrersion was affected by au itineraut preacher, and it turned a head not of the strongest. It became impuessed upon his mind that he could only obtain salvation by making a burnt-offering and a blood-atonement, and, brooding over the subject, he at last received, he felt, a divine revelation that the churcla at Gardiner was the appropriate altar and a woman living on the banks of the Cobbessecontec, a few miles above the village, the predestined victim. His mind, however, was not fully decided until on visiting the church at midnight he heard a celestial voice: "Young man, push on!" This removed all his doubts, and having saved the "holy things," as he called the lible, praver-book, and pulpit cushion, which he removed and concealed under the roots of a fallen tree, he carried a shoe-full of live coals to the church and set it on fire. It was soon consumed, and launching his canoe he paddled up-strean to the house of the woman selected as the sacrifice. There lingered some donbt upon his mind, but he went in the belief that God wonld show him a sign aud provide him with an instrument. Opening the door, he found two men lying ou the floor of the log hat asleep, and the woman sitting by the bed, in which was a sick person. A flash from among the embers of the fire on the hearth revealed a batcher's Inife aticking in a $\log$ just above her head. This solved the doabt, and stepping over the sleepers he trook down the knife and drew it across the throut of the nodding victim, who awakened in eternity ; then he made his way to the canoe and back to Gardiner, where he gave himself ap, testifying to the innocence of a man who had been accused of brirning the church by showing where he himseli had concealed the "holy thinga." McCausland was convicted on his confession, which he insisted on making, but was never executed, or even sentenced, but remained in prison until his deuth, nearly thirty years afterwards. He felt no sorrow for his deed, as through it his sins, he felt, had all been forgiven, and hence, he argaed, if he should be released he would not repeat the act-indeed, there could be un further revelation.

A more horrible instance of human sacrifice lives in the annals of New Haven, where, in 1855, was a small sect of fanatics-the Wakemanites, so called from their prophetess,

Mrs. Rhoda Wakeman, a woman of seventy. She had convinced her followers-respectable farmers and farmers' wives living in the outskirts of the city-that thirty years before she had been killed by her husband and received into heaven, where she had remained seven hours, being finally sent back to earth by God to prepare the way for the second advent of Christ. Among her followers was one Justus Matthews, who, haviug been irregular in attending the prayer-meetings of the sect, was declared by the prophetess to be tie ""man of sin'" mentioned in the scripture. The evil spirit which possessed Matthews was, she said, very annoying to her; not only did it hinder the coming of Christ, bat it might cause her death, and so bring on immediately the judgment of all mankind, without any millernium at all. Matthews himself became convinced of the truth of this and avowed his willingness to submit to proper treatment for the removal of the fiend. On the evening of Sunday, December 23rd, he went to Mis. Wrkeman's house where were gathered most of the fanatics, including his sister, Mrs. Wakeman's half-brother, Samuel Sly, and Miss Hersey. While a prayer-meeting was held in the upper story Matthews was placed in a room on the ground floor, where a fire was built for his comfort, his sister blind-folding his eyes and tying his hands behind him. From 9 o'clock till 1 in the morning he remained in that condition while the others occupied themselves in prayer, visiting him from time to time and pleading with him to renounce the cvil spirit, Finally they persuaded him of the wecessity for sacrificing him, and Sly, going into the room where he was sitting, brained him with a clab of hazel wood, which he had procured as possessing singular efficacy against evil spirits, cat his throat, and stabbed a dozen holes in his abdomen with a common dinner-fork, in order to let out the fiend. Miss Hersey helped him to wash the blood from his clothing and to hide the clab and kuife ; then they joined their fellow-disciples in prayer. Sly was convicted of murder and the wonian as an accessory, but his sentence was commuted, and he died in prison some eight years ago. According to Sly, the power of all departed spirits since the full of man was concentrated in one Amos Hunt, upon whose death the millennium would come in the twinkling of an eye. Hunt is now a practising clairvoyance in Comnecticut, and fignred in the Mary Stannard murder case. Ou New Year's day, 1856, a wore terrible tragedy was wronght by another Wakemanite -Charles Sanford, the nephew of Mrs. Matthews, who brained and decapitated an old farmer named Umbertield, and Enoch Sperry, father of the well-known Connecticat politician. He was convicted, but died in prison of small-pox. Several of the Wakemanites still live at New Haven.
A little more than a year afterward-in February, 1857, the case of Giles Hitchens, of Concord, startled all Delaware. Hitchens was a farmer, somewhat eccentric, but with the reputation of being peaceful, and most certainly of an affectionate disposition toward his wife and their only child-a boy of eightees months. He had attended a Methodist protracted meeting and received religion one night in that February, and his mind had been greatly excited by the preacher's sermon on Abraham and Isaac. He could not slecp, and arising early went to the wools to pray, when he heard a voice bidding him imitate the patriarch's command-kill his child and offer its blood as a sacrifice. Twice he prayed and twice the command was repeated, when having prepared a keen knife he stole the sleoping child out of the honse withont alarming its mother, and carrying it into the woods laid it on a heap of leaves, while he prayed, and, like Abraham, wailed for a sign. He had hoped that the Lord would appoint some other victim, and the hope was strengthened when a little dog pattered ap and suiffed around his feet and at the sleeping infant, bat there came no sign, and taking up the child he cut its throat and held it by tho feet to pour out its blood as an offering; then took it back to the honse-a ghastly burden, streaking the mud with gore-and laid it on the bed beside his horrified wife. Hitchcos, who gave himself up peacefully, was acquitted on the ground of insanity, and after remaining some years in an asylum was set at liberty. His wife dying, he remarried, and is still living in the house he occupied at the time of the murder.*

[^9] -Ed.

The latest offering of this nature took place at Los Angeles Cal, in December last. One Juhn Smith had been convert. ed by $\AA$ Methodist clergyman, and had for several months devoted himself to poring over the Bible, till finally he not only convinced himself that he ought to make a human aneritice, but, brought his wife and their only child, a boy of thirteen, to acquiesce in his views. "When he talked to me," said the woman, ' and persuaded me that a good wife ought to think as her husmand did, I got so as to take whatever he naid as the truth. He made us fast, and when Ben asked him if God had ordered as to starve, he suid yes. When he annonnced that the boy must be killed we both remonstrated, bat finally thought it was all right. On the day appointed for the ceremong he called len ont of the house and told him he had to die for our Saviour. The little fellow knelt down and I got on my knees by his side; John raised the knife, looked hard into the boy's face, and then drove the knife into his breast." Though the woman persisted in believing that the deed was justigable, she broke down on recalling the sight. "I am always thinking of Ben," she said, "I am always hraring lim in the night asking to be brought in and laid on his bed, and begging tor a little water before he died."

## ESOTERIC BUDDHISM.

A new book by Mr. A. P. Sinnett, anthor of the Oceult World, is, the Pioneer tays, to be published by Messrs. 'ruabner in Londun, in a few days, under the title "Esoteric Buddhism." It should bo obtainable in this country' in a few wooks from now. The ficrucoming volune embodies the mystic teachings Dr: Sitnett has been receiving from his friends in 'Tibet, ever since his first book came out; and while of course the value of this instruction mast remain subject to very varying estimates, some feeling, at all events of curiosity, may be directed towards a work which seems likely to stir up interest at home, in connection with rarely-trodden by-ways of ludian thought. In London, it appears, a society numbering airemby about forty members lias been formed for the special study of the philosopliy Mr. Sinnett has been instrumental in bringing to light; and both tbere and in A merica the introductory book has goue through two or three editions.-Bombay Gazette.

Nore-Mr. Simett was, no doubt, instrumental in bringing closer together the members of the British Theosophical Society, but the existence of the latter is not due to the efforts of this one excellent Theosophist. It is now in existence for nearly six years. Composed of members among whom are found persons of the highest culture and education, its prospects are certainly musually brightened by the apporance and explanution of such works as Ehsoteric Duddhism.

The book is already out, and ought to have an extensive sale, especially among' Theosophists. Every Library of the Branch Theosophical Societies must possess a copy. 'I'he name of the author of the Occull World is a sufficient guarantee that the new publication is as interesting as it is instructive for stadents of lisoteric Buddhism.

## ASTROLOGY AND MODERN SCILNCE.

## By br. Foberin, F.'T.S.

In the night of the Middle Ages dawns a new Light: the Renaissance period has begum with all its promises. Diaz, Christopher Colomb, Magellan, Truxillo are teariug the geography of the Fathers of the Church into shreds and daily willening the limits of the earth. They give the world so much more horizon and space that human mind troubled and arrestod in its development is acquiring a new impulse. Centuries will have rolled away, but the occult will remain as ever-the Science of Sciences. The Court of Irançois I. is now becoming the rallying place of all the French nobility, and these hitherto unknown gatherings are destined to become a centre of attraction to all the world celebrities. It is the epoch of free enquiry forcing itsolf upon man; the wiand and the witch are hunted as much as ever and burnt among a terrified populace; but, at the Court of France, the occult governs the most powerful personages. It is the official science in all its ommipotency.

1556 :-King Hemry II writes to Claude of Savoy, Count of Tende and Governor of Provence, asking him to solicit Michel de Nostradamus to visit his Court. On

July 14th Nostradamus quits Salon, and on August 15th he lands at Paris, at the Hotel St. Michel, on the day of the festival of "Our Lady." It is there that the great Constable of France meets him, and whence he proceeds to present him to the King of France, who had given orders to entertain the already renowned astrologer at the palace of the Curdinal of Sens.

But who was that man-Michel de Nostradamus? If we consult a modern biographer we read:-" Nostradamus (Michel), an astrologer and a famous charlatan, known for a collection of prophecies in verse written in very enigmatical style, \&c.;" but if we turn to History and search its most authentic records, we will find: "Michel Nostradanns, borm in St. Remy (Provence) on Monday, $1 \geqslant$ th December, at noon, in the year 1503 ;* Physician, M. D., Professor at the Faculty of Medicine of Montpellier ; author of works treating of Medicine, Physical Science and Chemistry. His grandest workthe Paraphrase de Galien-was printed at Lyons in 1557." How many men of science have since then freely drawu from this inexhaustible source of information, and thus been enabled to build their own reputations through it !

1525:-Nostradamus is 22 years old. Aix is nearly depopulated by a fearful plaguo (charbon provençal): social life is fully arrested.....all the physicians laving either died or run away. Nostradamus leaves his wife and children and goes to Aix, where, by dint of encouragement and activity shown, he succeeds by means of a powder which he had diseovered $\dagger$ to save from the clutches of death the remainder of the hapless population. At Lyons and several other places in the south of France he obtained the same success. Never was a king on his journey received with greater ovations. Nostradamus was carricd on the arms of the population in triumphthe crowds being led and headed by their greatest officials. So much for the real man (the charlatan) whom we find at the Con't of lrance, plysician to three consecutive kings. Ilis success was immense, his authority was considerable. The King and Queon sent him to Blois to visit the three young. Princes, lrançois, Charles, and Henry. Having examined them as a physician he had tu, dian their horoscopes. But he kept a prudent silence about the misfortunes that threatened them: only predicting their subsequent ascension to the throne of pranco.

1558:-1 Mr. Nostradamus addresses to King Henry 11 . his "centuries," wherein his prophecies are explained. History preserved to us only an euigmatical letter. It is in the 35 th quatrain of his 1 st "centurie" that the death of the king was found predicted for 1559-the: ensuing year. $\ddagger$ When the propheoy that had been kuown to all for over a year came to pass, Nostradamins who had retired to Salon, was publicly lournt in effigy by the larisian mob, as a sorecrer, magician, and the Devil's own imp.

1564 : --King Charles I $X$, accompanied by his mother, Queen Catherinc of Medicis, went ta Salon to pay Nos-

* In odder to draw the horoseope of Nostradamus I had to consalt his namerous biographies, wherein are found contradictory statements upon the date of his birth. My labour has now resulted in discovering the real day. It is shown now that he was born on Monday, December 12, at $12 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{m} .1503$. Dr. Fortim.
$\dagger 1$ recommend this medicine. Nostradanus affirms its efficacity against any platue, its action sprending immediately in anbiant air. i: have tested its marvollous effects personally, especially ugainst offen sive smells (breath included). I translate the prescriptiou for the benetit of your readers:


Reduce the whole to powder, add 3 or 4 handred roses gathered before the dew, i.e., in the oarly evening; punnd all (roses first) in a marble mortur. Then divite into small calees and dry in a glady placc. - Dr. Fortiz.
$\ddagger$ King Heury II of France came ly a remarkable death in a tourna. meat that touk place in that yeur, the details of which had been forotold by Nostradamus the year before, which greatly increused the faue of that wonderful astrologer.-- Fd $d$.
tradamus a visit, hoping thereby to get him back to lis Court. The King gave him 200 coins (écus) of gold and the Quecn mother doubled tho sum, but Nostradamus who knew well their future prudently declined the offer. 'To provo, that in addition to his wonderful knowledge in astrology Nostradamus was gifted with clairvoyance, I may here relate the following facts. During a voyage in Italy, he went one day straight to a group of mouks, and selecting anong them a young Gray-Friar he saluted him with the greatest respect. When called upon to explain the canse of such an unusual obeisance, ho answered "I have to kneel before his future Holiness." In 15:5, this young Frauciscan monk had become the Pope Sixtus V. Trondu Condolet, his contemporary and historian, narrates of him, that one evening as they both met on tleir way the young Prince of Béarn, accompauied by his tutors, Nostradamus said:-"This young Prince will ascoud the throne of France and the word 'great' will be added to his uame ; he need fear nothing, excepting the number 20."*

1566:-June 30, Nostradamus summoned Master Rôclee, his Notary, and dictated to him lis will. On July 1, ho told his friend Chavigny: "To-morrow the rising sun will find mo dead." On the following morning the prophecy was accomplished and Nostradaunus was found dead-sitting on a beuch near his bed. Thus was foretold by him even his own death. The quaint French of tho prophecy runs thus:-
"De retour d'ambassade don du Roy mis au lien-Plus n'enfera sera allé à Dieu; Proches parents, amis, frères dusang-Trouvé tout mort près du lit et du banc.'

In 1622 King Louis XIII, and in 1660, King Lonis XIV, went to Salon, for the express purpose of visiting the Church of the Frères Mineurs, and of praying there before a tomb inscribed with the following epitaph:-
"Hert rest the bones, of Michel Nostradasius, whose ald but divine pen was bstefmed by every one, wortiy of tracing and foretelinna to mortalis, in accordance with the influence of the planets the coming ments, and abovb alle the rotundity of the arth. (!?) He passed away at Salon in Provence in the year of grace 1566 , July 2 nd, aged 62 ymars, sid months and 17 days. Oh, Posterity, touch not his anhes and do not trounle his Rest."

Thavoidable inferences: Nostradamus has to be classed among the Prophets known as the Scers of the Jupiterim In-solared type, $t$ who basing their prophecies upon the most exact astrological calculations can, owing to heir marvellous gift, throw npon them an alditional light. llaving to deal in their profession with only the bighest spheres, this accomnts for their repulsion for (ceremonial) magic (or sorcery), whicll deals only with the elementary powers. In a letter to his son, he himollf declares it. Mentioning some books that had come into his possession after having been hidden away for neveral conturies, and knowing well the danger there was for any one to have them in his possession, he felt

[^10]constrained, he says, to "give them to Vulcan to be devoured. The flame licking the air was spreading a strange effulgency, like the light of the fire of *** "A further:and careful study of this letter shows that the burned works contained the exorcisms of salamanders (the fire elementals); and that a fire of this kiud was capable of transforming metals and of teaching the art of guiding oneself on the Occult waves.* I give the literal expressions of the text. Nostradamus closes his letter by warning his son that in these arts "all is condemned save judicial astrology, which is the only thing tolerated and protected by law.", Had uot Nostradamis complied with the exigencies of his epoch, he would have never won for limself such a name and fame. $\dagger$ Further ou he explains his method dividing it in three parts: through the inspiration of Heavenly powers; rejection of Bacchantes ; astronomical insertions, \&c. \&c.

Let us now follow other astrologers to the Court of France. Mezerai, the historian, narrates that one day Catherine of Medicis wrote to Luc Gauric, Bishop of Civita Ducale, with the object of getting from hiin her horoscope ; but that the wily astrologer sent to her for all reply: "St. Germain will see you die."
1589. The Queen mother finding herself suddenly very ill, sent for her habitual confessor, who, upon not, being found at home was replaced by the Bishop of Nazareth Nicolas St. Germain. Upon heariug the name announced, the Queen exclaimed-"I am lost!"-and a few hours later-Catherine of Medicis was no more. Omens always precede the great events in the life of those who deal in magic and occultism. The same Catherine saw oue day appearing before her the spectre of the Duc of Lorraine murdered the day before by order of her son Henry III. The commotion was so strong that she felt it to the day of her death. The said Lue Gauric while on a visit at the Court of France became very famous as an astrologer. He predicted one day to King Henry II, who went to see hin accompanied by tho Duc deGuise and Monsieur d'Escars--that he would be killed from bohind his back; and to d'Escars that he would die killed by the hoof of a horse breaking his head. This triplo prediction verified by the events in every point, will be found in the Memoirs of the Princess of cléves, who hoard it from the King himself, at the Queon's Assembly. In the same year, Pope Pius IV sent to his niece Catherine of Medicis, the celebrated Doctor Anges Ferrier, who dedicated to her his famous work called " Astronomical Judgments on the Nativities," a real Astrological treatise.

Louis XIII having been taken sick at Lyons, ignorant, physicians circulated publicly the news that the King was very near lis ond. But Dr. Morin deVillefranche, an initiate of tho learned Scotch astrologer Davidson, plysician to the Queen of Poland Marie de Gonzague, addressed to the Queen mother (Mary of Medicis) it horoscope predicting punctually the day of the King's convalescence. Future proved the astrologico-scientific calculations quite correct. An inquiry was held, and two of the hapless ductors who had prognosticated the King's death were sent to the galleys, while Dr. Morin de Villefranche was made physicion to the Royal family. Descartes became his friend. Queen Aun of Austria summoned him at the birth of her son, to draw the horoscope of the royal babe; and it was the Cardinal of Richelieu who became its lappy custodian, thus being enabled to read in the arcaua of the Future the destiny of him who was to occupy the throne of Frimet, and the minds of every mation for nearly a century. Dr. Moriu deVillefranclie becane also the 'ardinal's physician and at the same time his comcillor, though Richelien had already attached to his person as his librariau, the celebrated author of the Holy Kubala, who

> * Kabalistical phraceology--Ed.

+ At the Bibliuthéque de l'Avsenal, in Paris, can be seen nud read 40,000 briefs of sorcerers barnt by sentence of farliament and tribsnals. One of the sons of Nostradamus was burnt alive for dealing in magic.
had been initiated in Asia-Gaffarel Jacques, prothonotary apostolic, Prior of St. Gilles.

In those days, the learned physicians-astrologers, whose number was ever limited, kept to the principles of prudence. Their great minds lacked the cunning necessary to ensure success with the educated classes, and thus, gradually, they came to be replaced by the modern physician. The first lay physician was Bouvard, attached to King Louis XIII. It was he who prescribed to his royal patient, 47 bleedings, 215 emetics and purgatives, and 312 injections in one short year! One believes himself dreaming when reading of such cases. Nevertheless, our own epoch, so contemptuous in regard to the sciences and knowledge of the ancients, has seen its physicians adding to the murderous prescriptionsleeches. According to official statistics, in the Paris hospitals alone, 600,000 leeches were used yearly; aud at the Hôtel-Dieu hospital only, more than 200,000 pounds of human blood were drawn in twelve months. The leeches, these aquatic vampires, devoured on the average yearly in France 247,000 pounds of human blood. Physicians were known to order 400 leeches to be applied to a patient in one day! Add to all this the blood thus spilt over all Europe, and we will have a river of blood taking its rise in-man ! Medical aberrations cedo in nothing to those of other mortals. It is owing to the public good sense and the revolted feelings of the masses that this kind of treatment was finally discountenanced. But is the human family any safer or better guaranted from danger to-day than it was then? Typhoid fever is being treated now-a-days with baths and lotions of cold water awaiting for the physician's pleasure to change them into hot water. Notwithstanding the confession that science knows nothing of the genesis of viruses, it is declared by her professors that the virus can remain in its latent state in human constitution indefinitely; and, forsaking the method of observation for that of fancy,* our modern physicians inoculate officially the variolic virus in the delusive hope of thereby avoiding the disease itself. Pursuing, through lack of simple logic, the delusion of getting rid of an epidemic, they meanwlile feed and preserve it in the human system by fondly cultivating the vaccina-its very principle! Such a course is called in every lauguage a criminal attenopt upon human life.

When Richelieu appeared in History, all had to be reorganised in France. Amid seditions and ever recurring conspiracies, violent death reigned supreme; but his devotion to the Occult Sciences led the great Cardinal with a sure hand to his proposed goal-the unity of his country. Thenceforward, Richelieu could govern : the science of horoscopy, giving him power over men and events. Vainly will the historian, who rejects the above statement, seok for an explanation elsewhere to account for his miraculous prevision and his always happy selections of men. Suffice to name the Arelibishop of Bordeanx, who became Sourdis the Admiral, the conqueror of the Spanish fleet, and the Cardinal De la Valette, general of the army! Before his death, Richelieu bequeathed a portion of his power to his successor Mazaria, by leaving to him his physician and astrologer Morin de Villefranche, who, remarkably enongh for that epoch, died in his beel as well as Richelicu, Mazarin, Nostradamus and Gaffarel. This great Individuality when passing out of the world, gave a siguificant reply to his confessor who was enquiring of him whether he had forgiven all his enemies. "I have never had any enemies save those of the State," answered Richelieu. A prophecy spread all over the world on the wings of Fame, is preparing to have these same words pronounced by the dying lips of a grand Chancel-

[^11]lor when passing on. People say-but what is it they do not say?-that this Chancellor governs the country placed in his charge by the same means as used by Richelieu, a method (astrology?) that once led France to the apex of grandeur and unity ; and, it is whispered, that at the four cardinal points of France, there is an evil charm placed by this great Chancellor with the object of ever keeping in it alive the spirit of discord, division and strife. . . However it may be, one has but to throw a glance around in France, to feel authorized in believing in the efficacy of the talismans so loudly recommended by Paracelsus. But our Frenchman is too high-minded to give credence to such childish puerility and superstitions : otherwise, he might have squared his accounts long ago with his neighbour, and in the same coin. This game may yet become known some day as "talisman chessplaying." Man has received from on high the Science as a charm against every evil; but there are destinies that have to be accomplished, * notwithstanding the greatest precautions suggested by human understanding, and that I figure by a straight line closed at both ends with an $x \mathrm{I}$ will cite but two instances-two deaths.
(1). The Imperial Prince, Napoleon IV. His death destroyed instantaneously all that the statesmen had so laboriously erected for years before. But the world is governed by inmutable laws that equilibrize the powers leading the mortals on; and thus, the results of the events to come escape human knowledge more than anything else in the Futurity. The projected union between young Napoleon and a princess of England might have brought on, as a result, civil war in France, and a war between these two great potencies; and the most fearful calamity inight have become the consequence of the elements of destruction that constitute the modern armament. But whenever the general benefit of peoples is concerned, the destiny of certain individuals is inexorably traced in the Book of Fate. Hence, the premature death of the young Prince predicted in his horoscope, and the details of which are given with a mathematical precision : the year, the day, as well as the hour of his death being recorded in it.
(2). M. Leou Gambetta represented (from a certain point of view) considerable interests, which, owing to ignorance, had remained at the merey of events. Horoscopic science had announced with infallible precision, and analyzing them most minutely, the acts as well as the end of this great personality ; the reason of his death and its precise hour being found and shown therein with. mathematical correctness. Yet, verified as they now stand, they were not believed till it became too late. The Magus, he who knows, can alone foresec and turn the blows of the Fatality aside.

## Hetters to the feditor.

## A HUMAN STORAGE BATTTERY.

C. H. Hughes, m.d., Editor of tho Alienist and Neuroluyist, gives in the April Number of the periodical the following: -
"In a recent number of the Michigm Medical News, Dr. S. H. "Woodman has made the following singnlar statement. Wo "append thereto 1)r. Woodman's letter on the subject in reply to "our (Dr. Hughes') enguiries.
"I have a singular phenomenon in the shape of a goung man "living here that I have studied with mach interest, and I am " satisfied that bis peculiar power demonstrates that electricity is "a nerve force beyond dispute. His name is William Underwood, "age 27 years, and his gift is that of generating fire through the " mediam of his breath, assisted by manipulations with his bands.
"He will take any body's handkerchief and hold it to his month, "rub it vigorously with his hands while breathing on it, and "immediately it bursts into flames and burms antil consumed. "Hewill strip and rinse out his month thoroughly, wash his hands, "and subunit to the most rigid examination to preclude the

* After the great London fire predicted by Lilly, the English Parliament sent to him a committee to constlt him. If the said Parliament had then heeded his advice, it would hnve avoided Cromwell; and if Charles I, who consulted him upon several occasions, had listened to him -he wonld have avoided the scaffold.-Di. Fortia.
possibility of any humbng, and then by his breath blown upon "any paper or cloth, envelope it in flame. He will, when out "gunning and without matches, desirous of a fire, lio down after collecting dry leaves, and by breathing on them start a fire aud "then coolly take off his wet stockings and dry them. It is im"possible to persuade him to do it more than twice a day, and the effort is attended with the most extreme exhaustion. He will "sink iuto a chair after doing it, and on one occasion ailter he "had a newapaper on fire as I narrated, I placed my haud on " his head and discovered his scalp to be violently twitching, as if "under intense excitemont. He will do it at any time, no matter "where he is, under any circumstances, and I have repeatedly " koown of his sitting back from the dinuer table, taking a swallow "of water and by blowing on his napkin at once set it on fire. He "is ignorant and says that he first discovered his strange power by "inhaling and exhating on a perfumed handkerchief that suddenly " burnt while in his hands. It is certainly no humbug, but what " is it P "
" Paw Paw, Mich. December 20th, 1882. Dear Sir,-Yours in " regard to Under wood to hand. The article referred to is no joke "butastrictly true as can be attested by my resident here, ns he "has been in the habit and indeed now will do it at any time for "a small fee. It is a very singular lhing, and in the light of it, " although I might not be willing to take as a thesis that electri"city is the nerve force, I would bo ghad to combat the negative. "I am wholly nmable to understand it unless, as it now seems to " me, he generates frem his lungs or stomach gas, and then after "filling the bandkerchief with it sets the gas on fire by a spark of "electricity, and this burns the paper or cloth. Either of the "editors of our local papers, the Z'rue Northerner or Pree Press, " will substantiate all.
(Signed) S. E. WOODMAN.
"To C. H. Hugher, M. D.


## To the Editor "Theosophist."

'I'he above has been copied by me from the Scientifie American of April 28 th 1883 , page 264, and I forwatd it with the hope that it will, if published, be of interest to your readers, and if some further explanation is given by you concerning the nature of the phenomenon from an esoteric point of view, it would perhaps become still more interesting and instructive.
W. D. THLDEN, F. T, S.

Editor's Notr.-The exhalation of fire from the month is one of the stock illusions of the itincrant jugglers of various countrics. In their case the dried powder of Lycopadium is employed, we believe, and the same substance is used in theatrical performances when it is desired to simulate either fire or lightning flashes. It may be that the American human volcano in question employs some such agent to impose upon his spectators, and we are always bound to exhaust the theories of the possible beforo venturing upon those of the seemingly impossible. Yet, personal chameter being a prime factor always, we must take it for granted that Mr. Underwood is above such trickery, since his phenomenon has such respectable endorscment. If then, we turn to occult science to seek for an explanation, we will find that there are cases on record of individuals who emit from their persons a luminous vapour or ama, under higle states of nervons exaltation. Sometimes it appears as a wild radiance, sometimes as a lambent flame, and in othors as an olectric or rather odic corruscation.* Rarely it is ohserved by day, but most frequently by night, and still oftener while the subject is deeply engaged in his devotions. A noted example is that of the fasting Poter of Alcantara, a Catholic devotec. The halo, or nimbus which painters depict about the heads and bodies of saints, yogis, gols and goddesses, is familiar to every one, and is. a memento of this watural pheuomenon. But the light in these instances is of an odic character, and though flaming and flickering like fire, has none of its combustive property. Writers upon sorcery and mediumship have frequently recorded anecdotes of the bursting forth of flames from the doors, windows, chimneys or roofs of buillings without appareut cause, and in fact at times when there was no fire in any part of the house, nor any articles stored within, such as cotton, cotton-waste, greased rags, or other substances liable to spontancous combustion. These mysterious burnings have been sometimes attended with stone-showers or throwings, equally unaccountable. The Spiritualists affirm that the
agents in all these cases have been spirits; but unless they be the fire-elementals or Salamanders of the Rosicrucians, they must be queer "Spirits." Among modern Western mediums, equally with Hindus of the same class, are many who can handle burning coals, red-hot iron, and molten metal with perfect impunity, and walk through beds of blazing fire unscathed. In America there is a female medium nanned Mrs. Swydam, who has this gift, and in Europe a late, and the most noted of male mediuns, has not ouly exhibited the feat of haudling hot coals without receiving harm, but even laid them upon the heads of non-mediuns in the company present or upon newspapers or books, without injury to person or property. The explanation in both classes of cases is that the fire-proof individual is a medium for these fire elementals, and contains in himself an unusual proportion of Salamandrine properties, the result of an abnormal combination of elemental forces in his foetal development. Normally, a luman being contains the elementals of all the four kingdoms in almost equal proportions, any slight preponderace of une or the other determining the so-called "temperament."

## THE PERILS OF DABBLING IN MESMERISM.

## Col. Olcotr has received the following letter :-

"'lhongh I am entirely nnknown to you, I beg to introduce myself to your kindness and solicit your assistance and instruction in some very essential scientific matter. Permit mo to say that I nin a Deputy Educational Inspector of Schools. Being interested by the phenmmen of nesmerism I had ocoasion to observe at a friend's, I began the trial for myself. I succeeded in putting my subject to sleep at the very first siting, and during these iwo months we have had nearly forty sittings. 'the wonders revealed to nie by my subject, who is a male friend of mine, struck me beyond calculation, and I tave become a firm devotee of spiritualism aud mesmerism.

My subject could when in the clairvoyant sleop easily decipher the contents of any closed box, could tell me the time and hour by any concealed or absent watch, could describe things taking place in the next room, could presage the cure and treatment of diseases, and, above all, could put himself in communication with permons in other towns. A new phase in these phenomena has however suddenly introduced itself to my notice. Since the last 6 or 7 sittings my subjoct gonerally goes out of mly control, and appears as if seeing and talking to something in the air. Upon an uccasion he was asked to answer some questions relating to myself, and my subject distinctly told mo "Wait, I will first inquire," and then gave the ansmer to me. During the time of his enquirs he was heard to bo muttering these words, "l'ell me, Sir; my operator whats it, Sir, elc.," as if to some one high in the air. He was very much pleased all the while, and his face beamed with cheerfulness. Of questions whose answer he was not able to gire, be distinctly said, " It is not in my power, I camnot tell it, etc." I was curiously enough led to inquire who the person was who gave him this information. He said in a very angry voice, " Bou't inquire about that." Some minutes after he sprang from the chair with a start and shodder, and exclaimed the words, "A Devil! Devil!" All my orders calling him to compose himself, all my attempts to dras him into some sympathy, were in sain, and he mas pacified only when I awakened him by making reverse passes. At another sitting, I asked him to request his friend to bring me a very good fruit, nud the answer he gave me after long disenssion with somebody in the uir was " you do not deserve a fruit." Ever since the time, he is attended by one spirit or another fion the very minute I pat him to mesmeric slcep. He stoutly refuses to rernember the sights he enjoys in his sleep. But I nm much disappointed to note that in these last two or thres sittings he is alwiys frightened by some evil spirit. He falls into mesmeric sleep, and immediately follows a start, sbudder and screaming ; all going off on his being awakened. Even in awakening him wuch difficulty is experienced; for ho never regains his conscionsnesy by reverse pisses, but by a simple command to "A wake"! If these evil spirits will thus continue to attend him, I shall with much regiet and disappointment be obliged to give up the trial at a time whon the most interesting part of the secret has just been reached.
I have read some books on Mesmerism, but I have come ncrose none on Spiritualisin. Can you recommend some good books to me on the subject, as well as some practical hints as to how I should manage to proceed under the circumstances detailed above.,
A. B. C."

Note.--J'his is a strikiug example of the dangers attendant noon the use of high mesmeric powers before the practitioner has acquired full development of the Will and thoroughly prepared his mind for every emergency. Our correspondent has placed his clairvoyant in a
very dangerous situation. He has loosened the "Soul" in the body so that it can escape into the subjective spheres, without having so firm a hold upon it through his own Will as to prevent its breaking away from safe mesmeric restraint. It is consequently liable to wander hither and thither, like a lost child, and to be caught and controlled by all sorts of evil influences. As the awakened powers of the clairvoyant appear to have been used rather to gratify idlo curiosity and amuse sceptics, than to solve the higher and nobler problems of life; and as the operator confesses to having asked the gift of a foreign firuit, of beings whosefavours are enjoyed only by those who are willing to becomo their slaves, the somnambulist was surrounded by an aura attractive to the lower elementals and earth-bound elementaries. What the possible ontcome of this rash and ill-considered experiment may be I will not forecast. The best advice to give under the circumstances, and to all begimers, is that they do not attempt to throw their subjects into the clairvoyant condition before being thoroughly confident that they have such self-command, coolness, and available resources of knowledge of mesmeric science as to be prepared for every possible emergency. The true mesinerist is one whose self-control never deserts him during an experiment, cven though the ceiling falls upon hishead!-H.S.O.

## MESMERISING WATER.

Negapatam, 14th June 1883.
Having read Captain James's "Mesmerism," I was induced to muke an attempt at mesmerising water, for this requires the patience of only one person (the mesmeriser) and not of two. I took a silver tumbler nearly full of water and made passes over it from 6-15 P. M. to $6-35 \mathrm{P}$. M. I then gave tho water to my sister, suffering from a boil. It was still daylight when the water was administered, and 5 or 10 minutes atter, she fell soundly asleep (Mesmerised water, according to Captain James, encourages natural sleep), and continued so till 10 p. M. I tried to wake her, but she does not remember having been distnrbed in her sleep.
Am I going on in a path which will lead me to success?

> Answer.-Yes, you are. -Ed.
P. T. S.

## funeral rites among savage races.

In your note to the letter on "Tho Efficacy of liunernl Coremonies," (see Theosoph ist, June 1883, p. 22l,) you remark "that very few among the so-called savage "primitive races, had or have any funeral rites or ceremonies."

Allow me to point out that the aborigines of the Chota Nagpur platean have a very ancient custom of erecting large blocks of unlewn stone in menory of their "departed dead."

These pillars vary in height from 5 to 15 feet.
I append hereto a rough cony of some at a village called Pokuria, 4 miles south of Chaibassa, the highest of which is 8 feet 4 inches above ground. Vide Cul. Dalton's "Ethnology of Bengal," p. 203.
W. D.

Editor's Note.-We are sorry to be unable to reproduce the sketch of the said pillars. But we wonld observe to our amiable correspondent, that in saying that "very few among the savage primitive races had or have any funeral ceremonies," we were not thinking of the monoliths, aud memorial stones placed on their tombs, The latter cannot be classed with either "rites," or "cercmonies," but belong to the varions modes of disposing of the dead, and preserving the memory of the seat where they were buried. They entail none of that extravagant expenditure lavished by the Hindus and Parsees as well as by the Roman Catholics and Greeks upon obsequial ceremonies in which human variety forces them to outvie each other in the eyes of their indifferent neighbours, and to satisfy the lucre of their Brahmins and priests, under the alleged penalty of offending their dead-a superstition worthy of, and pardonable in, savages, but wholly unworthy and as uupardonable in the XIXth contury, and among civilized nations.

## WHENCE THE SOUL.

If any of the advanced Chelas will kindly undertake to eulighten us on the following point, he will do a world of good to a large number of enquirers who, like me, are groping in the dark. It is said that what is called man is composed of seven principles. Some account is also given as to what
becomes of these principles after death, bat nowhere can we find any thing to show how, when and whence these principles are obtained after rebirth.

Yours faithfully,
A THEOSOPHIST.
Jessore, June 1883.
Editor's Note.-We belicve that onc who will read even this Number will find that quite enough was given of the philosophy to show whence the principles.

## THE TEACHINGS OF ALLAN KARDEC.

Would you permit me to ask you kindly to inform me what are the views of the Occultists regarding such works as those of Allan Kardec? What credit may be attached to the positive statements therein contained on such points as the existence of "guardian angels," the power of disembodied spirits to choose their own trials and mode of life on re-incarnation? Who, again, were the intelligences who inspired Kardec's "Spirits' Book" and "Mediums' Book?" The morality of these works is beyond dispute. Who then inspired their author, and how far may their detailed theories regarding the unseen world be trusted?

## Bangalore, 9 th June 1883.

## INQUIRER.

Editor's Note.-The works of Allan Earajec teach a syatem of ethics which merits the encomiums our correspondent gives it. In this code thonsands of young persons are being edncated, and beyond doubt they will derive from it great moral strength. Sinoe, however, the doctrines of the Spiritist school are vot altogether in harmony with those of Occultists, as regards the condition of man after death and the dcstiny of his moma, we persomally have never been enlisted as a follower of the great French philosophy in question. The morality of a syatem does nut prove its iufallibility in respect to its dogmas and other teachings. Who inspired Allan Kardec we cannot tell. In some fundamental respects his doctrines are diametrically opposed to ours. With the Spiritists we beliove-let us rather say we know-that man is born |more than once as a human being; and this not merely apoi this earth but apon seven earths in this planelary chain, to say no. thing of any other. Bat as to the rapility with which and the circum. stances under which these reincarnations occur, our Spiritist friends and ourselves are at variance. And yet despite all differences of opinion, including the very great one about the agency of "departed spirits" in controlling mediums and inspiring books, we have ever been on the fricndliest terms with the Kardeciste and had hoped always to remain so. Hocent utterances by our friends-hasty, we think, and likely to be recalled upou reflection-have thrown some doubt over the situation; but this is neither here nor there as regards our correspondent's query.

The Occultists do not accept the doctrine of "gaardian angels," for reasovs heretofore fully explained, in these pages. They do, however, believe most firmly in the personal, divine spirit in man, the soarce of his inspiration and his all-sufficient "angel" and "guardian." Only adepts can choose their reincamations, and even they are strictly limited in their choice by their responsibility to the inexorable law of Earma. According to his Karma-phalla, or the aggregate consequences of his actions, is every mades rebirth and final escape, or emancipation; from the necessity for rebirth determined.

Notall of the Spiritists agree with Allan Kardec by any means. Tho house seems to be greatly divided. We reconmend our correspondent to read J. B. Roustaing's "F'our Cospels," translatod into English by Miss Anaa Blackwoll and Mr. Kirby.

## PHYSICAL CHANGES OF THE EARTH.

Wiris reforence to the following correspondence published in the Engineer, dated 18th May 1883, it would be interesting to know whethor similar natural geographical changes that converted Oceans to Deserts, Planes to Mountains, and submerged Continonts, ever affected the climate of the parions countries as apprehended by the correspondent, or brought any changes in the Physical, Social, Mental or Moral natare of man.
"The Samara Sea."
"Sir,-M. de Lesseps having prononnced, as practicable, the scheme of an inlind sea in the Sahara desert, the following consideration snggests itself. The extent of the proposed sea would no doubt be very great, and the weight of water in it would be onormous. If the scheme is to be carried out, such an immense quantity of water to be displaced and taken to another part of the earth's surface-i.e., from tho ocean to the desert-would seem to involve very beavy considerations. It would not affect the carth's position in the Solar system, but would it affect the earth's equilibriam? It is possible that the weight of water in the proposed sea wonld scarcely be perceptible, but shonld there be the least disturbance or alteration in the inclination of the earth's axis, the seasons all over the world would be changed, and this is a very grave
question. If the inclination of the earth's $n x i s$ would be altered, how mach wonld it be and how would it affect the climate of the various countries?

It would be interesting to hear the opinions of your correspondents on the subject, and as it is of so much importance to the whole world, I trust a number will give their consider-ation.-Arlas."-May 5th.

KUMUD CHANDER MUKERJEE, F. T. S.
Simia,
The 13th June 1883. $\}$

## THE SEVEN HUMAN BODIES.

Is the course of reading the Iragments of Occult Trufth, I met with certain difficulties which some of the advanced Fellows found rather hard for thom, I am therefore ander the necessity to trouble you wilh some of them, with the hope that this letter will find a place in a conner of your most valuable journal, and that if you lave no time to take up the matter, some of the more advanced Chelas will enlighten us (for I am not the only person labouring under these difficulties) through the medinm of the same jourmal.

It is said that man is composed of seven principles or entities. The question is whether, excluding the body, the other six entities are finite like the physical body, and if so whether they correspond in shape and size (their composition boing different) with the human body in which they exist? If not, what is the shape and size of each of them, and what particular part or organ of the human frame ench inhabits? The conception which the gentlemen $Y$ have referred to have formed world be best explained by the following example. Suppose a man wear six pieces of clothes (one above another), exactly of the samo size as his body. The ontermost garmont would represent his physical body, the one next to it, the Jivatma,* and so on until his body, which would represent the spirit, the only difference between them being their composition. The extermal body is formed of gross matter, and the other entities consisting of more refined matter, each being composed of a finer or more cthereal substance than the next corering lying on the outside. The only difliculty in this conception arises from the description given of the 7 th principle, which is said to be rather a state rather than a being, and an emanation from the Absolute. Now we are disposed to ask whether this emanation is not a finite particle (excuse me my wording) separated from the Absolute and put into the haman body, otherwise we camot understand how it can sever itself from the body and pass off elsewhere. If the soal when inhabiting the body forms a part and parcel of the Absolute, and is not separated from that spirit which porvades the universe, how can it be separated from itsclf and have independent existence? And the question would arise that the soul (the 7 th principle) conld not in that case be said to be confined within its prison (the body, but lie within it as well as without it up to the end of the univers.

$$
\mathrm{X} . \mathrm{Y} . \mathrm{Z} .
$$

## REPLY.

Ojr Jessore corrospondent makes several extrnordinary mistakes. His conceptions of the Occult doctrine and inner man are altogether wrong. The question is an important one and requires immediate rectification.
Man is composed of seven principles-according to the secret doctrines of every old philosophy. But a principle does not necossarily mean a "body." Notwithstanding bis seven principles, man has in fact the elements in him for only three-so. called entities (which are not all bodins, as will be shown); for this reason nll the nncient as well as modern philosophers, when speaking in exoteric vulgar language, designato man as a trinity composed of "Body, Soul and Spirit." But of these the Spirit or Fth principle is Arupa (formless), hence no "body." Our Sthoolasarira is, of course, a body. The soul or "astral body" is, strictly speaking but one, manifested under three aspects and names. When seen during the life of man, it is called the doulle and the "astral bods," especinlly if projected unconsciously; and Mayavirupa when due to the conscious deliberate will of an Occultist-one versed in Yog-Vidya. Its name depends on the principles that enter into its formation. Thus after death it will

[^12]be called by the profane the bhoot (ghost), and by those who know its mature Koma-rupat or an "Elementary." As to the glorified Mayavirupa atter death, it is seen only under the most extraordinary circunstances and subjectively. Sankaracharya speaks bit of five (pancha kosha) sheaths-leaving the monad (6th and 7 th priuciples) altogether out of this classification, as their sheaths or losha are beyond human perception. (See AtmaBodia).

How can one ask whether "the other six entities are finite $B A$ the physical hody," when every line of the doctrine given out points to the 7 th and 6 th principles as indestructible, immortal and divine. Evon the higher qualities of the Sth sheath become eternal when sufficiently united to the monad. To speak, then, of the "shape and size" of any of the six entities, of which in truth but three have a certain right to the name, is hardly philosophical. Maya (full), the termination of the name of each sheath ought to show that even tho gross physical body is not so regarded. Man is a dual trinity, composed of (1) Body-the vehicle of Jiva or Prana (Lifo principle); (2) Linga Sariram, the vehicle of Kamarupa or Will-Force, which in its turn is the vehicle of mind or manas; and (3) of that samo manas-becoming the Upadhi of Budhi, the Spiritual Sonl which is itself-the Upadhi (vehicle or the illusive disguise assumed by the Atman or Brahmam) of the 7 th principle, while connected with an individuality. 'Thus it is composed of seven elementr or principles, of which three daalities or dual entities-one objective, one semi-objective and one purely subjective, are said to be formed. The first is intended for the earch-plane; the second for asomie earthly, or etherealized condition in one of the rupa-loleas of interplanetary life; the third for a sentient condition, of a parely intellectual nature io the Arupalokas of the same. Above these three "bodies" is placed Atman, (the Jivatman of the Vedantees) who assumes an imaginary illusive iodividunlization while connected with the indivicluality of the "Spiritual Soul" or Buddhi; but who (rather which) has no existence distinct from the "One Existence," the one Universal Lissence called Parabralan and is therefore the Sachehidanandam, the absolute nirguna (qualitiless). 'I'he 7th principle is thus no entity or body at all, Above the three dualities and, so to say separate from them, it is yet, within and without; it circumscribes and permeates them, at the same time, siuce that which is omuipresent eamot be absent from the smallest atom. I'herefore, when we say that this principle separales itself from man, the term is simply due to the imperfection and lack of proper terms to express metaplysical ideas in the English language. It does not separato itself in rality; iut, owing to the presence and exaberance of gunas in sinful man, it ceases to act upon and in these principles, and its light (jyotis) becomes extinct and latent in them. When a man is dead, life is said to have departed from him; whereas life becomes the most potential from that very moment and awnikens with a new vigor in every one of the molecules of the dead man-separately : Frana, the breath of life, stirs np every atom of the corpse. 'l'hus, if three of the seven principles can assume one a tangible, one a perceptible, and the third an imperceptible body, the three other principles have but figurative bodies;-they are no entities, though they may be said to have being and existence in one sense. Nor can even the two bodies-excepting the third, the objective material body-be viewed as permanent bodies. As implied in the Elixir of Life (Theosophist, March 1882), the several bodies are present only in so fur that the necessary ingredients with their latent potentiality for forming a body-are there, each ready to " become the exact counterpart (of man) of the other," their "atomic conditions so arranged that its atoms interpenetrate those of the next grosser form." 'Ihcir creation depends on the Will-Force-the 4th principle, the axis, so to say, of the activity of the seven, in living terrestrial man, during whose life it either gives room to, or paralyges, the Sutratman-the " thread Soul," that ray of the Absolute which passes through the six subtile "bodies" of man.

Our correspondent commits a great mistake in terming the 7th principle "Soul." 'I he latter is a proper word to use in connection with the Maras (animal Soul) and the Buddhi (the Spiritual Soul.) It is quite inapplicable to the 7th principle-the Spirit, the liranyagarbha of the manifested Brahma.

*     *         * TARA NATH * * * * F. T. S.


## IS FCETICIDE A CRIME.?

TuE articles in your paper headed "Is suicide a crime?" have suggested to my inind to ask another question "Is Foticide a crime?" Not that I personally have any serious doubts about the unlawfulness of such an act; but the custom prevails to such an cxtent in the United States that there are comparatively only few persons who can soe any wrong in it. Medicines for this purpose are openly advertiscd and sold; in "respectable families" the ceremony is regularly performed every yoar, and the family physician who should presume to refuse to undertake the job, would be peremptorily dismissed, to be replaced by a more accommodating one.
I have conversed with physicians, who have no more conscientions scruples to produce an abortion, than to ad-
minister a physic ; on the other hand there are certain tracts from orthodox channels published against this practice; but they are mostly so overdrawn in describing the "fearful consequences," as to lose their power over the ordinary reader by virtue of their absurdity.

It must be confessed that there are certain circumstinces under which it might appear that it would be the best thing as well for the child that is to be born as for the community at large, that its coming should be prevented. For instance, in a case where the mother earnestly desires the destruction of the child, her desire will probably influence the formation of the character of the child and render him in his days of maturity a murderer, a jail-bird, or a being for whom it would have been better "if he never had been born."
But if foeticide is justifiable, would it then not be still better to kill the child after it is born, as then there would be no danger to the mother ; and if it is justifiable to kill children before or after they are born then the next question arises: "At what age and under what circumstances is murder justifiable?"

As the above is a question of vast importance for thonsands of people, I should be thankful to see it treated from the theosoplical stand-point.

> An "M. D." F. T. S.

George Town,
Colorado, U. S. A.
Wditor's Note.-Theosopby in general answers : "At no age as under no circninstance whatever is a murder justifiable?" and occult 'lheosophy adds :-" $y$ et it is neither froun tho standpoint of law, nor from any argument drawn from ore or another orthodox ism that the warning voice is sent forth against tho immoral and dangernus practice, but rather because in occult philosophy both physiology and psychology show its disastrous consequence." In the present case, the argument does not deal will the causes but with the effects produced. Our philosophy goes so far as to say that, if the Penal Code of most countries punishes attempts at suicide, it ought, if at all consistent with itself, to doubly punish fceticide as an attempt to doubie suicide. For, indeed, when even successful and the mother does not die just then, it still shortens her life on earth to prolong it with dreary percentage in Kamaloka, the intermediute sphere between tho earth and the region of rest, a place which is no "St. Patrick's purgatory," but a fact, and a necessary halting place in the evolution of the degree of life. The crime committed lies precisely in the wilful and sinful destruction of life, and intorference with the operations of nature, hence-with Karma-that of the mother and the would-be future human being. Tho sin is not regarded by the occaltists as ono of a religitos character,-for, indeed, there is no more of spirit and soul, for the matter of that, in a foetus oreven in a child before it arrives at self-conscionsness, than there is in any other small animal,-for we deny the absence of sonl in cither mineral, plant or beast, and believe but in the difference of degree. But focticide is a crime against nature. Of course the sceptic of whatever class will sneer at our notions and call them absurd superstitions and "unscientific twaddle". But we do not write for sceptics. We have been asked to give the views of Theosophy (or rather of occult philosophy) upon the subject, and we answor the query as far as we know.

## NARCOTICS versus OCCULTISM.

With reference to the assertion made in the 7th line, 2nd column, page 235 of the Theosophist for June 1883, that total abstinence from wine and spirituous drink is strietly nccessary for the development of "Occult" knowledge, I beg resjectfully to inquire whether the very same remark applies also to the use of nareotics, such as opium, ganja (lndian hemp) \&c., \&c. In all the Theosophical writings that have up to this time been published, I have always secn the injunction against the use of alcolol and have never met with any distinct remarks against the use of the above two articles of intoxication. As this subject is considered by many as requiring a clear and full explanation, I would beg humbly to suggest that, for the benefit of the general public, a paper may be published on the subject in the next issuc of the journal.

I would here take the opportunity to point out that almost all of the so-called Sannyasis and Sadhus are in the habit of smoking ganja and drinking bhang. Even God Siva, the foremost of the Mindu Occultists, is said to have been taking the above intoxications. I would therefore beg also to know how and why this pernicious custom came to be prevalent among a class of persons who are considered to be far alove the range of ordinary mankind.

The point requires to be definitely settled by an authoritative source as many a person take a lively interest in the settlement of such questions as the one at issue.

A Would-be Yogi,
F. T. S.

Jessone,
The 15th June, 1883. \}

## REPLY.

Note--These narcotic drugs are as much prohibited as spirituous liquors for the development of psychic powers. We know of no work on Yog Vidya where they are recommended. It is no wonder that the Puranas should say that Siva was taking bhany, when he and great Rishis like Agastya are represented as having led a narried life. In a Telugu work on Occultism we understand that "Rámarasapanam" is recommended as necessary for Yogees. Rámarasapanam is the name by which a kind of liquor is known. The word is explained in another work as a technical term for a particulas: kind of meditation! Occultists have always used conventional terms in their works, the key being entirely in their hands; while such of the outsiders as had spiritual yearnings without the necessary qualifications, began interpreting them exoterically and, perverting the text, adopted such customs. With the growth and popularization of such practices, self-studying: Occultists (?) had diverged farther and farther from the Truth until at last the two systems can no longer be easily reconciled.
If the correspondent had referred to the article on " Sham Asceticism" (page 131 of the Theosophist for March 1883), he would have found that his question was already anticipated by the Editor.

*     *         * Tara Natif * * * *


## A GLOOMY PROSPECT.

## A Friend from England writes:-

"*** 'There are meetings now being held in favor of the" Zenana Mission" under the auspices of the Wesleyan Methodist and other Church Missionary Societies. A good deal of money is being raised, and, of course, the 'Christian' public only hear so much as answers the purpose of the missionary advocates to impart. I would suggest that the Hindus watch the action of these Societies in reference to this matter. They enter into the scheme for the enfrunchisement of Hindu women, purely from a churchianic stand point, and they quite ignore the fact that the leaders of this movement are influential native ladies who believe that Reform must and can come from within their own religious system and not from ontside Christian sources.
I have been through tho Continent and EgYrr visiting and inspecting the principal temples and other ruins as far sonth as Philoe, which I assure you has been deeply interestiug to me; as, having been on the actual localities, I can see with other and clearer eyes. The chief result is to conviace me by overwhelming evidence from still existing monuments of the identity of the Cbristian and later phase of the Osirian system and religion; and that the one is simply the presentation of the other, in a newer, and perhaps better, form. Nothing is changed but the names, and I have no difficulty iu tracing the personages and localities, and time, when the change took place. I am now preparing a series of papers which will bring out all those thirgs, and I trust they may be useful in modifying the intolerable claims put forth by Christians that their records are the oldest and only real divinely inspired writings, \&c. \&c. Anongst other "Curios" I picked up in Egrpt I got 2 statuettes of pretty ancient date, representing "Isis" nursing the child Horus," say 1,500 B. C.; and in Rome I bought one of the "Madonna" and the young Jesus" of 1883. A. D. Both are identical, and none can distinguish the difference. To my view, the "Unveiling of Madonna" is as great a work as the "Unveiling of Isis."

I an faithfully yours,

## A "BUDDHA" WANTED.

CAN you or any "Theosophist" readers tell me where I could get a good photograph of any celebrated statuc or picture of Buddha-or miniature statues in bronze?
D. M. S.

## 29th June 1883.

Editor's Note.-The most practical way, to obtain the desired objects, for onr correspondent, would be to communicato directly with one of the Prosidents of onr Buddhist Societies in Ceylon. The President of the "Colombo 'Theosophical Society," or of the Lanka Theosophical Socioty (Colombo), might be useful in this direction. Rut perhaps the most effectivo way would be to ask the kindly help of the High

Priest, the Revd. Sumangaln of Ceylon, or of Dr. Barbiere d'Intrioni L., F. T. S., Physician to Њis Majesty the King of Burmah, at Man. dalay.

## PROFESSOR TYNDALL ON THE BLASPHEMY PROSECUTION, \&c.

[We publish Mr, Atkinson's letter to us, thongh a portion of it has already appeared in the philosophic Inquirer. We thank the venerable writer warmly for bis great kindness and beg to assure him that we regard Professor T'sndall's words, as quoted by him, noble and highly conciliating. At the eame time, however, if they are meant to be roally effectunl, their application must not be one-sided. Tho feelings of every good and sincere man, whether he be a heathen, an infidel, or a spiritwalist, must al so be taken into account. Among the Idolators, the Pagans, the Occultists and the Spiritualists, there are as many good and sincere men, as there may be among Christians. When, therefore, wo find the same delicate and considerate regard shown to the respectivo beliefs of any of the former class by those who are so careful not to hurt the feclings of the latter religionists, then will tho key-note of true justice bo struck, indeed. 'lhen, and then alone, sball we be led to recognise the possibility of receiving fair play, instead of the habitual scorn shown to us, even at the hands of some mon of science. Until then we shall always be forced to think that this act of drawing " a clear line between liberty and science and net towards others as we would have them act towards ourselves and in the namo of reason and common sense'-is rather due to the fact that Christianity is the creed of the many and the powerful, than to auy real fear of hurting any body's feelings.-ELd.]

The following is Professor Tyndall's reply to your desire for information :-" Many thanks to you for the Theosophist. With regard to Messrs. Wainwright aud Scott, their action is simply amusing. With regard to Mr. Foote and his collengues, my signature of the momorial for their liberstion was accompanied by the note that ' the ribaldry in which nome of our professed Free-thinkers do not scruple to indulge, renders them the enemies of true freedom.' It is hard, as yon say, wholly to suppress ridicule, but we mast face the fact that men, good, morally and intellectaally, hold the notions that we cannot hold, and that it is unbecoming to treat convictions, to them dear, with rudeness, and brutality; in a word we must be always gentlemen, and draw a clear line between liberty and science, and act towards others, as we would have them act towards ourselves and in the name of reason and common sense." The Professor adds :--" Mr. Bray has sent me his volume ('The Science of Man'). I have looked into it and find its style brisk and lacid, whether his thouglits are equally so, I do not yet know. He has done his stroke of work with honesty, and for doing it he is deserving of respect. I base this conclusion on internal evidence." Mr. Bray is a Pantheist or Theosophist, what is I suppose mach the same thing, and I slagll look for a review of the work in the 'Theosophist; and it is always pleasant to say a kind word of approval to an able and distinguisbed writer on the side of science and frecdom in his old ago. Charles Bray of Coventry has been long a vigorous writer, and was a friend, and no doubt an inspiration to 'George Eliot' (Miss Evans) in her early days..........

I have added this to show that Tyndall himself acts up to what he tenclies, since the book in question advocates idealistic opinions quite opposed to his own clear sense of objective realities-in fact the idealism is the same as that which $\mathrm{D}_{1}$. Lewine is now adrocating in the London "Secular Review" ealled 'Hylo Idealism,' what 'Tyndall in a note strongly contemus. By the way here is a new work by the eminent naturalist St. George Mivart, F. R. S., "Nature and Thought," with a chapter headed "The Outer World," to refute idealism, and I think with satisfactory arguments.

I wonder you do not take more with intelligible psyehology. Now here is 'Bacons' Promus published for the first time by a Mis. Pott, a collection from all nations of bright aud beautiful and true and terse sayings, gens cut and polished, some as arc sought to be handled at discussion in the form requisite, all found in the Plays of Shakespear,-a proof that Breon was the real anthor. Was thero a grander psychological fact erer revealed? --that Shakespear and Bacon one and the samo brain. I havo gone into the matter fully; and find not a shade of doubt about it. We find plenty that is inexplicable everywhere, but there is a liking for the mystic and indefinite, and no doubt a will-o'the-wisp is nore interesting to the fancy than a lantern-except it were Aladdin's magical lantern! But
this Promus is making a great sensation mostly in America and (iermany. Here is a fine article from Germany, the simple facts woll put. We can now examine and think from a higher scientific standpoint than the old Indian ; but then I have no taste for antiquitios or for raking up "kitchen mittons," or the old people to the Lake towns. Here is J. G. Holyoake's "The present day," the first No. of a new fieethought journal to adrocate respect to persons and their icleas.

Henry G. Atkinson, f. g.s.
Hôtre de la Gare.
Boulogne, June 25,1883 . $\}$

## EFFICACY OF FUNEIRAL CEREMONIES. <br> (Communicated.)

I have to say a few words in connection with the interesting article on the Ffficacy of Funeral Ceremonies, which bas appeared in the Theosophist for June. The question therein raised is no doubt a very important one, and indeed occurred to me sometime ago while reflecting on the "Fragments." I have since pondered over it and thought I discovered some good grounds (from the occultist's stand-point) in favour of the ceremonies and had half $a$ mind to ask for an explanation on the subject; but I am now gratified to find that I have been auticipated in the matter and the question has been put by a better-informed person than myself. I must however confess that I do not feel quite easy with the explanation offered.

The Editor says that " as no person's Karma can be either " lightened or overburdened with the good or bad actions of " the next of kin of the departed one, every man having "his Karma independent and distinct from that of his neigh-"bour-no more can the departed soul be made responsible "for the doings of those it left behind." This is indeed most true; but the real question, to my mind, as to the utility or otherwise of funeral ceremonies, is not whether the Karma of the departed personality can in any way be modified by certain acts of the surviving, but whether the disembodied personality itself, which is wandering helplessly in the earth's atmosphere (now passively fulfilling its mission, its active Karma-producing energics having for the time being ended with the death of the physical body) and which is so utterly exposed to exterual, irresistible influences (mediumistic, necromantic and the like), which have a decided and surely undeserved evil effect on the gestating individual* Ego with which the personality is connected by means of a subtle influcnce,- the question, I say, is whether the personality, placed as it is under such pitiable conditions, can or cannot be protected from the evil influences spoken of, until it gets dissolved in the natural course, whether the resulting injury to the indivicluality can be warded off, and whether the obsequies and anniversary ceremonies possess any such protecting virtue. Of course I do not mean to say that the rites as performod at this day have any real efficacy in them ; but, may it not be, that there is, embedded in the modern, perhaps highly incrusted, forms of ritual, a far simpler and yet triuly magical (in the better sense of the word) process which may be the one originally enjoined in our Scriptures, and which-apart from the acts of eharity to the poor and the reading of sacred books, as mentioned-may have a distinct effect in the direction above indicated ? 'This is not very difficult of comprehension, for, if it is possible to bring about mediumistic phenomena by the simple process of a number of persons sitting round a table passively and with joined hands, having at least one among them a sensitive, and thas compelling the passing elementaries or shells to wake up from their natural state of rest into one of forced activity and work the extraordinary phenomena, at an immense cost to tho individualities they (the shells) represent, it should, I think, be equally possible that the living can, by equally simple processes, surround the shells of their dear departed relatives with an influence analogous to that exerted by amulets and charms worn by the living. This, however, it must be understood, is quito a different matter from an attempt to modify the Karma of the personality, which would be asserting a simple impossibility. The adept of occultism

* As I am liable to be misanderstood in some places in regard to the nse of the words "personality" and "individuality," I mast hasten to say that I have used them to represent, not the totnl personality or the total indiviluality, butsimply tho two divisions of the apper quaternary, whether they may be $1 \frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ principles respectively or the reverse.
does not interfere with the independent responsibility of action of his chela when he invests him with a magnetic aura of his own to protect him from harm being done to him unconsciously to himself. And to me the two cases seem to be nearly alike. Indeed the shell would seem to stand at a greater disadvantage than the chela, for the latter is a fully conscious, Karma-producing being, and can, to a certain extent, secure hinself against dangers, both foreseen and unforeseen, whereas the former is a weak, irresponsible and semi-conscious entity, and therefore the more in need of protection.

Another argument the Editor brings against the efficacy of funeral rites is that "Abraham and other Patriarchs were buried without any rites," and that there were no rites "at the death of either Zoroaster, Moses, or Buddha." Now it cannot be denied that this is not an absolute argument against the rites, for it affects only a class of exalted personages and not humanity at large. Human personalities, as the "Fragments" teach ns, may be divided into four distinct classes; (1) those of adepts and sorcerers, who by a special training and $\Omega$ knowledge of the occult forces of nature, secure for themselves "the permanent preservation of their personal identity"-through a succession of re-births, extending over "vast stretches of time"-(see Theos. for November 1882, p. 29) ; (2) those of ordinary mortals, good as well as bad; (3) those of desperately wicked persons (not being sor(erers), who are so utterly lost that their personalities are drawn, after death, into the "eighth" sphere; and (4) those of sane suicides and victims of aecident and violence. Now, since, by my supposition, the funeral rites are performed for the benefit of the spiritual Ego or the individuality, through the protection of the animal Ego or the semi-personality, it is important to know the degree of connection existing between these two entities in the four cases above described.

In the case of class 1 there is such a perfect assinnilation of the personality with the individuality that there is no. shell whatever, remaining in the Kamaloka, which may be affected by mediumistic or other evil influences and it can thus be well understood why there were no rites at the death of such ligh adepts as Zoroaster, Moses or Buddha.

Class 3 is the exact reverse of class 1 , i.e., there is a total rupture between the personality and the individuality, the "shell" and the spiritual monad; and therefore anything happening to the "shell" can have no effect whatever on the spiritual monad, and as for the "shell" itself, being already lost beyond redemption, and therefore doonsed to immensely prolonged sufferings in the "eighth" sphere, there is "no doubt it can suffer no injury from its intercourse with men," mediumistically, \&c., even during its short stay in Kamaloka -(see Theos. for' September 1882, p. 312, col. 2)-for how could a being be injured, whose prospective punishment and misery is already too great to be added to. Thus, neither the personality nor the individuality being capable of being either benefited or injured by the living, it is evident that to this class also the funeral rites would be practically of no avail.

It is principally the 2nd and 4th classes (the formerconsisting of by far the largest majority of human beings-the "useless portion," as Eliphis Levi would have it) that can, if at all, be affected by the ceremonies. And especially the 4 th (the sane suicides and the vietims of accident or violence), for, the members of this class, although otherwise fitin a high degree to be ranked in the category of the 2nd class-the ordinary mortal-lave yet, owing to their peculiar condition at the moment of death, placed themselves in the position of bewildered, languid beings tottering near the very verge of a mountain plateau -the Kamaloka, the legitimate field for 2nd class personalities-and in constant danger of being drawn down (far more easily than those staying in the interior of the plateau) by those terrible influences so often spoken of, into the gaping abyss below-the "eighth" sphere, the habitation of personalities class 3. In the case of these unhappy beings the line of connection between the persomality and the individuality is, for a short time after death, may be some years, so slender that artificial strengthening, if such is possible through the agency of the ceremonies, may not only be considered unobjectionable, but literally essential. Even the purce personalities of the 2nd class which are tolerably sequre from molestation, would seem to stand much in need of such strengthening, though in a less degree, for in their case also, as the "Fragments" teach us, medinmistic interference "distinctly disturbs the gestation" of the individual ego, " hinders the evolution of its uew egohood," "delays its
entry into the state of felicity (Devachan)," and thus "inflicts a distinct injury upon innocent beings." (see Theos. for September 1882, pp. 312 and 313.)
And now the question is, what are the essential rites and ceremonies which should prove effective, and how are they to be performed? Of course it seems reasonable to suppose that the mental attitude of the performer of the rites should play an important part in the ceremonies, as it surely does in thoses ceremonies which they are meant to counteract-I mean the spiritualistic seances. The question is a practical one, and in these days of the Kaliyug when spiritualism is spreading far: and wide and gaining ground every day (with its " millions" of devotecs at the present day, it may be conceived what amount of mischief it must be working even now), while the real religious ceremonies are as steadily falling into degeneration and getting more and more incrusted with useless formalities calling themselves "ceremonies," and assuming more the character of a solemn farce than anything else, any information on the points raised above, and instruction as to the correct modus operandi of the observances, would be most welcome, and would undoubtedly be an inestimable boon to the dead and solace to the living, inasmuch as it will help to eradicate much of what is now essential in the modern-day ceremonies, and thus furnish the living with the proper means of assisting the ethereal portions of the dead in accomplishing their onward progress. Besides, if the non-essentials are knocked off, a large portion of the misery which the poorcr classes of the Parsees are suffering at present, from their extravagant expenses on the ceremonies for the dead, could bo warded off and thus the progress of the living could also bo facilitated.

But the question above raised brings us directly to another equally important. The personalities of class 2 shade oft from the most pure and philanthropic to the most impure, highly sensual and material (just one remove from the state which would fit them for the "eighth" sphere) and the period of subjective cxistence which the higher duads (the individualities) of these various grades of beings have to pass through after each physical death varies from about 1,500 to 8,000 years, more or less, and this subjective existence is divided into threo states, the Kamaloka, the gestation and the Devachanic. The former two states seem to be passed ir utter uuconsciousness, so that the Ego is, so to say, non-existent while in those states; in Devachan it becomes conscious and enjoys all the happiness it can enjoy subjectively and which it has become deserving of. Now the subjective enjoyment of two entities in Devachan, (the one highly good and the other lighly wicked) is said to differ both as to duration and intensity. The latter kind of difference is one which camot be reduced to a mathematical computation, and must be left to one's own conjecture as to what it could be like, but the former can be mathematically considered and let us see what results could be arrived at by so doing. Let us suppose the merits of entities in Devachan to be divided into 1,000 degrees (I have assumed this number for the sake of easy calculation, although it will bo admitted that it is by no means $x$ sufficient number; indeed, strictly speaking, there could be no limit to this division), the lowest possessing one degree of merit and the lighest 1,000 degrees. Then, supposing tho large margin, still left after assuming this ratio, to account for the various degrees of intensity of enjoyment, it stands to reason the ratio between the Devachanic periods of the lowest and the highest entities should be as 1 to $i, 000$. This seems to be borne out by the fact taught us in the "Fragments," that the Kamaloka and the gestation poriods are considerably nore prolonged in the case of the wicked than in that of the good; thus, while the extremes of the total period of subjective existence are represented by 1,500 and 8,000 years (which stand to each other in the ratio of 3 to 16), those of the Devachanic period alone may have $\Omega$ far less ratio between them, which may be something like my assumption of 1 to 1,000 . That is, if only $7 \cdot 9$ years out of 1,500 are assumed to be the duration of the Devachanio existence of the most wicked, it would seem that the most pure might pass 7,900 years out of 8,000 in Devachan, and conversely that the most wicked will pass $1492 \cdot 1$ years in tho other two states of subjective existence, and the most pure only 100 years; in other words, these last-named periods will represent the time through which the personalities of the respective entities will endure. These flgares might perhaps appear very ridiculous in the light of fnether occult teachinge, but in view of those as yet conceded they will, I hope, appear rational enough. So now we hare come to a point where,
hroadly speaking, we fix the continuity of the personality after death about 100 years in the case of the most grod and at very nearly 1,500 that of the most impure. And this result, it must be remembered, is brought about by assuming that there are only 1,000 grades of merit entitling human entitios to Devachanic existence; if this number is increased, then, by a simple calculation, it will be seen that tho 100 years could be reduced to a smaller number, but the 1,500 years, being the extreme limit, could not he added to. But it might be argued that even then, if there are personalities which could endure for such long periods, where is the efficacy of funeral coremonies which could not surely be kept up for corresponding periods. I should answer that tho fact lends greater force to the efficacy of the ceremonies instead of subtracting any from it. For we are taught that the effect, reaped in Devachan (the subjective side of nature), of an "action" performed in this our objective existence, extends over a considerably longer period than that oscupied by the "action" itself, and hence, is it too much to suppose that a ceremony performed by a living objective man for a few short hours could have a somewhat lasting effect (the nature of which I have deseribed before) on the subjective personality of the dead, and that such ceremonies performed for only a few years successively conld, as it were, furuish that personality with an armour-impenetrable by mediumistic and other evil influences--which would endure until the personality has had time to dissolve? If this is really the case, the only question remaining is what is the naximum number of yoars during which the ceremonies should be repeated amually and after which they may bo stopped altogether?

The above, so far as I can conceive it, is the thue rationalo of obsequics and anniversary ceremoniss, and I think it is advisable to obtain the Editor's further opinion on the subject.
R......JEE C......JEE, F. T. S.

Elitor's Note- - $\Lambda$ ccremony to farnish the shell "with an armonr" against terrestrial attraction neorl not be repeated "a number of yoars" to become efficacions, could it hat be performed by a person versed in the knowledge of the Magi of old. Ono such ceremony on the night of death wonld suffice Bat where is the Mobed or priest capable of performing it now? It roquires $n$ true ocenltist-and theso are not found st every stroet corner. Hence - it becomes useless to add ruin to the living, since the dead cannot be helped.

## 'IRANGMIGRATION OF TUE LIFE ATOMS.

In your learned note on Mr. Oxley's article, (Hierosophy and Theosophy*) you say that "for three thousand years at least the 'mommy' notwithatanding all the chemical preparations goes on throwing off to the last invisible atoms, which from the hour of death re-entering the varions vortices of being go indeed through overy variety of organized life forms. But it is not the sonl the 5 th, least of all the 6 th principle, but the life-atome of the Jiva, the 2nd principle. At the end of the 3,000 years, sometimes more, and sometimes less, after endess transmigrations, all these atoms are once more drawn together, and are made to form the new outer clothing or the boly of the ame monad (the renl soul) which had already been clothed with two or three thousand of years before. Fren in the worst, case, that of the annihitation of the conscions personal principle, the monad or individual sont is over the ammp, as are also the atoms of the lower principles which regenerated and renewed in this ever-flowing river of being are mennetically drawn together owing to their aflinity, and are once more re-incarnated together."
'lhis little passage is a new instalment of occult tenching given to the public, and opens up a rast field for thought, It suggests in the first instance that, the exoteric doctrine of the transmigra. tinn of the sonl through lower forms of existence, -so generally believed in hy the Hindas-though incorrect ns regards the soul (5ut principle), has some basis of truth when referred to the lower principles.

You say in one place that the mummy goes on throwing off invisible atoms which go throngh every raricty of organized lifo forns, and further on you statie that it is the life-atoms of the Jiva, the 2nd principle, that go through these transmigrations.

According to the lst "Ocenlt Fragment." the Jiva "is a form of fore indestructibie, and when disconnected with one set of atoms becoming attracted immediately by others."

What then is meant by the life-atoms, and their going through endless transmig rations.

The invisible atoms of the mummy wonld mean the imperceptibly decaying atoms of the physical body, and the life alome of
the Jiva would be quite distinct from the atoms of the mummy. Do gour words import that both the invisible atoms of the phy. sical body ns well as the atoms of the Jiva after going through various life-forms return again to re-form the physical body, and the Jivn of the entity that has reached the end of its Devachanic stato and is ready to be re-incarnated again?

Yon teach again that even in the worst case the annibilation of the Persoual Ego) the atoms of the lower principles are the same as in the provious birth. Here does the term "lower principles" includo the "Kama rupa" also, or only the lower triad of body, Jiva, and Iingasarira. It seems the Kama rupa in that particnlar case cannot be included, for in the instance of the annihilation of the persomal sonl, the Kama rupa would be in the 8th sphere. Another question also suggests itself.

The 4th principle (Kama rupa) and the lower portion of the 5th, which cannot be assimilated by the 6th, wander abont as sholls aud in time disperse into the elements of which. they are made. Do the atoms of these principles also re-form-after going through varions transmigrations, to constibute over again the 4 th and the lower 5 th of the next incarnation $p$

I have no doubt that a few words more from you will clear awny all these doubes and give us valuable information on a hitherto dark and unfathomable point.
N. D. K $\qquad$ F. I. S.

Editor's Notr.-We would, to begin with, draw our correspondent's attention to the closing sentence of the foot-note under his review. "Such was the true occult theory of the Egyptians"-the word "true" being used there in the sense of its being the doctrine they really belioved in, as distinct from both tho tenets fathered upon them by some Orientalists and quoted by Mr. Oxley, and that which the modern occultists may be now teaching. It does not stand to reason that, outside those occult truths that were known to, and revealed by, the great Hierophants during the final initiation, we should accept all that either the Egyptians or any other people may have regarded as true. Tho Priests of Isis were the only true iuitintes, and their occult teachings were still more veiled than those of the Chaldcans. 'I'here was the true doctrine of the Hierophants of the inner Tomple; then the half-veiled Hieratic tenets of the Priest of the outer Templo; and finally, the vulgar popular religion of the great body of the ignorant who were allowed to reverence animals as divine. As shown correctly by Sir Gardner Wilkinson, the initiated priests taught that"dissolution is only the cause of reproduction............ nothing perishes which has once existed, but things which appear to be destroyed only change their natures and pass into another form." In the present case, however, the Egyptian doctrine of atoms coincides with our own occult teachings. The just criticism of our observing brother, who takes naturally enough the sentence-"The lifeatoms of the Jiva"' in its literal sense, reminds us at the same time, more than ever, of that most important fact that one can never tako too much care to express clearly new ideas while writing on metaphysical subjects. In penning the words under review, no thought was given in fact, that the idea was " a new instalment," and, therefore, its incompleteness gave riso to a fresh misunderstanding. Without any doubt Jiva or Prana is quite distinct from the atoms it animates. The latter belong to the lowest or grossest state of matter-the objectively conditioned ; the former-to its highest state : that state which the uninitiated, ignorant of its nature, would call the 'objectively finite', but which, to avoid any future misunderstanding, we may, perhaps, be permitted to call the Subjectively Eternal, though at the same time, and in one sense the subsistent existencehowever paradoxical and unscientific the term may appear.* Life, the occultist says, is the etcrnal uncreated energy, and it alone represents in the infinite universe, that which the physicists bave agreed to name, the prin-

[^13]ciple, or the law of continuity, though they apply it only to the endless development of the conditioned. But since modern science admits through her most learned professors that "energy has as much claim to be regarded as an objective reality as matter itself*"' and that life, according to the occult doctrine,--is the one energy acting Pro-teus-like under the most varied forms, the occultists have a certain right to use such a phraseology. Life is ever present in the atom or matter, whether organic or inorganic, conditioned or unconditioned-a difference that the occultists do not accept. Their doctrine is that life is as much present in the inorganic as in the organic matter: when life-energy is active in the atom, that atom is organic ; when dormant or latent, then the atom is inorganic. Therefore, tho oxpression "life-atom" though apt in one sense to mislead the reader, is not incorrect after all, since occultists do not recognise that anything in nature can bo inorganic and know of no "dead atoms," whatever meaning science may give to the udjective. The alleged law of Biogenesis is the result of the ignorance of the man of science of occult physics. It is accepted because the man of science was litherto unable to find the necessary means to awaken into activity dormant life in what he terms an inorganic atom : hence the fallacy that a living thing can ouly be produced from a living thing, as though there ever was such a thing as dead matter in Nature 1 At this rate and to be consistent, a mule ought to be also classed with inorganic matter, since it is unable to reproduce itself, and generate life. We lay so much stress upon the above to answer at once any future objection to the idea that a mummy several thousaud years old, can be throwing off atoms. Nevertheless tho sentence may perhaps have been more clearly expressed by saying instead of the "life-atoms of Jiva," the atoms "animated by dormant Jiva or life energy.." Again, the scutence quoted by our correspondont from Fragment No. 1, though quite correct on the whole, might be more fully, if not more clearly, expressed. T'lic " Jiva," or life principle which animates man, beast, plant or even a mineral, certainly is "a form of force indestructible," since this force is the oue life, or anima mundi, the universal living soul, and that the various modes in which the various objective things appear to us in uature in their atomic aggregations, such as minerals, plants, animals, \&c., are all the different forms or states in which this force manifests itsolf. Were it to become, we will not say absent, for this is impossible, since it is omnipresent, but for one single instant inactive, say in a stone, the particles of the latter would lose instantly their cohosive property and disintegrate as suddenly-though the force would still remain in each of its particles, but in a dormant state. Thus tho continuation of the sentence which states that, when this indestructible force is "disconnected with one set of atoms, it becomes attracted inmediately by others," doos not imply that it abandons ontirely the first set, but ouly that it transfers its vis viva or living power, the euergy of motion, to another set. But becauso it manifests itself in the next set as what is cilled Kinetic energy, it does not follow that tho first set is deprived of it altogether; for it is still in it, as potential energy, or life latent. $\dagger$ This is a cardinal and basic truth of occultism, on the perfect knowledge of which depends the production of every phenomenon. Unless we admit this point, we should have to give up all the other truths of occultism. Thus what is "meant by the life-atom going through endless transmigration" is simply this: wo regard and call in our occult phraseology those atoms

[^14]that are moved by Kinetic energy as "life-atoms," while those that are for the time being passive, containing but invisible potential energy, we call "sleeping atoms," regarding at the same time these two forms of energy as produced by the one and same force, or life. We have to beg our readers' indulgence: we are neither: a man of science, nor an English scholar. Forced by circumstances to give out the little we know, we do the best we can and explain matters to the best of our ability. Ignorant of Newton's laws, we claim to know something only of the Occult Laws of motion. And now to the Hindu doctrine of Metempsychosis.

It has a basis of truth; and, in fact, it is an axiomatic truth-but only in reference to human atoms and emanations, and that not only after a man's doath, buti during the whole period of his life. The esoteric meaning of the Laws of Manu (Sec. XII, 3, and XII, 54 and 55), of the verses that state that "every act, either mental, verbal or corporeal, bears good or evil fruit (Karma), the various transmigrations of men (not souls) through the highest, middle, and lowest stages, aro produced by his actions;" and again that "A Brahman-killer enters the body of a dog, bear, ass, camel, goat, sheop, bird, \&c.,"' bears no reference to the human Ego, but only to the atoms of his body of his lower triad and his Huidic emanations. It is all very well for the Brahmins to distort in their own interest, the real meaning contained in these laws, but the words as quoted never meant what they were made to yield from tha above verses later on. The Brahmins applied them selfishly to themselves, whereas by "Bridhman", man's seventh principle, his immortal monad and the essence of the persoual Eigo were allegorically meant. He who kills or extinguishes in himself the light of Parabrabm, i. e., severs his personal ligo from the Atman and thus kills the future Devachanee, becomes a "Brahmankiller.". Instead of facilitating through a virtuous lifes and spiritual aspirations the mntual union of the Buddhi and the Manas, he condemns by his own evil acts every atom of his lower principles to become attracted and drawn in virtuo of the magnetic affinity, thus created by his passions, into the forming bodies of lower animals or brutes. This is the real meaning of the doctrine of Metenpsychosis. It is not that such amalgamation of: human particles with animal or even vegetable atoms cau carry in it any idea of personal punishment per se, for of course it does not. But it is a canse created, the effects of which may manifest themselves throughout the next re-birtlis-unless the personality is annihilated. Otherwise from cause to effect, every effect becoming in its turn ac cause, they will rum along the cycle of re-births, the once given impulse expanding itself only at the threshold of Pralaya. But of this anon. Notwithstanding their: esoteric meaning, even the words of tho grandest and noblest of all the adopts, Gautama Buddha, are misunderstood, distorted and ridiculed in the same way. Tho Hinat-yana, the luwest form of transmigration of the Buddhist, is as little comprehended as the Maha-yanu, its highest form, and, because Sakya Muni is shown ta have onco remarked to his Blikkus, while pointing unti to them a broom, that "it had formerly been a novice who neglected to sweep out" the Council room, hence was reborn as a broom (!), therefore, the wisest of all of. the world's sages stands accused of idiotic superstition. Why not try and find out, before accusing, the true meaning of the figurative statement? Why should we scoli before we understand? Is or is not that which is called magnetic eflluvia a something, a stuff, or a substance, invisible, and imponderable though it be? If the learnorI authors of "the Unseen Universe" object to light, heats and electricity, being regarded merely as impunderables, and show that cach of these phenomena has as much claim to be recognised as an objective reality as matter itselfour right to regard the mosmeric or magnetic fluid which emanates from man $t u$ man or even from man to what is tormed an ipunimate object, is far greator, It is not
enough to say that this fluid is a spocies of molecular energy like heat for instance, for it is vastly more. Heat is produced whenever visible energy is transformed into molecular energy we are told, and it may be thrown out by any material composed of sleeping atoms or inorganic matter as it is called: whereas the magnotic fluid projected by a living human body is life itself. "Indeed it is life atoms" that a man in a blind passion throws off, unconscionsly, and though he does it quite as effectively as a mesmeriser who transfers them from himself to any object consciously and under the guidance of his will. Let any man give way to any intense feeling, such as anger, grief, etc., under or near a tree, or in direct contact with a stone ; and many thousands of years after that any tolerable Psychometer will see the man and sense his feelings from one single fraginent of that tree or stone that he had touched. Hold any object in your hand, and it will become impregnated with your life atoms, indrawn and outdrawn, changed and transferred in us at every instant of our lives. Animal heat is but so many life atoms in molecular motion. It requires no adept knowledge, but simply the natural gift of a good clairvoyant subject to see them passing to and fro, from man to objects and vice versâ like a bluish lambent flame. Why then should not a broom, made of a shrub, which grew most likely in the vicinity of the building where the lazy novico lived, a shrub, perhaps, repeatedly touched by him while in a state of anger, provoked by his laziness and distaste to his duty, why should not a quantity of his life atoms have passed into the materials of the future besom and therein have been recognised by Buddha, owing to his superhuman (not supernatural) powers? The processes of nature are acts of incessant borrowing and giving back. The naterialistic sceptic, however, will not take anything in any, save in a literal, dead-letter sense. We would invite those Christian Orientalists who chuckle at this record of Buddha's teachings to compare it with a certain passago in the (xospels-a teaching of Clirist. To his disciples' query "who did sin, this man or his parents, that he was born blind ?"-the answer they received was-" neither hath this man simed, nor his parents: but that the works of God should be made manifest in him." (John ix. 2-3.)

Now Gautama's statement has a scientific and a philosophic meaning for every occultist at least, if it lacks a clear meaning for the profane; while the answer put (probably centuries later)* into the mouth of the founder of Christianity by his over-zealous and ignorant biographers has not even that esoteric meanning, which so many of the sayings of Jesus are preguant with. This alleged teaching is an uncalled-for and blasphemous insult to their own God, implying, as it clearly does, that for the pleasure of manifesting his power, the Deity had foredoomed an innocent man to the torturo of a lifelong blindness. As well accuse Christ of being the anthor of the 39 Articles !

To conclude our too long answer, the "lower principles" mentioned in the foot-note are-the 1 st, 2nd and the Brd. They cannot include the Kamarupa, for this "rupa" bolongs to the middle, not the lower principles. And, to our correspondent's further query, "do the atoms of these (the 4th and the 5 th $)$ also re-form after going through various transmigrations to constitute over again the Ith and the lower 5 th of the next incarnation'-we answer -"' they do." The reason why we have tried to explain the doctrine of the " life atoms" at such length, is precisely in comnection with this last question, and with the object of throwing out one more valuable lint. We do not feel a.t liberty at present, however, to give any further details.

[^15]
## 

## ESOTERIC BUDDHISM.

## By A. P. Sinnett. (Trü̈bner, 1883.*) FIRST NOTICE.

[IT scems hardly necessary to remind our readers that the teachings embodied in "Esoteric Buddhism" having been received by Mr. Sinnett from the Adept "Brotiers"-so intimately connected with our Society and Journal-it is not our province to review the work. Thus, having to leave the task eutirely to the ontside world, we rejoice the more to find the book already noticed in a most admirable article in Light, which we hasten to reproduce.-Ed.]

It may safcly be said that so much definite information about mankind and the universe as this volume contains, has never before been given out in so compact a form within literary or linguistic memory. Mr. Sinnett's style has a business-like directness and an effectiveness which compel his reader to take him in earnest and to be in earnest with him. Doubtless this is largely due to the fact that he is delivering a message rather than imparting the results of indcpendent speculation. A highly intelligent and educated mind, trained to explicit statement, and with a peculiar power of familiar exposition, has been charged with a task requiring all thesc qualifications in an eminent degrce. For the undertaking is not a slight one. Western intellect and culture, having despaired of truth, have become " critical." That is to say, all past and present systems of religion and philosophy are regarded as mere historical noments in the development of thought. This view, which is in part correct as regards the form popular bcliefs and speculations have taken, recognises no such exception as " esoteric" knowledge. Seeret doctrinc, indeed, there was and must have been, whenever men philosophised in advance of dominant superstitions. Butan esoteric science of things beyond physical ken supposes transcendental faculties of observation and verification ; and the existence of such faculties must itself be proved. We are, perhaps, emerging from the time when no proof would be allowed or listened to. Indeed, no time could be more appropriate for urging the claims of esoteric science than the present, when researol, is being so widely and earnestly directed to the facts which can alone make it probable that such a sciencc exists. The proof of clairvoyance, for instance, carries the mind far beyond the limitations of the faculty as open to ordinary verification. For it then becomes not only easily imaginable that this sense is susceptible of a high degree of development by training and cultivation, but antecedently probable that it belongs to a distinct order of educible powers, giving an indefinite extension to the range of human observation. It does not require any great credulity to conceive that this psychology may actually have been pursued with success by generations of practical students, wholly devoted to its acquisition. It is no new thing that we are asked to believc. Indian philosophy, as may be read in any of our treatises on the subject, not only asserts generally the existence of transcendental wisdom and powers, but defines and classifies their species with much particularity. The sceptic, who finds an easy explanation of popular beliefs, must be perplexed to acconnt for the growth of such systematic exposition, if it has no basis of experience. Incredulity on the part of Spiritualists, on the other hand, is almost illogical. Among them, Dr. George Wyld has the merit of being one of the first to point outt that all the powers we ascribe to discmbodied intelligence in even its higher states must be latent in ourselves, their development being only a question of conditions, not necessarily incompatible with physical existence. The realisation of this fact in knowledge and experience is what is meant by Adeptship. For all who believe in spiritual evolution, however little they may have already systematised the conception, the onus of presumption in regard to actual adeptship is slifted. There are forcing processes in nature as in art ; and the possible is ever also the actual, if we knew where to look for it. The " rare eflorescence" is not a miracle, it is at most an unseasonable phenomenon. Nor is it eren the latter, unless it can be

[^16]shown that individual development can never outstrip the kosmical. The scientific exposition of the latent principles in man, and of the conrse of their regular (as distinguished from their exceptional and extraordinary) evolution, is given by Mr. Sinnett in connection with the great scheme of unirersal manifestation, wherein the same causes, and the same system of their orderly succession, are apparent.
In another respect this book is peculiarly well-timed. The hreach between science and religion has widened to a chasm which threatens to engulph the highest hopes and interests of mankind. The spectacte of our sickly faiths drooping and perishing in a hostile intellectual enviromment is about the most dismal that a mind of any sincerity can contemplate. We seem to be approaching a time when the "organised hypocrisy" of our churches will be as crying a scandal to human intelligence as monasticism had become to human morality three and a half centuries ago. And when it comes, it will be a period of upherval in more than one direction. The positive unbelief which is visibly extending from the intellectual aristocracy to the multitude will alnost certainly reaet with destructive forec upon political and social arrangements. It cannot but sugrest the redress of inequalities in this world to those who have lost the shadowy hope of compensation in the next. The belief in a future life is not merely a powerful auxiliary to momals; if the kingdom of Heaven is the reward of the righteous, it is also the inheritance of the poor. Many a thoughtful mind must have dwelt with anxiety on this prospect, withont seeing from what quarter the reconstruction of religious faith upon a permanent basis conld be expected. Can it be that to " the bloodless and innoeent record of Buddhism" will be added this claim tapon human gratitude and love?
Nothing, certainly, can seem less probable to the student fresh from the pages of Dr. Rhys Davids, and others of the nihilist, or at best agnostic, school of interpreters. The anthority of seholars, who brought to their task the utterly unscientific conceptions prevalent in the West about the human soul, has been largely committed to the statement that Baddhism makes no provision for an enduring individuality, and even expressly and emphatically denies it.
There andenty of passages in Buddhist writings which seem io bear out this view ; and in default of the key, which only a deeper philosophy conld supply, they had to be left in paraloxical juxtaposition with doctrines implying the very reverse. Attempts to explain away such cardinal tenets as rebirth, the retributive force of karma, hyper-physical existence, de., are of necessity lame and sophistical. The ordinary stadent of lladdhism is in the same position as the ontsiders who youstioned Crautama himself as to the meaning of his doctrine. When the wandering monk, Vacehagotta, in the dialogne translated by Dr. Oldenburg from the Samy ut1akal Nihầya, asked the Buddha concerning the existence of a true intividuality ("How does the matter stund, vencrable (iacumat; is there the Fgo ?"), we are told that "When he said this, the Exalted One was silent. 'How, then, vencrable (iantama, is there not the Ego?' And still the lixalted One maintained silence. Then the wandering monk, Vacchagotia, rose fom his seat and went away." Then comes the disciple, Ananda, and asks the reason of this reticence. He gets the following explanation: "If I, Ananda, when the wandering monk, Vacchagotta, asked me: 'Is there the ligo p' had answered 'the Ego is,' then that, Ananda, would have confirmed the doctrine of the Samanas and Brahmanas, who helieve in permanence. If I, Ananda, when the wandering nomk, Vacehagotta, asked me, 'Is there not the lego ?' hat answered, 'the Fgo is not,' then that, Ananda, would have contirmed the doctrine of those who believe in amihilation. If I, Amada, when the wandering monk, Vacehagotta, asked me, 'is there the ligo?' had answered, ' the Ego is,' wonld that have servel my end, Ananda, by producing in tim the knowledge : all existences (dhamma) are nonego ? Bat if 1, Ananda, had answered 'the Ligo is not,' then that, Ananda, would ouly have caused the wandering monk, Viachagreta, to be thrown from one bewildernent to another: 'My Lero, did it not exist before? but now it exists no longer!'"

Such passages as the above should surely have warned our interpreters of the danger of misconceiving the apparent negations of Buddhism. So of Nirvana. "It would be ladierous," says Mr. Simett, "to turn to the varions discussions which have been carried on by students of Buddhism as to whether Nirvana does or does not mean annihilation, Does the last penalty of the law mean the highest honour of
the peerage? Is a wooden spoon the emblem of the most illustrious pre-eminence in learning? Such questions as these but faintly symbolise the extravagance of the question whether Nirvana is held by Buddhism to be equivalent to amihílation." That this should ever have been an open question among our scholars, ${ }^{*}$ argues a certain lack of what may be cabled metaphysical common sense.

All the more remarkable on this account is the influence, even the fascination, which Buddhism, so far as already muderstood, las begun to exercise over' Western minds. It is no very uncommon thing now to meet in society men who declare themselves, "if anything," Buddhists. The moral ideal of Buddhism is not only pure and beantiful : to a larger extent than in the case of any other religion it has been effective. And now that the materials for comparison are at hand, there is a growing disposition to judge religions by their fruits. A candid estimate of the causes which determine the character and history of races admits that this is a hazardous test. But broad contrasts leave an ineffaceableimpression: and after all, it is a poor apology for a religion that it las not caused the cruelties and follies associated with its history, but has only tailed to realise the spirit which is opposed to them.

But Christianity is defective in a still more urgent matter, with reference to the needs of the present time. The present mbelief in indivilual immortality is greatly owing to ignorance of any rational and logical alternative to materialism. We have, it is true, onr idealistic philosophies, satisfying, perhaps, to the metaphysician who does not ask for practical information. But it is the simple fact that nearly nineteen centuries of Christian teaching have left the plain unphilosophical man without a single clear conception of hinself, his history, and his future. The clergy have long shirked statements on these points which once were definite enough. But we are without any positive or anthoritative instruction to set off against dogmas which common sense repudiates as shocking or preposterous. Buddhism, on the other hand, retains conceptions inherited from the untold antiquity of Brahmanism, and which yet seem alnost framed in response to the demands of modern intelligence. Our philosophy is beginning to see that if this physical existence is not the end, neither is it likely to have been the commencement. Buddhism speaks of former as of future births. We ask that the inoral order of the world shall be vindicated by cansation as necessary as that which science discovers in the physical order. The East answers us with the inflexible justice of Karma. We are revolting from the notion of an eternal state irrevocably determined by a few precarious years of carth-life under accidental conditions. Buddhism replies that no condition is accidental, and no state everlasting. Our religion has never got over the shock of the discovery that this carth is not the centre of the universe. Buddhism never required or admitted that supposition. Above all, we seck for a more spiritual conception of God than that of a Being in external relation to us and to the world. Buddhistic negation of such a Being is charged against it as Atheism; whereas the Budhi, Wisdom, or Logos, is represented as the immost principle of all that is. Yet all religion recognises onr comection with superior powers; and the so-called
Atheism" of Buddhism is not ineonsistent with adoration, prayer, and worship. We have been speaking only of exoleric, or popular Buddhism ; and we find that it avoids all the fallacies which modern enlightemment rejects in a religion, and that it fulfils all conditions which we can see to be essential.

If, now, this pare and reasonable belief can also be shown to be the outcome of a philosophy, or knowledge of Nature, relating scientific to spiritual conceptions, and effecting the reconciliation so urgently demanded, we may confidently expect that the light thus offered will be recognised and welcomed by on best intelligences, and that the closing years of the nineteenth century will be brightened by a new hope for humanity. But whether the book before us justifies this expectation; how far it succeeds, and in what respects it seems to fail ; of what problems it opens a prospect of solution, and what others remain involved in obscurity; or are insufficiently dealt with,-these are questions which must be reserved for examination in future articles.
C. C. M.

* It is perhape, no longer bo ; Dr. Mhys Dayidy, Luwever, regards it as the sinless state of the Arahat in this lije.


## THE AMERICAN BUREAU OF ETHNOLOGY.*

For years the savants of Enrope have acknowledged that the Government of the United States of America has by an cnlightened policy of encouragement of science enriched the world with contributions of great importance in this direction. It has again placed tlie learned public under obligations by the publication of the volume lying before us. It is a sumptuously printed and illustrated quarto of 600 pages, embodying a world of information of the highest importance to the Ethnologist and the Philosopher. Public documents too often are open to answer cither as to their contents or mechanical appearance: this leaves the captious critic room for nothing but praise. Whether we take into account the gravity of the subjects treated, or the method in which the work has been performed, one can do nothing but praise. And if a public bureau ever was placed in the hands of the right man, then certainly such must be said of the selection of Col. Powell, the world-famons explorer of the Colorado Canyon, as Director of the new Bureau of Ethology, under the Act of Congress of March 3, 1879. The choicc of his assistants was equally happy, for in Brevet Lieut. Col. Garrick Mallery, U. S. A., Dr. H. C. Yarrow, U. S. A., Mr. A. S. Gatschet, the philologist, Professor E. S. Holden, Mr. Frank H. Cushing, and others, talent of the highest order was brought into the service of the Bureau. The present writer had the pleasure of a personal and official army acquaintance with Col. Mallery, and can therefore speak with confidence as to his eminent fitness for this department of research. As for Colonel Powell he not only ranks among the most daring and successful of explorers, but also possesses a mind capable of grasping the deepest problems in philosophy and psychology suggested by the discoveries of himself and the other members of his corps, with such help as he can get from modern scientific methods. What these are imperfect, and that his deductions would provoke a protest from the student of Asiatic Esotericism, in no way warrants the withholding of oui tribute of admiration for the intellectual vigor that he displays in his whole public work. An instance of the weakness of his position as regards the psychologic evolution is that he overlooks the fact that the niythologies of antiquity were based upon a close knowledge of natural law, resulting from an exhanstive research into natural phenomena, and not, as he affirms, upon a childish misconception of the active energies at work about us. It is this same misapprehension of facts which makes the European philosopher ascribe the genius which evolved the Sanscrit language and literature to the "childhood of the race." Modern conceit burns incense to itself by relegnting the Aryan golden age with its Rishis, its logicians, poets and adepts to the "dawn of humanity." To make its own title clear to the worship of the world, it must needs obliterate the vestiges of a long past civilisation and ignore an acme of philosophic and psychologic evolution which we have not as yet approximated. But there is this difference between Col. Powell and some of his eminent European contemporaries, that while the latter from hereditary predispositionare likely to die in their crons as they have lived, his is the daring American cast of mind, which is ready and eager to accept new facts wherever fomed; and if he ever gets time to look into Esoteric Philosophy, we can surcly count upon his becoming its fearless advocate. For a mind like his, we ean well afford to wait.

The volume under notice contains a number of exceptionally valuable papers. Among others we must enumerate two by Col. Powell himself--on the " Evolution of Language" and the " Mythology of North American Indians;" a "Study of the Mortury Customs of the North American Indians," by Dr. Yarrow; "Studies in Central American Picture Writing," by Professor Edward S. Holden, of the Nival Observatory ; and Col. Mallery's complete Monograph upon "Sign Language," or the Gesture Speceh of Mankind, preliminary notices of which have already appeared in these columns. Dr. Yarrow's exhaustive paper embraces not only the different modes of disposing of the dead among the American savage tribes, but also those peculiar to other nations. It is magnificently illustrated with wood-cuts of great merit, and many ehromo-lithographs of full page size. He has made a great mistake, however, as regards the disposal of the dead in the Parsi Towers of Silence. His engrav-

[^17]ing shows the corpse bearers clad in the simple langouti of the Hindu cooly, instead of the spotless white full costume of the caste : and the corpse as lying upon a bed of bones, cramped in a dry tank, too short for it by half a shin's length, instead of reposing upou its clean raised granite slab, with drainage channels leading into the central well where the crumbled bones of poor and rich all ultimately mingle fraternally in common dust. Before being raked thus into the well, the bones of no two skeletons touch each other. His informant was also unaware, as it seems, of the fact that when the corpse-bearers have laid down their burden and turn to go, one of them, with a sharp-edged hook made for the purpose, rips open the burial dress from neck to waist; averting lis liead from a motive of delicacy, as he does so: and thus making it impossible that a Parsi corpse should crer appear clothed as it is in the picture. All modes of sepulture known in other parts of the world are practised among the wild tribes of American Indians. Dr. Yarrow enumerates then as follows :-
lst. By inhumation in pits, graves, or holes in the gronnd, stone graves or cists, in mounds, beneath or in cabins, wigwams, houses or lodgen, or in cares.

2nd. By embaimment or a process of mammifying, the remains being afterwards placed in the earth, caves, mounds, boxes or beafiolds, or in charnel-houses.

3rd. By deposition of remains in urns.
4th. By surface burial, the remains being placed in hollow trees or $\log s$, pens, or simply covered with earth, or lark, or rocks forming cairns.
5th. By cremation, or partial borning, genemily on the sarface of the earth, occnsionnlly beneath, the resalting bones or ashes being placed in pits in the groand, in boxes placed on scaffolds or trees, in urns, sometimes seattered.

6th. By afrial sepulture, the bolies being left. in lodges, honses; cabins, tents, deposited on scaffolds or trees, in boxes or cances, the two Intter receptacles sapported on scaffolds or posts, or placed on the gronnd. Ocensionally laskets have been used to contain the remains of children, these being bang to trees.

7th. By aquatic burial, beneath the water, or in conoes, which were tarned adrift.

Here, it appears, we have just seven modes of sepulture, thus unexpectedly reinforcing, by proofs from this distant part of the world, our claim for the universal accommodation of things, whether the result is from traditional or intuitive causes it matters not, of the septenary order of things. It only concerns the occultist to know that it is the fact. In North America are found more than seventy-five races, "seventy-five stocks of people speaking seventy-five stocks of languages, and some single stocks embracing many distinct languages, and dialects * * * as diverse as the Indo-European tongues * * * Hach linguistic stock is found to have a philosophy of its own, and each stock as many branches of philosophy as it has languages and dialects" (Op. Cit. Col. Powell's paper on Mythol. N. A. Indians, p. 38.) The details of myths of these savage remuants of pre-historic races and sub-races are highly interesting to the occultist. Among other features the number Sevell attracts attention. The Oraibi have a legend of "a magical tree which constituted the ladder from the lower world as many other nations have had theirs of a similar tree. Matcito, a god, being supplicated to give the earth light and heat, calls for seven maidens, and seven baskets of cotton balls, and taught the former to weave out of the latter a magical fabric which they then held aloft and the brecze carried it away toward the firmanent, and it became the fullorbed moon; the remnants of flocculent cotton scattered by the seven maidens become bright stars. Seven buffalo-skius are called for, and himself weaving from its densely-matied hair another magical fabric, it is also borne up by the winds into the sky and becomes the sun. The world, according to the same people, is seven-storied (as was the Belus tower at Babylon) ; there is a world below ours and five above it." Most of the savage tribes believe in occult science and practise it. They have their drugs, preparations of various times, modes of fasting and self-suppression (after the fashion of the Hindu Hatha-Yoga), trance, chairvoyance eostasis, Samadhi. As the Aryans offer food to the Pitris, and riee-balls to the manes of the deceased, so do these strange people after their own customs. One having the knowledge of occult science makes himself invisible (p. 50); is cut in two with a stone axe and becomes two persons-exact counterparts (50); a magical cup replenishes itself with water to save a journeying multitude from denth by thirst (50); a chicf who had a stone shirt and is a magician, has daughters who are furnished with magical bows and arrows which they can shoot so fast that the arrows would fire the air like a cloud, and they need not even take aim, they can think the arrow
to the heart of their enemies and kill them. Ta-vwots, a god, has a magical power in his breath with which he repels the weapons ained at him and-like the Scandinavian Thor, and the Hindu Vishnu, who are armed with hammer and discushas a magical ball, pa-rim-o-kivi, which he hurls with irresistible effect. As the sects of India may be known by their several face-marks, so each Indian class has its own way of painting the person. To reveal the secrets of medicine and magical formulas is punishable by death, as is the case with the chelas of Indian gurus. Yet, at the same time, Col. Powell considers it futile to try to connect " the tribes of North America with peoples or so-called races of antiquity in otherportions of the world." His argument being that if all peoples are derived from one common stock, the dispersion may have occurred in the pliocene period of geology, and must have done so at least as early as the beginning of the quarternary period; that is to say, before any but the very rudest beginnings of the arts, hence before by any possibility they could have executed any of the vestiges of human art now found scattered over the globe. The inference is, then, that the extinct nations who have left these vestiges as memorials of their intelligence must have evolved their ideas at many different centres, without reference to or connection with each other, and that any resemblance between their remains, if it exists, is due to spontaneousness in each human group, and not to the migration of customs or traditions.
To give any further idea of Col. Mallery's work, "Sign Language" or "Gesture Speech" than we have already, would require some at least of the small wood-cuts showing hand positions, with which the volume under notice is so richly embellished. The thanks of the Bureau of Ethnology are officially given to Col. H. S. Olcott (p. 408) for some trifing services he has been enabled to render the American Govermment. The Report says: "The organization of those rescarches in India and Ceylon has been accomplished through the active interest of Col. H. S. Olcott, U. S. Commissioner, etc." Shonld nothing prevent, that gentleman expects to be of still more service than hitherto, when certain plans are matured. It is indeed a pleasure to work in conceert with such men as those who compose the corps of American ethnologists under Col. Powell.

## THE " CEYLON FREE-THINKER."

Under the above title a monthly journal las just appeared at Colombo, and the first number lies before us. It contains a number of articles, original and selected, upon Freethought subjects, evincing literary power and jadicionsness of combination in the Editorial Stalf. We would be glad to quote one article in particular, npon the evil results of Missionary work iu Ceylon, bat for the present disturbed condition of affairs in the Island, and ourdislike to add in any way to the prevailing excitement. What our personal views are with respect to Missionary work in all "Heathen" countries, are now too well known to require repetition at this moment. If the new journal bo conducted with the same ability as the initial number displays, and with signified impartiality as regards religious questions, it cannot fail of doing good and its influence may extend beyond Ceylon. Shonld any one wish to take in the paper, our Manager will receive and forward subscriptions. The price is Re. 1 per quarter, exclusive of postal charges.

## WI'TCI TRAGEDIES:*

To turn from healthy reading and calm reflection to such a work as this, is like going from the peace of one's home to the place where some atrocious murder has just been committed. Here, in this ghastly record of the Cluristian persecutions of witches and wizards in Great Britain, in the XVI and XVII centiries, we have a picture of the potential weakness and basenoss of hmman nature when lashed by superstition and fanaticism. The psychological student should possess a copy of Mrs. Linton's book but as an aid to reflection, as the surgeon keeps specimens of morbid anatomy to guide him in the treatment of physical disease. The key-note of all the persecutions of witches is to be found in two verses of the Bible-Exodus xxii. 18; Deuteronomy xviii. 10-where

[^18]the faithful are commanded to kill and exterminate all charmers, witches and every other practitioner of sorcery. Though Christendom did not take to itself Judaism, it did so much of its Code of Law as suited its several purposes, and these two cruel texts have always been made the divine warrant of bigoted priests and rulers to wreak their bloody vengeance upon such as they sought pretexts for to "remove." As Mrs.' Lynton observes-
" Very little of graceful fancy lighted up the gloom of these popular superstitions. Even Eiffame, or Fuërie, was a place of dread and anguish, where the devil ruled heavy-Landed, and bell claimed its yearly tithe, rather than the home of fun and beanty and petulant gaiety as with other nations."
In none of the Christian British religious ideas of witchery and witches do we find either love or gentleness; only fierceness and crime, enmity to man, and rebellion to God.
" That belief in witchcraft. . infected society for centuries, like a sore eating through to the very heart of humanity. . . The formulas of the faith were as gloomy as the persons. The power of the evil eye; the faculty of second sight, which always saw the hearse plumes, and never the bridal roses ; the supremacy of the devil in the world, and the actual and practical covenant into which men and women daily entered with him ; the unlimited influence of the curse and the sin and mischief to be wrought by charm and spoll; the power of casting sickness on whomsoerer oue would, and the ense with which a blight could be sent on the corn, and a murrain to the beasts, by those who had not wherewithal to stay their hunger for a day ; these were the chief signs of that fatal power with which Satan endowed his chosen onesthose silly, luckless chapmen who bartered away their immortal souls for no reward of mess of poltage and to earthly good to breath or body, but only that they might harm their neighbours and revenge themselves on those who crossed them." .. (page 14)
"This was the saddest feature in the whole matter-the total want of all gratitude, reliance, tristiness, or affection between a "witch" and ber friends. The dearest intimate she had gave evidence against her frankly, and without a second thonght of the long years of mutual help and kindliness that had gone before; the neighbour whom she had nursed night and day with all imaginable tenderness and self-devotion, if he took a craze and dream. ed of witcheraft, came forward to distort and exaggerate every remedy she had used-and every art she had employed ; her very children turned against her without pity or remorse, and little lips, sent:e dry from the milk of her own breasts lisped out the glibbest lies of all. Most pitiful, most sad, was the state of these poor wretches; but also instructive to us, as evidencing the strength of superstition and the weakness of everg human virtue when brought into contact and collision with this blind and potenc demon." . . . (page 15)
Further on, the various dangers of the times are presented to us in the most gloomy light. Skill in healing, skill in cursing or throwing the "evil eye"-every art and science, whether, real or imaginary, became a source of danger, during the power of those who seemed to think that God could create naught above mediocrity and that every thing outside it, talent and learning hand in hand with extreme ignorance and obtusity were due to the creative powers and the ingenuity of the Devil. What one would now call mediumship was persecuted the most violently. In 1479 we have the amulets and charms endowed with "evil cyo ;" in 1480 the "Incubi and Suc-cubi"-so dear to many American mediums we know of, "held the witches between them, even the young lady of Mar," and other maidens of noble birth "giving themselves up to the embraces of au Incubus," the "John King" of the Middle Ages. Says the author :-
"This belief in the devil's material presence and power over men was the dark chain that bound them all. Even the boldest opponent of the Witcheraft Delusion dared not fling it off. The bravest man, the freest thinker, could not clear his mind of this terrible bugbear ; this phantasm of human fear and ignorance; this ghastly lie and morbid delusion; or abandon tho slavish belief in Satan for the glad freedom of God and Nature. It was much when such men as Scot, and Giffard, and Gaule of Stanghton, Sir Robert Filmer, Ady, Wagstaffe, Webster, Hutchinson and balf a dozen more shining lights could bring themselves to deny tho supernatural power of a fow half-crazed old beggar-women, and plead for humanity and mercy towards them, instead of cruelty and condemnation. Bat not one dare take the wider step beyond, and deny the existence of that phantom fiend, belief in whom wrought all this misery and despair. Even the very best of the time gave in to the celusion, and discussed gravely the properties and proportions of what we know now were mere lies." . . . . . . page 143)

And yet, the " delusion" of the Middle Ages has become the "reality" of our days, to whatever causes it may be said to be due!
"Hobbes, ever sceptical, penetrating and sagacions, yet here paralysed and shrinkiug from the subject, as if alraid to touch it -the adventurous explorer, who sounded the dopths and chamels of the "Intellectnal System" along all the 'wide-watered'shores of antiquity, running after witches to hear them recite the Common Prayer and the (freed, as a rational test of guilt or innocence; --the gentle spirit of Dr. Henry More girding on the armour of persecution, and rousing itself from a Platonic reverie on the Divine Lifo to assume the hood and cloak of a familiar of the inquisition ; and the patient and inquiring Boyle, putting aside for awhile his searcbes for the grand Magisterime, and listening, as if spell-bound, with gratified attention to stories of witches at Oxford and devils at Mascon *
"Conjuration or invocation of any evil spirit was felony without benefit of clergy; so also to consult, covenant with, eatertain, feed, or reward any evil spirit, or to take up any dead body for charms or spells. 'lo use or practise witclicrafts, cuchantment, charm or sorcery, so that any one was lamed, killed, or pined, was felony without benefit of clergy, to be followed up by burning. Then the Country Justice goes on to give the legal signs of a witeb, and those on which a magistrate might safely act as legal 'discoveries.' She was to be found and proved by insensible narks; by teats; by imps in various shapes, such as toads, mice, flies, spiders, cats, dogs, \&re; by pictures of wax or clay; by the accusations of the afflicted; by her apparition seen by the afflicted as coming to torment thein; by lice own sudden or frequent inquiries at the bonse of the sick; by common report; by the accusations of the dying; by the bleeding of the corpse at her touch; by the tostimony of childrell; by the afflicted vomiting pins, needles, straw, dic.; in short, by ail the foolery, gravely formularised, to be fonnd in the lies and deceptions hereafter related."

We close this volume with a hearty recommendation of it to those of our readers who feel overburdened with indiscriminative superstition, as of a real value in showing its dangers as also the abuses of religious fanaticism. But they will have to peruse it with the remembrance that its author occupies the very extremity of the opposite platform instead of choosing a reasonable middleground. The work certainly reflects more honour upon the literary talent in the presentation of the subjects, and the brilliant pen that describes so well the horrors of those days of dark religions crnelty, than upon the discriminating faculties of the anthor, who draws no line botween fact and imposture, mediumship and hysterical lallucination.
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## A FINAL ANSWER.

Ir is impossible for an Editor to please everybody, and whoever has tried it has been set down as a fool. The attempt has invariably failed, and the discomfiture of the unhappy pacificator has been generally voted to be the righteous punishment of audacity or ignorance. A journal to command the least influence must represent some distinct idea, be the expression of some defined policy. And since no two human beings think exactly alike, it follows that only the wildest dreamer could expect to avoid reproaches and maledictions from dissentient critics if, in a journal devoted to questions of philosophy, seience and religion, he should boldly probe to the bottom those puzzling subjects. The theory of our Society is that there is some truth in every religion, but that in some it is so covered up by externals as to be very hard to dissect out. Among those "some" is Christianity which, with a gentle soul, has a body grotesque, hard, cruel-appalling, often. As our lance is couched against all shams in religion, we have pricked the shields of all the dark champions of popular creeds. If the Front-de Bouf of vulgar Christianity has come in for more than a fair average of our thrusts, it is becanse in that case error is backed by Power and first needs oversetting. It is not that Christian dogmatism is more hateful to us than any other form of obstructiveness, but becanse it is enjoying a wider power to prevent man's moral development and crush truth. To really appreciate the inner merits of Christian tithics one must first beat down Christian theological exotericism. The ancient faiths have had their day of power and are now slumbering upon the ashes of their fanes: Christianity is the official creed of the masculine social energy of the generation. If it could, it would be spread at the sword's point and by the persuasiveness of tyranny and torture as in the good old days. But Progress has brought it to book, and now if it would keep a hold upon the world's thought, it must open its most secret core to the world's inspection. The probe cmployed for this purpose is seeptical eriticism, and that it is being used unsparingly is proven by the wonderful increase of the party of Freethought, the rapill growth of Infidel Societies and Infidel Literature. The mind of Christendom is deeply imbued with this tendency, which reflects itself equally in the tone of Christian and nonChristian writings. To ignore this, is to thrust out the eyes of one's understanding. But nevertheless there are many professed Theosophists who would have us act upon that principle. We may berate exotericison in any other faith as we choose, but we must not lay our unholy hand upon that gilded altar. We have severally declaimed against exoteric Buddhism, Hinduism, Zoroastrianism and Judaism,-our Christian friends cared not: the galled jade might wince, their withers were unwrung. Those mouldy superstitions were born of the fogs of antiquity, and fit only for wretched blackfellows. But "the line must be drawn somewhere," and they want us to draw it at the outspoken fearlcss books of Paine, Voltaire, Ingersoll, Bradlaugh and Bennett. We may open our advertising pages to whatever we like, but not to trants, treatises or books against " the noblest of faiths." When Swami Dayanand was friendly with us we advertised Orthodox works protesting against him; though we were
allied with the Orthodox Sanscrit Sabha of Benares, we helped the Swami to get subscribers for his heterodox attacks on it. Colonel Olcott told the Parsis to their faces that they had forgotten the grand spirit of their religion, and wero now but carrying around its corpse; what he has said to the Buddhists in some two hundred addresses let them declare. And why, we ask, should we leave only Christianity uipdis? sected? Has it so clear and innocent a record as to command the immediate reverence of an outsider? Is there so perfect an agreement between its Catholic and Protestant twins ans to convince the Heathen at a glance of its freedom from error and its infallibility? But we "hurt the feelings" of many friends by helping to disseminate the writings of Paine and other Freethinkers. Well, we are sorry, Lut shall nevertheless do it. There are two sides to Christianity as to every other question, and so far as our voice ani influence goes, these Heathen youth, whose unripe minds the Missionaries are doing their best to turn to their forcign creed while teaching them their alphabet and rule-of-thiree, shall be made to read the best that can be said on both sides before taking the most momentons step in life-that of changing their forefathers' religion. They should bear in mind that there is such a thing as fair play, and "audi alteram partem", was a maxim even of the Pagans of old. As we would noti have a Christian lad give up the faitl of his people for: Hinduism or Buddhism without thorough study of both, so do we deplore to see the Heathen boy or girl trampling upon his nation's sacred beliefs before having even read what Christian sceptics have said about Christian errors. We may have offended often through the intensity of this feeling; perhaps we have said many things too harshly or even cruclly; we have more than the average of humair: infirmities no doubt, and might have becn wiser if we had not been so bitter. But.this does not touch the main question; it is simply that of the measure of our personal sin or shortcoming. The issue is whether or not we shall help to circulato. Freethought literature, or stifle it altogether as some would. have us do-ont of deference to the nominal religion of the "cultured" nations, and at the same time to allow all other: religions to be challenged and even railed at with impunity? Our Christian-born friends and members seem to totally ignore the fact that our Society consists of not only about a dozen of Branches in Europe and America, but of over seventy Branches in Asia; and that of the subscribers to our magazine the "heathen" Asiaties are ten times more numerous thau those of Europe and America, and that their religious feelings may be also entitled to some consideration. And would it bo then either fair or just to sacrifice the vital interests of tho majority because they are non-Christians and supposed to belong to "the dusky and Heathen majority"-to tho squeamish feelings of the " white and Christian minority ?" This we shall never do. We have come to India for the benefit of the Asiatics, believing that Enropeans had already received sufficient share of Fortune's gifts and did not require our assistance. Therefore our final answer to all such remonstrances in future is the following. To the best of our ability we shall always be ready to discover how much and how littlo truth there may be in every creed that professes to teach man to thread his way through the mysterics of life, and the more awful mystery of death. And to do this effectively wo
noed and invoko tho help of theologians, and bigots, of critics, and philosophers of every faith and every nation. Christianity may be the official religion of the dominant races, its profession the easy road to respectability and fortune; but it has no rewards that we court, and the Theosophical Society is meant to be a platforin of true Brotherhood, a bond of amicable tolerance, a fulcrum by which the lever of Progress may move the mass of Ignoranco. It lias no one religion to propagate, no one creed to endorse: it stands for truth alone, and nothing can make us deviate from this which we consider the path of our Duty and for which we have sacrificed every thing. Our motto will stand for ever: "There is no Religion higher than Truth!"

## COLONEL OLCOTT'S CURES.

Babu Ladli Mondn Ghobe, F. T. S., writes to the Manager of the Theosophist:-

I am glad to learn that Col. Olcott has safely renched the Head-Qnarters. His recent tour in the several districts of Bengal in the hottest senson, and his daily practice of ouring hundreds of cases by mesmerism, mast have injuredby the loss of vital power-a great deal of his health.

He stayed here for two days and a half only, and during this short time I had the good fortune to onjoy his company always. He has tanght me many practical lessous in mosmerism. He treated myself, my wife and also my second daughter mesmerically, in my house. My left eye was affected with hypermetropia. Doctors raid it was since my birth. My mother, who was my only gardian, could not perceive the disense until I began toread. When I was in the Medical Collige I once got ophthalmia of my right eye, bat to my otter anrprise I tried in vain to read with my left during the timo the right eye was affected. I had no other occasion to try the left one, befnre I was alarmed. I took the advice of Dr. Maena. mara, the highest anthority then in India on the sabject of nye disease. I had to undergo scries of examinations, but with no effect. It was proved congenital. He advised me to use glasses so that my right eye might not be affected. After a few years, I showed it to Dr. Caley who succeeded him, bat with the same resalt. I showed it also to Dr. Warden, the present Professor of Chemistry in the Medical Colloge. He too said the same. The most enrious thing is that no one conld understand this defoct. I had to do every thing with the help of my right eye until our Presidont-Founder came. He treated my eye with mesmeric passes several times daring his atay here. He succecded in giving sight to the blind oye and I was overjoyed at the result. By this act of kindness he has laid me under eternal gratitude to him. I knew not what I felt. I shed tears of joy for myself and for my wife, who too was cared of chronic gastritis of seven years' standing. No medicine conld cure it-but ly the few passes from thic hand of our Venerable President it was gone-not for the timebut for ever. She fell down at Colonel's feet.
I am sorry I do not feel that power which our Venerable Colonel pat into my affected eye-but still with greatest difficolty I can read very large type in day light. Had I andergone repented operations from his hand, I am confident my sight would have been permanently restored. I would have accompanied him to Madras, as he suggested, but I was so mach over-burdened with various duties that I failed.
Biagalpor, $\}$
17th June 1883.

## LADIJI MOHUN GHOSE.

## GURUS AND CHELAS.

The anonymous letter, printed by some masked enemy from Labore in the "Statesmun" against our modern Rishis and Mahatmas, is simply infamous. None but an Arya Samajist, or a European, is capable of penning such irrelevant trash: the first moved by his sectarian hatred, the latter by his ignorance.

European Theosophists also, we learn, persist in treating the relations between Gurus and Chelas from the stand-point of their own misguided conceptions. This has, of late, become a very painful subject to all of as. We are orthodoz Hindus, and it is as such and not as Theosophists, (most of ma not being Fellows at all) that we beg the privilege of
stating our views most unequivocally this time, hoping that you will kindly find for them room in your journal. Our emphatic declaration is as follows :-
Once that a man offers himself as a Chela, he must be prepared to be tested (or tempted as Europeans may call it). He will be tried from the first day of his probation to the last, very strictly, and in a thousand and one ways by Chelas of higher and lower grades, by black magicians let loose upon him, by elementaries (bhoots and pisachas), by ele-mentals;-nay by the Gurd Himself-as he and othere will be allowed to believe, especially those who judge only from appearance and the delusions of Maya; and the Guru may oven send Mohinis to try his chastity. The unfortunate Chela on probation will be tempted to commit forgery, theft. and adultery,-what not!! Since the Chela wants to make abnormal progress spiritually and morally, he has naturally to submit to abnormal tests. He has to become victor and trample under foot every temptation, to show himself worthy of taking his rank among the gods of true science. Bliss and success are in store for him if he comes out victorious. Woe to him if he succumbs :...

This may sound strango to the Westerns who are yet crawling on their way to a correct understanding of true Eastern Occultism. It is simply ridiculous and preposterous to see a Chela, whenever discomfited by such trials, playing the part of a Glyndon and blaspheming at his Guru and the noblest of all human sciences-Occult philosoplay. There is no limit, we say, as to how far the Guru can go. He can do anything with his Chela, and the latter has to submit, or give up the science for ever. A Guru is regarded as God. And he who has placod himself under His care had better submit, or take the consequences. All of the undersigned agree with and corroborate this statement.
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## THE THEOSOPHICAL SOCIETY, ORIENTAL AND OCCIDENTAL.

We are happy to notify to our Fellows throughout the world, that in addition to "The Theosophical Society of the French Spiritists" at Paris-(France)-a Branch founded in 1879-two very important new Branches in that city have been duly established and chartered by the President-Founder and Council. One of them to be known as Société Théosophique d'Orient ধt d'Occident, "Theosophical Society, Oriental and Occidental," has elected for its President the Right Honorable Lady Marie, Countess of Caithness, Duchess of Pomar, now established in Paris, "Strange enough," the noble Duchess was formally " elected President on the 7th of June, and quite by seeming chance," as the lady writes in a private letter. Under the able auspices of this talented lady, (the well known authoress of works upon mystical sabjects, and of many valuable articles on the science of transcendental spiritualism), we feel sure the Society cannot but flourish and prosper. The new Branch starts with the extremely laudable intention of editing a journal of their Society in French, for the benefit of those French Theosophists who do not understand English. Thus, at least, we may have the hope of avoiding in future any such misunderstandings as fell recently to our lot with spiritists of the "Paris'Theosophical Society," who accused their Indian Brethren of preaching the annililation of haman spirit. We feel proud of the distinction thas conferred upon our own sex; and, we admire the good sense and discrimination shown by the Fellows of two of our most important European Societies-the "London Lodge" (as the "British 'Jheosophicial Society" is now called), and the Société Théosophique d'Orient et d'Occident of Paris-in choosing for their respective Presidents two ladies than whom there are not perhaps more spiritually gifted in the whole West.

## SOCIETE SCIENTIFIQUE DES OCCULTISTES

 DE FRANCE.(Scientific Society of the Occultists of France.)
Suct is the name of our other Branch at Paris. This one promises to be composed only of such men as have attained a name and fame in scientific achievements. We are happy to announce that while the President of this Theosophical Branch, M. le Docteur Fortin, is a great physician, and a gentleman profoundly versed in the old Hermetic Philosophy and Astrology, his Society counts already among its members such eminent men of science as M. L. Levy-Bing, a famous linguist, philologist and archæologist, the author of the Linguistique Dévoilée (a scientific work, the review of which will soon appear in these pages), and M. Jean Aime de Cazeneuve, a philosopher and author, whose works will be also noticed with the attention they deserve. Thenew Society, therefore, promises to become very soon the nucleus of true science and philosophy.

Thus wo have now three theosophical centres at Paris, three Branches quite distinct from and independent of each other. While each of them works on its own special lines of sympathetic preferences, free from any restriction or trammels from any of its sister Branches, whether in Paris or elsewhere, yet under the Rules of the Parent Society they have to accept one common watchword on their Banners-" Universal Brotherhood"-remembering that mutnal tolerance and respect for each other's ideals and beliefs, howover widely they may mutually diverge, is the sine qua-non of our common Theosophic aspirations. Let each of the Branches strike its own keynote, develop and preserve an individuality of its own;
and even, unless found necessary for common good, none need be identified with the other. 'The Parent Body is pledged to show an equal care for, and respect to, all her Branches the world over. It is bound to help each and every one in its special pursuit and researches. And it was her policy from the first, unless called upon, never to interfere with the inner work or management of a Branch so long as the latter follows the broad path traced for itself in accordance with the Rules and Bye-Laws of the Parent Society. "There is no Religion higher than Truth," ought to be the motto of each Branch, as it is that of the original Association. We are all pioneers of, and the persecuted pilgrims to, the one and the same shrine, under whatever aspect the divine goal may appear to us individually. Scattered all over the globe ; every small group-having once chosen its own path-being bound to move on-unless it prefers to shamefully desert its colours-notwithstanding persecution and difficulties; surrounded by ill-wishers and a common enemy whose name is Legion ; the Theosophical Branches must, and are solemnly pledged to help each other-difference of races, conflicting beliefs and aspirations notwithstanding. Thus we hope that the dark sons of Ind, the Theosophists of Asia, stretching their hands across the seas and occans, will welcome their new white Brethren of Paris, and that the latter will return the fraternal greeting.

## THE GYANODAYA THEOSOPHICAL SOCIETY (BARA-BANKI.)

Proposed by Pandit Parmaishree Dass that since the number of members requisite for a Branch had been initiated at Bara-Banki, it wonld be advisable to organize a regular branch here ; seconded by Babu Hardeo Sahay ; and carried unanimously.

Resolved, that the branch be called the "Gyanodaya Theosophical Society."

Resolved, that the following gentlemen be elected officeholders of the Branch for one year, subject to the approval of the President-Founder in Council.

President................... Pandit Parmaishree Dass.
Vice-President............. Babu Hemnoth Mozumdar.
Secretary and Treasurer. Pandit Brij Mohun Lal, No. 2.
Resolved, that the bye-laws of the Parent Society bo temporarily adopted.

BRIJ MOHUN LAL, No. 2,
(Pro-tem) Sccretary.
Bara-Banki,
The 8th July 1883 .
Approved.-H. S. OLCOTT,
P.T.S.

## (1)fficial Mexarts.

## THE MADRAS THEOSOPHICAL SOCIETY.

(Establishment of Sanskrit Schools.)
A mpeting of the Society was held on the evouing of lat Jnly in the Library Hall at Triplicane, to consider measures for the parpose of co-operating with the Committee recently formen, at the advice of Col. Olcott, of some of the leading men of the town, for the promotion of Sanskrit Literature. Some ralaable saggestions were made by a number of our Brother Theosophists, which, being unanimonsly adopted, will shortly be laid before the Committee.

Onr worthy President, Dewan Bahadur R. Ragoonath Row; then proposed that a clanse be added to the rales of our Branch to the effect that every fellow of the Madras Theosophical Society, desirous of continuing his membership, must solemnly engage himself to send his children or his wards to a Sanskrit school to be started by ourselves, before sending them to any other school, whether English or Vernacular. The children mast first have elementary instruction in Sanskrit before any other langoage is taught to them. If this role were adopted, added our respected President, be would gladly
contribute rupees thirty per mensem for the support of such a school, during his life time, and then to leave a legacy of ten thousand rupees for its maintenance. The proposition was unanimously carried with acclnmations. M. R. Ry. P. Sreenevas Row Garu, F. T. S., Judge of the Madras Small Cause Court, and M. R. Ry. P. Partbasarnthy Chetty Garu, promised to pay rupees fifteen and rupees ten per month, respectively, for the same parpose. Other members too followed the example, and we already had a promise of nearly sixty rupees a month on the spot. Another rale was desired to be added, requiring every Theosophist of our Branch to pay no less than an anna per month for the School Fund. This resolntion too was unanimously carried. A Committee was then formed, with Dewan Bahadur Ragoonath Row, F. T. S., as President, and M. R. Ry. P. Sreenevas Row Gara, F. T.' S., as Secretary, for the purpose of framing rules for the conduct of the new schools to be started. The Committee met on the evening of the 21st at the house of the Secretary, for considering the rules framed by M. R. Ry. T. Subba Row Garu, to whom the task was entrusted. It was then resolved to open three schools, one in Mylapore, another in Triplicane, and a third in Black Town, so that our Brothers who are scattered all over the town and live at a considerable distance from one another may not find it difficult to send their children to the school nearest to their locality. It will be the business of the Committee to see that no sectarian education is given, that Hindu morals are taught to the children, that the lessons are properly given, and other minor details of work. The credit of this excellent and patriotic move is due to Mme. Blaratsky, whose presence and remarks at our first meeting led to the present result.
T. SUBBA ROW,

Secretary.

## THE POONA THEOSOTHICAL SOCIETY.

At a Meeting of this branch held on 15th July 1883, a report as to the doings of the past year was read and adopted and the following office bearers elected :-

President....................
Khan Saheb Navroji Dorabji Khandalvala, b.A., L.L.b.
Vice-Iresidents Mr. A. D. Ezekiel.
Mr. Ganesh Krishna Garde, L.M.S.

Secretary and Treasurer. Mr. Rajaina Lingn.
RAJANNA LINGU, Secretary \& Ireasurer.

## THA LONDON LODGE OF THE THEOSOPHICAL SOCIETY.

I am requested to inform you that at the last meeting of the British Theosophical Society, held at 1, Albert Mansions, Victoria Street, S. W., on June 3r-d, 1883, it was proposed by Dr. Anna Kingsford, and seconded by Mr. A. P. Sinnet that this Branch of the Society be in future called the London Lodge of the Theosophical Society; and that this decision sloould be intimated to the President, Colonel Olcott, suggesting it as a precedent for the adoption of other branches.
W. F. KIRBY,

Secretary, London Lodge of the Theos. Society.
The above change of title approved for the London Branch. The further alterations suggested may be subnitted to the General Council at the Anniversary Meeting in December neat.
H. S. OLCOTT, P. T.S.

## THE IONIAN THEOSOPHICAL SOCIETY.

The President having convened a General Meeting of the Fellops on the 4th Instant, for the election of officers
for the present year, and for the transaction of routine business, they unanimously confirmed :-
President................. Dr. Pnsqnale Menelao.
Vice-President ......... Dr. Nicolò Gonemis.
Corresponding Secretary. Mr. Otho Alesander.
Recording Secretary ...... Mr. Alexander Rombotti.
Treasurer........................ Dr. Demetrio Socolis.

The comparative innctivity of the Branch for the last two years was due to the absence of Dr . Nicolo Gonemis in Athens, Mr. Socolis' departure to France, and Mr. A. Rombotti's to Italy, \&c.
The address priblished in the Sapplement to the Theoso. phist (Vol. 4, No. 2) of November last, headed "The Individuality of Branches," called forth, as therein suggested, a categorical specific answer of the views and aspirations of this Branch-ennbodied in a report addressed by the President of this Branch to the Parent Sociely direct.

OTHO ALEXANDER,
7th May 1883.
Secretary.

## THE COLOMBO THEOSOPHICAL SOCIETY.

I have the pleasure to report for the information of the General Council that the third Anniversary of this Branch was celebrated on the 7th Instant. The President-Founder who arrived here on the 30th Ultimo, was in the chair, and the following officers were elected for the ensuing year :-
President......Andris Perera Dharma Goonewardhane, Esq. Muhandiram.
Vice-Iresidents. William de Abrew, Esq., and Don Corolis, Esq. Treasurer....... H. Amaris Fernando, Esq.
Se.retary....... C. P. Goonewardhane, Esq.
13 Councillors:-1. Jobn Robert deSilva, Esq. ; 2. William Fredrick Wijayasekera, Esq.; 3. B. Harmanis Coorey, Esq. ; 4. U. 1). S. Goonesekera, Esq. ; 5. N. S. Femando, Esq. ; 6. Sadris Silva, Esq., 7. D. D. Padris, Esq., 8. Sinon Perera Dharma Goonewardene, Esq. ; 9. D. N. 'Iillekeratne, Esq. ; 10. K. Abraham Perera, Esq.; 11. M. Samel Perera, lisg. ; 12. Weragama Punchi Banda, Esq.; 13. A. P. Goouesekera Obeyaratne, Esq.
The Hall was tastefully decorated and the 33 members present were entertained at a splendid banquet.
The usual interesting and instrnetive address from the Chair was followed by speeches from nembers: in the course of which many expressed their willingness to make every sacrifice for the cause of Theosophy whenever it stands in need of such.

During the term of office, as President, of Mr. Andris Perera Dharma Goonewardene,--a staunch Buddhist gentleman of affluence and known generosity, it is hoped that the Society will ere long be in a position to have a suitablo building for its Head Quarters.
A special vote of thanks was proposed and unanimously carried for the zeal and untiring energy shown by the retiring President, Mr. Andrew Perera, who held the office ever since this Branch was organized in 1880.

At the adjourned meeting, held on the l2til instant, resolutions were adopted with regard to the Buddhist Press Fund, the Sinhalese National Buddhist Fund, and other matters connected with the establishment of Baddhist Schools, \&c., and it was stated at the meeting that Mr. Tepanis Perera, ex-Vice President, who has established two schools at his own expense, has 150 boys and 50 girls now under instruction, and the Government has been pleased to aid the schools with grant of money. The Society adopted a resolution expressive of its high appreciation of Mr. Perera's unselfish public spirit.

Funds have been subscribed to purchase a press and equip a printing office, and the Society's weekly Sinhalese Journal "Sarasavi Sandaressa" will shortly be printed by ourselves at the Head Quarters.

It is also determined to send three delegates from this branch to the coming anniversary of the Parent Society at Madras.

Col. Olcott came here at the urgent invitation of the leading Buddhists of Colombo to give them counsel as to the best course to adopt with respect to the recent bloody attacks upon a Buddbist procession by Roman Catholic rivters. He
lias liad several interviews with the Governor，Lientenant－ Governor，and other high officials on this subject．He has prepared several highly important legal documents，and every Buddhist looks upon him as the person best qualified to represent their grievances to their rulers and obtain redress．

C．P．GOONEWARDENE，
Secretary T．S．
13th July 1883.

## THE PRESIDENT－FOUNDER＇S RECEPTION AT IINNEVELLY．

Colonel Olcotr，who was invited to visit this station on his way from Ceglon to Mudras，landed on the $16 i \mathrm{~h}$ Instant at T＇uticorin，and on the eveuing of the next day，the seventeenth， arrived at the Tiunevelly Railway station by the $6-5$ train．
The first native gentleman of the place，the pensioned Sadr Court Judge of Travancore，Vedadrisadusa Mudaliar，received him on alighting from the carriuge with a pair of large jusmine railiands．The pagoda authorities honored him with the prasad and pilrna linmuham（the mystic pot filled with water）．All the native officials，all the vakils，and all gentlemen of note of the place were present at the station to do honor to the white man， from the antipodes，who had adopted India as his home and who had devoted tis life for the spread of the lore of her Rishis．The people were not willing that he should drive to the residence propared for him，although it was so arranged beforehand．Theg were for a grand procession in true Oriental style．They com－ pelled him to mount an open palanquin which was borne leisurely， on the shoulders of six men．It was a grand sight，the like was not seen before，people say．First came the stately pagoda ele－ Hhant with its tinkling bells，theu about twenty－five persons currying as many red flags，then the English baid from Pulan－ cottah，closely followed by the native musicians．In the centre was the white Rishi＇s palauquin，near which stood a flower man keeping up a ceaseless shower of fragrant flower＇s of all sorts，all the way until the bungalow was reached．Next to the palanquin came the stately carringe of the respected pensioned Judge followed by more than fitty carriages of all kinds belonging to native gentlemen．When the residence prepared for him was reached，before he alighted from the palanquin，a native poet songster recited an ex tempore song composed for the occasion on the spot．＊The Colonel then entered the bungalow amidst deafening shouts．The day＇s proceedings closed with an eloquent and tonching speech from Colonel Olcott，thanking his adopted brothers tor the kindness showa him in this part of his adopted country．
On the evening of the nest day the President－Founder gave an ex tempore lecture．As the andience was very great and no place could be found bere to conveniently accoinmodate all，the meeting was held in open air on the extensive grass plot in front of his bungalow．The lecture lasted an hour．The Colonel eloquently dwelt on the superiority of esoteric Hinduisun orer all the religions of the world，and exhorted the Hindus to dive deep into the truths of their furefathers＇religion，which，he said，was nothing more or less than experimental philosophy from beginning to end．When the lecture was over，the Secretary of the＂Aryan Ferefathers＇Society＂read a report of their doings
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during the last year．The Colonel was pleased with the way they went to work and started with great success on the spot ar subseription list for a library for the Society．
From 8 to 12 in the morning on the 19th and 20th he treated mesmerically all patients that came to him．Some were immediate－ ly oured，and others，who were suffering from chronic diseases that could be cured only by repeated sittings，obtained temporary relief．

On the evening of the 20th be went to the pagoda to water with rose water the famous cocoanut tree he had planted there during his last visit，＊and about which certain persons set a canarid before the public to the great amusement of the latter and to the profit of the newspaper editors．Col．Olcott was accompanied by the members of the Theosophical Society．The trustees of the pagoda as well as some distinguished members of the Templo Commit－ tee rezeived him at the gate with music and garlands and prasad and pirna kumbham．He went in procession to the tree．The crowd was too great to preserve proper order．When he came to the tree which had grown vigorously during the last two years，he held the rose water in a silver sprinkler high in bis hand，evoked on the nation the blessings of all the Mabatmas， and in theic name watered it with great ceremony．After this he mesmerised the tree from top to bottom for about ten minutes，formally gave it the name of the Kalpakavritcha，and then concluded the ceremony，but it was iutended by those above that he should not leave the place without doing some－ thing to stir the hearts of the people．When he came to the front of the pagoda near the gold plated Devajastambla，a man came to him who was deprived of his specch solue three years ngo by sickness．Amidst a great crowd，right in front of the Nelliappar templo，the Colonel laid bis hands on the anfortu－ nate dumb man．Seven circular passes on the head and seven long passes，all occupying less than five minutes，and speech Was restored to the no zore nufortunate man！The Colonel amidst deafening shonts of applause and thundering clapping of hands，made him prononnce the names of Siva，Gopala Rama， Ramachandra and other deities ay glibly asany other bystander．$\dagger$ The news of this restoration of speech spread at once through－ out the town and created a great sensation．Before he had returned to his carriage the whole cown and the suburbs to a man had beard of the mirsculous event．Many could hear，as they were coming out of the temple，school boys botly discussing with one another whether this power claimed by Jesus could bo esorcised by any of hiy followers，making so much fuss in the town about their saviour．
He started at 8 in the evening for Trevandrum，from which place the had received an invitacion．
A copy of the proceedings of the ineeting of the local Branch Society on the evening of the 196 h is enclosed for publication in the Supplement．

Tinneveliy．
S．RAMASWAMIER，
$21 s t$ July 1883.
F．T＇．S．

## THE IINNEVELLY THEOSOPHICAL SOCIETY．

AT a Meeting of the Members of the Tinnevelly Theosophical Society，held at Vanarpat，on the 19ch day of July I883，the President－Fonnder in the chair－the Secretary，＇I＇．Muthia Pillay， read a l＇eport on the proceedings of the Branch since its organ－ ization in the year 1881．Eight candidates were then initiated， among them the respected pensioned Judge of the Sadr Court of Tresandrum．
＊Last yoar the Missionaries assured the public through the colamns of the newspapers，instigated bg Bishop Sargent，who also wrote a letter to this effect himself，that this identical tree had beon dug up，and great indignation expressed by the Brahmins at their having been persuaded even to plant it，allowing their sacred pagoda to lo polluted by a foreigner．Of course this untruthful statement was denied by the＇Theosophists．Who now has told the truth－the heathen or tho Christian ？Bat then we must not forget that the good padrees hold more than ever with St．Panl when they have angthing to do with the Theo． sophists．－Vide Romans，chap．iii．v．7，to which we diaw our readers＇ attention．－Ed．
＋We hereloy certify that in mur presence Col．Olcott has just restored speech to Oomayorubagam Pillay，son of Utheravasagum Pillay of Palamcottah，after a treatment of less than ten minates．For three years he has not been able to pronounce any word except thic first syllable of the name of Rámá，and that bat indistinctly．He can now articulate many worde plainly and in a load voice．

Utuerafasagam Pillay（father of the patient）； Soccalingam Pillay（his uncle）； Sonachellum Pillay（his father－in－luw）； N．Pabmanabha Aiyer，F．T．S．
Valilnayagam Pillay．
The above is strictly trae．

## 

Oomayordbagam Pillay（the pationt．）
Tinnevelidy，21st July 1883.

An election of oflicers was then held and the following were rnanimously chosen.

| Trsident | Yedadrisadasa Mudaliar; |
| :---: | :---: |
| Jice-Presidents............. | (1). P. 'loroomal Kow, |
|  | (2). C. Ramasami Pillay, |
|  | (3). S. Ramaswamier. |
| Corncillors .......... ...... | (1). Chinnathambi Pillay, |
|  | (2). Veerabaghu Pillay, |
|  | (3). Ramachandra Aijer, |
|  | (4), Kuppasami Aiser, |
|  | (5). Permanayagam Pillas |
|  | (6). Ramalinga Mudaliar, |
|  | (7). Somasundrum Pillay. |
| Srcretary..................... | S. Sundram Aiyer. |
| Treasurer. | P. Soobramoney Pillay. |
| Assictant Secretary | S. Kamachandra Sastri. |

The President-Founder then gave instructions to the members respecting the establishonent of a Library for the Society and its probable cost. Thero being no further business, the meeting was dissolved.
S. SUNDR.AM AIYEIR

Sccretar!.
Approred.
II. S. OLCO'T',

I, T, S.

## 

Cor. H. S. Orcott, l'resident-Founder of the Theosophical Socicty, erossed over to Tuticorin from Colombo (Ceylon) on the 15th of July. On the 17th he reached Tinnevelly, whence he left on the 20th for Trevandrum, where he was invited to form a Branch Society. On the 27 hin he returned to Thimevelly to come up to Madura and other places.

Man. H. P. Beafatski, Corresponding Secretary of the Theosophical Society, left Madras for Ootacamund on the 7th of July. All communications for the Theosophist Office should not therefore be addressed to her name. She will stay there for orer a month. Private correspondence may be forwarded to iner during that period, to the care of Mrs. Morgan, Snowdon, Ootacamund. All letters to her name, reccived in this office, will be re-directed to her to Ootacamund.

Babu Bardeo Prasad, Secretary of the Mecrut Theosophical Society, went over to Allahabad and Partalgarh in the begiming of April. At the latter station, he delivered, at tho request of Pandit Triblinvan Nath, F. T.. S., a lecture in Urdu on "Theosophy and its advantages." The meeting was a largo one, and the lecturer engaged the attention of the andience for nearly two hours and a quarter. A Branch Society is soon axpected to be formed there. The projected visit of Col. Olcolt to that place in his Northern Tour will no doubt bring abont the result mueh earlier. Our Meerut Brother had intended to visit Moradabad also ; but his illnoss,-which confined him to Bareilly, his uative place, till the summer vacation was neer,-prevented him from undertaking the contemplated journey. We hope our friend and brother will completely recover ere these lines fall into his hands. Throughout all the vicissitudes and convalsions our infant Society had till lately to undergo, Babu Baldeo Prasad has ever remained true and faithful to the Cause he has allied himself with. Nay his good Karma continne to inspire him with patience and perseverance to go on with the good work he has begun!

Mr. Khestimagr Rat, F. T. S., of Meernt, and Editor of the Jaluai Zur and l'rince of Wales Gazelle, has gone to Jngland to study for the Bar. In commonieating this information to us, the Secretary of our Meernt Braneh adds:"Our Brother has reached Inndon safely, and we are proud to say that he has been already presented to His Royal Highness the Prince of Wales." We wish our Brother every snecess, and hope lie will be a second Pandit Shyamji Krishna Varma, F. 'l'. S., whose successful career has been so highly spoken of by Prof. Monicr Williams and by the Indian papers-a fact referred to, with pride and satisfaction, more than once, in these columns.

We are glad to learn from the Bombay papers that His Ilighpess Dajiraj, F. 'L: S., Thakore Saheb of Wadhwan, has reached London without any further accident. "All is well that ends well."

Says the Indian Mirror:-
Mis. Anandibai Josimi, F. T: Sa, the Maluratta lady, arrived in America on the 4th June last. She writes to one of her friends as follows:-

On the 16th I left. London for Liverpool, and sailed for America the following day at 3 r. m., by the S. S. City of Berlin. We reached Queen's Town on the 18th at about $10 \Lambda . \mathrm{M}$. We were to go further the same day, but the engine got into disorder: We were, therefore, detained there for more than a week. We arrived in America on the 4th June 1883 at noon. Mis. Carpenter having come on board the stenmer to receive me

I aaw all the letters waiting for me, and burst into tears. My leart was heavy, and my ejes swollen. I passed a few minutes in this state when I at once started. I blushed at the display of my own weakness at a time and place like this. I blamed myself; and said-"Is my courage so small that I should sit weeping? No-never." . . . I got up, and took the letters, and stcpped down where Mrs. Carpenter was sitting. It was now five o'elock. I was rather tired. My head was warm. The day was hot. Then I wrote my letters to you. Now visitors began to pour in. Now I was told "here comes Mrs. A. with her daughter ;" "here comes Mrs. B," who is followed by the ininister. So, in the space of a few hours, the visitors who called were many. It was past ten, and still they were coming. At last Mrs. Carpenter was obliged to shut the windows, so that no one could see me in ; and so I escaped visitors. It was a happy day. They called it a holiday. They said the sun was so bright that day that thes never saw anything like it. I was seated in a rocking chair with flowers in my hands. Lady friends came, embraced, and kissed me, and gave me flowers. Since I left you I haye had nothing but kindness. Erery body is kind to me: We liave hot weather, green grass, loving trees, pleasant breeze and flowers, cool wind, kind friends, and comfortable rooms. Helena is a sweet little girl, and Eighmic a clever, studious companion. I am so happy. "Do not be discouraged. Remember the good old maxim" Let patience have her perfect work." I will write about ny voyage as soon as I can.

## OBITUARY.

Weare sorry to announce the premature death (if death it is!) of our young Brother of Bhaugalpore, Babu Jogendro Nath Basu Sarbodhicary, at 5-30 p.m., on Monday, July 9 th. He died for the world, but we hope neither for his parents nor those he loved. His death, as recorded by and narrated to us in a short letter by Brother Babu Ladii Mohan Ghose of the same town, is peculiar, and we hope to have the facts detailed more fully by him for the benefit of our Fellows. All we feel at liberty to give out at present is as follows:-
On Thursclay (July 5th) Brother Jogendro Nath Basu got slight fever while at his official duty in the District Jndge's Court. On Friday he felt better, and his father-a physician of repute in his town-thought the ailment too trifling to prescribe any medicine. On Saturday and Sunday he got relapses of the same, but no attention was paid by him to the slight attack and he did not even rest on his bed, until Monday at noon. It was only then that he told his father that it was " the state of Samadhi he was passing into." He confessed that he had "been practising Yog for the last two months, and now hoped to become Sidh, for he was going to the Himalayan Brothers..... They had ordered him to follow them"......He then asked the blessing of his father and motheri, who, upon getting alarmed, sent for a doctor. But he begged them not to mourn for their son, since he was learing them but "to learn Yog with the Mahatmas and was roing away because Tiey wished he should, and that he felt very, very happy." Le never lost his consciousness for one single moment until he secmed to pass away. A few moments before that, he addressed the doctor" (a Bralmo), thus:-"Oh you, Prahmo physician! You have no faith in our Aryan religion, nor in the Himalayan Brothers-the Aryan Rishis-though they are present... here" (meaning in the room, as probably he saw them). "My death will be an example to yon all!" And-he died. "His father," writes our Brother Ladli Molan Ghose, "" is proud that his son should have got so glorious a death."
We hope of being able to give more particulars next month about this strange occurrence. We know of two similar eases, the last one having happened about two years ago.
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[^0]:    "Is Sanskrit Music worth the trouble of tenching? Now, I am prepared to give a very distinct answer to that question. In the first place, the Academy aims at a revival of an ancient art, which is capable of giving great pleasure to the people. There was a time when Sanskrit Music aftracted the attention of very distingnished English scholars. Sir William Jones and the early

[^1]:    * Soe Artiole "Elixir of Life," Theosophist for March and April 1882 (Vol. III, Nos. 6 and 7).
    t The Caltivation of the Speaking Voice, by John Hallah. Oxford. The Clarendon Preas, 1874.

[^2]:    * If we anderstand the spirit of the objection at all, it rests simply, npon a mistake. The conjunction placed between the words "personal" and "corporeal" is sufficient to show that the term personal stands here for "external" or "bodily." Why should it then be taken in the sense of the mental representation of a personality? The "or" makes the two adjectives identical,-Ed,

[^3]:    * One of the paradoses of modern physiology seems to be that "the , more sare and perfect memory becomes, the moro anconscion it 'becomes." (Seo Body and Mind, by H. Mandsley, M. D.)
    $\dagger$ Professor Mandsley's expressione.

[^4]:    * It is only when Ego becomes Ego-ism deladed inta a notion of independent existence as the prodacer in its tarn of the five Tanmatras that Manas is considored Maha-bhutic and finite in the sense of being connected with Ahancara, the personal "I-crenting" facalty. Hence Manas is , both eternal and non-eternal : eternal, in its atomic nature (zatamana ,rupa) ; finite (or kíryarvpa) when linked' as a duad-with kama (Volition), a lover production.--Ed.

[^5]:    * Antah-karana is the path of commonication betwoon sonl and body, cntirely disconneoted with the former : oxisting with, bolonging to, and dying with tho body.-Ed.
    + It is demonstrated to Occultists by tho fact that two aclepts separated by humdreds of miles, leaving their bodies at their respective habitations and their astral bodies (the lower manas und volition kama) to watch over them, can still meet at some distant place and hold converse and even porceive and sense each other for hours as though they were both personally and bodily together, whercas, even their lowor mayavin rupas are absent:-Ed.

[^6]:    *The reader is reminded in this connection that neither Devachan nor Avitchi is a locality, bat a state which affects directly the being in it and all othors only by reaction.-Ed.
    $\dagger$ Reference is probably made here to the sonl-inspiring monologue that is found in Tcrtullian's Despectoc, Chapter XXX. Falling into a wild ecstasy of joy over the bare prospect of seeing some day all the philosophers "who havo persecuted the name of Clurist bnra in a most cruel fire in hell....." this saintly Patristic chafacter, a Father of the Christian Church, cxclaims: "Oh what shall be the magnitude of that scene. How I shall langh! How I shall rejoice! How I shall triamph!' etc, - Ed.

[^7]:    * Now this is the most charming hit possible at the poor Protestants. Bohold, the Christian brotherly love and charity!-Ed.
    + And if so, then such a devil must be as good as any missionary or priest? After this suthoritative assertiou, how shall we be able to know who is preaching-a pudri or the * * * $p$ - $E^{*} d$.
    $\ddagger$ The power of the letters in the Transliteration is as follows : fall, fyr, füte, fat, kid, kīte, nect, pat, mūte, bout, no.

    If 'That is to say, not the object denoted by the word, which may bo male, female, or neater.

[^8]:    * None whatever. But where is the necessity P-Ed
    + A pleasant exprossion, but highly optinistic. It is equivalent to affirming that althougla the moral law iu nature may be offended, yet panishinent is not logically inevitable. Penitonce may take the place of expiation, and prager restore the equilibriam of natore. The repentant culprit may go scotfree, bat the victim or victims of his

[^9]:    * We mast be allowed to ask a question : had the Hinda Bania who committed religious suicide after conmitting religions marder, in the article that precedes-survived to take his trial, would there be any chance for him of being acquitted by a Christian judge in India "on tho groand of insanity" or would the heathen have been hang? Qaery

[^10]:    * Henry IV was left nnmolested at the time of the butchery of St. Barthélemy, for Catherine of Medicis being well aequainted with his horoseope throngh Ine Gauric, knew well she was powerless ngainst him. When Henry IV ancenubed ander the knife of Ravaillae, it was the twentieth attempt made to assassinate him. Arcana 20th of Magism has :-"Passage from terrestrial to future life." Bad omens wero phentifnl, in the case of Henry IV, for as the historian l'Etoile gives it may Mth the little Dac of Vendome, the King's son, and his Dowager mother begged hard that he should not leave on that day the Lonvrea, for Brosse, the most frimous astrologer of the time had warned them that on May 14.th thore would be danger to the King's life; in short, that he would perish in his carriage. Already IIenry II, having been warned that a great king would be nimrdered in the street of la Ferro"rrie, and fearing for his own life, had issued an order (See Conde Hemry) cinted May 14 th , in virtne of which "all the shops sund private houses of that strect had to be pnlled down to widen the highway along tho "punctery des Innocents, \&c:" Henry IV was mordered according in the horoscopic prelietion on May 14th, 1610, and the number 20 had, as foretold, proved fatal to him.
    $\dagger$ Technical terms nsed by the French kabalists, astrologers and cocrltiets.-Ed.

[^11]:    * In connection with the above remarks of onr learned French bro ther, we would recoumend to our readers "The Vaccination Inquirer," the monthly organ of The London Socicty for the abolition of compulsory Vacination-whose editors are the most ancompromising and dreaded opponents of the latter system. Its cost is only $1 s$. 6d. per annum; biost free. Offices of the Society-111, Victoria Strect, Westminster, S. W. London.-Ed.

[^12]:    * Jiva or Prana (Life principlo). The Word "Jivatma," used only by the Buddhists, who make no difference between manifested and unmanifester Life outside of Esotericism, was through oversight croneously used in Fragment No. 1, and since then rectified. Jivatma is the 7 th principle with the Vedantees and the Theosophists have ngreed to ase it but in the latter sense.一Rd,

[^13]:    * Though there is a distinct term for it in the langage of the adepts, how can one translate it into a European language? What narao can be given to that which is objective yet immaterial in its finite manifestations, subjective yet substantive (though not in our sense of substance) in its eternal existonce? Having explained it the best we can, we leave the task of finding a more appropriate term for it to onr learned English occultists.-Ed.

[^14]:    * Unseen Universe.
    $\dagger$ We feel constrained to make ase of torms that have become technical in modern acience-though they do not always fully express the idea to be conveyed-for want of better words. It is useless to hope that the occult doctrino may be ever thoroaghly understond-oven the fow tenets that can bo safely given to the world at largo-unless a glossary of such words is edited; and, what is of a still more primary importance-until the full and correct meaning of the terms thervin taught is thoroughly mastered.-Ed.

[^15]:    *And probnbly by, or undor, the inspiration of Irenæns-sinco the sentence is fonnd in tho 4th Gospol, that of John, that did not oxist yet at tho time of his quarrels with tho Gnostics.-En.

[^16]:    * Price, Ropees five. Miy be had at tho Theosophist Office from tho Manager, Adyar,-(Madrae).
    $\dagger$ In his Essay on "Man as a Spirit."

[^17]:    * First Annual Report of the Bureau of Ethnology (of the United State日, America) by Brt. Col. J. W. Powell, Director. Washington Government Printing Office

[^18]:    *Witch Stories, collected by E. Lynn Lynton, Anthor of "Joshua Davidgon," \&c. \&c. Chatto and Windas. London, 1883.-(2nd Ed.)

